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Background

Stable vs. Changing Landscapes

On stable landscapes there are abundant scatterers that can keep visible during a long observation time span.

In well-developed urban areas, dense persistent scatterers can be identified.
Background

Stable vs. Changing Landscapes

However on changing landscapes there are abundant scatterers that cannot keep visible during a long observation time span.

In developing urban areas, persistent scatterers cannot be densely identified.
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Background

Most developing countries are undergoing surprisingly fast urbanization...

Townscapes have changed significantly, raising difficulties for current MT-InSAR techniques to get detailed defo. maps...

Urban renewal and sprawl...
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Background

Persistently Coherent Point vs. Partially Coherent Point

Persistently Coherent Point—Visible over the whole observation time span

Partially Coherent Point—Visible over a part of observation time span
Can we identify both persistently coherent points and partially coherent points simultaneously and retrieve deformation reliably from these points?
Temporarily Coherent Point
InSAR

Temporarily Coherent Point

– not necessary to keep coherent during the whole time span
– including persistently coherent point and partially coherent point

(Courtesy of A. Hooper)
Temporarily Coherent Point InSAR

**TCP identification: Image-pair based methods**

- **Offset deviation**[^1]
  
  During the coregistration procedure, standard errors of the estimated offsets from strong scatterers is less sensitive to the window size and oversampling factor used in the image cross-correlation compared with those from distributed scatterers[^2].
  
  \[ \text{OT}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \text{ot}_{j1} & \text{ot}_{j2} & \cdots & \text{ot}_{jN} \end{bmatrix} \]
  
  \[ \text{std(OT}_j) < 0.1 \]

- **Coherence map**
  
  Suitable for image pairs with short baselines (spatial, temporal and Doppler)
  Coherence is used as threshold to select partially coherent points in [3][4]


TCP: keep coherent in more than **%** image pairs (say, 70%)
We exactly know in which interferferogram the selected TCPs are coherent.
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TCP identification: Image based method

Amplitude Mad-Median Ratio (AMMR)

\[ \sigma_v \approx \frac{\sigma_A}{m_A} \quad \rightarrow \quad \sigma_v \approx \frac{\text{Mad}_A}{\text{Median}_A} \]

A point with scaled intensity time series (25): PS? No; TCP? Yes!

\([0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, 0.9, 0.92, 0.92, 0.91, 0.94, 0.93, 0.95, 0.95, 0.92, 0.94, 0.92, 0.91, 0.91, 0.92, 0.93] \]

\[ \sigma_v \approx \frac{\sigma_A}{m_A} = 0.45 \quad \sigma_v \approx \frac{\text{Mad}_A}{\text{Median}_A} = 0.03 \]

We do not know in which interferogram the selected TCPs are coherent.
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**TCP Parameter Estimator**

- To resolve DEM error and linear deformation rate **without the need of phase unwrapping**

- Observations are **differential phases at the arcs** (point pairs) in multi-master interferograms with short baselines

**Core algorithms:**

- L-2 norm (least squares) estimator with ambiguity detector [5]
- L-1 norm estimator
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The system of observations

\[ \phi^i_{l,m} = \phi^i_{\text{topo},l,m} + \phi^i_{\text{defo},l,m} + \phi^i_{\text{atmo},l,m} + \phi^i_{\text{orbit},l,m} + \phi^i_{\text{noise},l,m} \]

\[ \phi^i_{\text{defo},l,m} = -\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \Delta r^i_{l,m} = -\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (t_j - t_{j-1}) v_j \]

\[ = \beta^i V \]

\[ \phi^i_{\text{topo},l,m} = -\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \frac{B^i_{l,m}}{r^i_{l,m} \sin \theta^i_{l,m}} \Delta h^i_{l,m} \]

\[ = \alpha^i_{l,m} \Delta h^i_{l,m} \]

\[ \Delta \phi^i_{l,m,l',m'} = \alpha^i_{l,m} \Delta h^i_{l,m,l',m'} + \beta^i \Delta V + w^i_{l,m,l',m'} \]

\[ w^i_{l,m,l',m'} = \Delta \phi^i_{\text{atmo},l,m,l',m'} + \Delta \phi^i_{\text{orbit},l,m,l',m'} + \Delta \phi^i_{\text{noise},l,m,l',m'} \]

For each arc, we have

\[ \Delta \Phi = A \begin{bmatrix} \Delta h_{l,m,l',m'} \\ \Delta V \end{bmatrix} + W \]

\[ \Delta \Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \phi^1_{l,m,l',m'} & \Delta \phi^2_{l,m,l',m'} & \cdots & \Delta \phi^I_{l,m,l',m'} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha^1_{l,m} & \alpha^2_{l,m} & \cdots & \alpha^I_{l,m} \end{bmatrix}^T \]

\[ \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_I \end{bmatrix}^T \]

\[ W = \begin{bmatrix} w^1_{l,m,l',m'} & w^2_{l,m,l',m'} & \cdots & w^I_{l,m,l',m'} \end{bmatrix} \]

How to resolve the parameters? Wrapped phases!!
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L-2 norm (least squares) estimator with ambiguity detector

This algorithm is suitable for TCPs identified by image-pair based methods.

Since we exactly know in which interferograms the selected TCPs keep high coherence, we can get a coherence index for each TCP.

For each arc, only interferograms in which both points keep coherent are selected.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \hat{h}_{l,m,l',m'} &= \left( A^T P_{dd} A \right)^{-1} A^T P_{dd} \Delta \Phi \\
\Delta \hat{\Phi} &= A \left( A^T P_{dd} A \right)^{-1} A^T P_{dd} \Delta \Phi \\
r &= \Delta \Phi - A \left( A^T P_{dd} A \right)^{-1} A^T P_{dd} \Delta \Phi
\end{align*}
\]

\(\Delta \Phi\) might have phase ambiguities!!
Temporarily Coherent Point InSAR

L-2 norm (least squares) estimator with ambiguity detector

Ambiguity detector

\[ Q_{\Delta \Phi \Delta \hat{\Phi}} = A(A^T P_{dd} A)^{-1} A^T \]

\[ \text{Max}(|r_i|) > c \sqrt{\text{Max}((Q_{dd})_{ii}) + 2 \sqrt{\text{Max}((Q_{\Delta \Phi \Delta \hat{\Phi}})_{ii})}} \]

TCP parameters

After removing modulo-2pi arcs, perform Arc-Point integration

LS residuals can tell us whether the arc has ambiguity or not!
Temporarily Coherent Point InSAR

**L-1 norm estimator**

- For TCPs selected by image based approach, we do not exactly know in which interferograms the TCPs are coherent.
- When taking all interferograms as observations, we need to design a robust estimator to suppress the effect of “outliers” (i.e., decorrelated phases and phase ambiguities at arcs).
- **L-1 norm estimator** is a good choice since it is less sensitive to outliers than LS.

With L-1 norm estimator, we do not need to remove arcs having decorrelated phases and phase ambiguities!!
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L-1 norm estimator

How to perform L-1 norm estimation?

L-1 norm estimator is to find $\hat{x}$ as follows:

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min_x \| b - Ax \|_1$$

$$\Delta \Phi = A \left[ \frac{\Delta h_{l,m,l',m'}}{\Delta V} \right] + W \quad \text{minimize} \quad \sum_i \left| \Delta \phi_{i,l,m,l',m'}^i - \sum_j A_{ij} \left[ \frac{\Delta h_{l,m,l',m'}}{\Delta V} \right] \right|$$

Solution by iteratively reweighted least squares used in [6] for robust SBAS

Solution by linear programming
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**L-1 norm estimator: Solution by linear programming**

\[
\text{minimize } \sum_i \Delta \phi^i_{l,m,l',m'} - \sum_j A_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta h_{l,m,l',m'} \Delta V \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{minimize } \sum_i f_i \\
\text{subject to } f_i - \Delta \phi^i_{l,m,l',m'} - \sum_j A_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta h_{l,m,l',m'} \Delta V \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\
\text{minimize } \sum_i f_i \\
\text{subject to } -f_i \leq \Delta \phi^i_{l,m,l',m'} - \sum_j A_{ij} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta h_{l,m,l',m'} \Delta V \end{bmatrix} \leq f_i
\]

With any linear programming software package, it can be solved easily.
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The performance of the L-1 norm estimator?

Even though the arc contains decorrelated phases as well as phase ambiguities, the L-1 norm estimator can precisely resolve the defo. rate!
Case study

Data:
38 Envisat/ASAR images acquired from 2003 to 2010
81 interferograms selected with baseline thresholds: 250 day, 150 m, and 300 Hz

(Macau)

(Many buildings have been put up...)

Taipa, Co-Tai & Coloane
Case study

TCP selection

Image pair based methods:

Image based methods:

ADI: Amplitude Dispersion Index
AMMR: Amplitude Mad Median Ratio
Case study

**Results**

- **LS estimator** on TCPs selected by offset deviation
- **L-1 norm estimator** on TCPs selected by AMMR

Consistent with ground measurements provided by DSCC of Macau
Case study: TCPInSAR with high resolution data

Data

23 TSX SAR data from April 29, 2009 to November 11, 2010

Baseline threshold: 15m, 250d

15m:
No external DEM is needed!
The LOS deformation rate is up to 52 mm/yr.

The result has been validated by benchmarks and CRs.

The work is done in collaboration with Guoxiang Liu of SWJT Univ. China.

The field work was performed by SWJT Univ.
Conclusion

TCPInSAR is a promising tool for deformation monitoring on changing landscapes with multi-temporal SAR data.

TCPInSAR can identify both persistently and partially coherent points.

- Offset deviation or Amplitude Mad Median Ratio (AMMR)

TCPInSAR can estimate linear deformation rate (for partially coherent points) and deformation time series (for persistently coherent points) with no need of phase unwrapping.

- L-2 norm estimator with ambiguity detector
- L-1 norm estimator
Thanks!
Questions?

Contact:
lsxlding@polyu.edu.hk
lslzhang@polyu.edu.hk
lu@usgs.gov