





#### What do satellite-based burn severity indices tell us? -Explaining Sentinel-2 dNBR variability using very high resolution pre- and postfire UAV imagery

Fabian Ewald Fassnacht, Ephraim Schmidt-Riese, Teja Kattenborn, Jaime Hérnandez



## Introduction



- Burn severity is an ambigious term mostly referred to as long-term ecological changes introduced to a landscape via fire (Cansler & McKenzie, 2012<sup>1</sup>)
- Knowing the burn severity patterns of a burned area is helpful to understand ecological and economic consequences of wildfires and to coordinate post-fire management
- Vegetation indices derived from satellite images were suggested as a tool to characterize burn severity patterns across large areas

<sup>1</sup>Cansler, C. A., & McKenzie, D. (2012). How robust are burn severity indices when applied in a new region? Evaluation of alternate field-based and remote-sensing methods. *Remote sensing*, *4*(2), 456-483.

#### Introduction

 The difference normalized burn ratio dNBR has become a standard tool to quickly characterize burn severity after wildfires

$$NBR = \frac{NIR - SWIR}{NIR + SWIR}$$

 $dNBR = NBR_{pre-fire} - NBR_{post-fire}$ 



Freie Universität

### Introduction



 Example of a burned area with situation before and after the fire as seen from an unmanned aerial vehicle and a dNBR product derived from Sentinel-2 data



#### Pre-fire









RdNBR has been suggested as a refinement of dNBR to account for prefire vegetation composition

 $RdNBR = \frac{dNBR}{abs(NBR_{prefire})^{0.5}}$ 

# Introduction



# **Challenges / research gaps**



- dNBR and RdNBR have been related to field-plot measured indicators of burn severity but identified relationships vary with geographic location and timing
- Pre-fire vegetation composition is often unknown (no spatially continuous data available)





- Better understand what drives the Sentinel-2 based dNBR and RdNBR signal
- Exploit the availability of very high resolution UAV imagery acquired shortly before and after the 2016/2017 mega-fires of central Chile
- Particularly understand the role of cast-shadows of dead standing trees and trunks

### **Study area**













Dataset	Acquisition date			
Prefire UAS survey	11th-21st of March 2016			
Prefire Sentinel-2 image	5th of March 2016			
Postfire UAS survey	10th and 13th of April 2017			
Postfire Sentinel-2 image	29th of April 2017			



#### Supervised classification of UAV images into 6 (pre-fire) and 4 (post-fire) classes

Number of sample plots per class and flight. Prefire and Postfire classifications were conducted separately.

Class	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 4	Site 5	Site 6
Prefire non vegetation	382	153	200	33	361	120
Prefire Sclerophyll	128	57	116	193	100	16
Prefire Pinus radiata	310	164	325	190	193	176
Prefire Nothofagus	406	134	426	696	306	172
Prefire dry vegetation	130	58	22	98	17	21
Prefire shadow	76	114	85	73	53	50
Postfire non vegetation	170	729	359	209	1058	145
Postfire green vegetation	26	134	100	20	233	92
Postfire singed vegetation	46	201	207	79	252	54
Postfire shadow	30	268	37	126	178	27



 For each Sentinel-2 pixel the fractional cover of each land-cover class in the UAV-classification maps was determined (see example →)

 Additionally mean and variance of height was derived per Sentinel-2 pixel from the canopy height model



Freie Universität

Predictors (after dropping highly correlated predictors)



Generalized Additive Models (GAM)

> Iterative search – all predictor combination for models with 2-10 predictors Best model via AIC

Response

dNBR

RdNBR





Number of predictors



Blue = results without independent validation





Blue = results without independent validation

Adj. R2





Blue = results without independent validation



19



Blue = results without independent validation



20



 Models with 5 predictors explain more than 70% of dNBR and RdNBR variability



Adj. R2





 Models with 5 predictors explain more than 70% of dNBR and RdNBR variability

Adj. R2

 Models with only 2 predictors explain approximately 60% of variability













Unclear signal with mean height  $\rightarrow$ dNBR does not capture burned biomass?

0.6

0.8

1.0





- Unclear signal with mean height  $\rightarrow$ dNBR does not capture burned biomass?
- Vegetation related predictors show plausible, nearly linear trends

0.6

0.8

1.0







- Unclear signal with mean height  $\rightarrow$ dNBR does not capture burned biomass?
- Vegetation related predictors show plausible, nearly linear trends
- Mostly post-fire predictors / no species related predictor selected



#### Best model with 2 predictors



#### 2nd best model with 2 predictors



- Vegetation-related predictors show plausible trends
- More green/singed vegetation → lower dNBR

 Postfire shadow and non-vegetation shows strong positive linear trend with dNBR





## Conclusions



- Largest fraction of variability in dNBR and RdNBR can be explained by canopy cover consumed (green vegetation / singed vegetation)
- Pre-fire Vegetation composition and height showed hardly any effect in our study area
- Cast and terrain shadows may influence the observed dNBR signal quite notably

# Thank you for your attention!



#### International Journal of Applied Earth Observations and Geoinformation 95 (2021) 102262



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect International Journal of Applied Earth Observations and Geoinformation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jag

#### Explaining Sentinel 2-based dNBR and RdNBR variability with reference data from the bird's eye (UAS) perspective

Fabian Ewald Fassnacht<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ephraim Schmidt-Riese<sup>a</sup>, Teja Kattenborn<sup>a,b</sup>, Jaime Hernández<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Karisruha Institute of Technology, Institute of Geography and Geoecology, Kaiserstraße 12, 76131 Karisruhe, Germany <sup>b</sup> Ramos Sensing Center for Barth System Research, University of Leiping, Taitar. 35, 041103 Leiping, Germany <sup>c</sup> Universidad de Chile, Forestry and Nature Conservation Faculty, GEP, Santa Resa 11315, Santiago de Chile, Chile

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Keywords: UAS dNBR variability Wildfire Sentinel-2 RdNBR Shadows Characterizing the spatial variability of the severity of wildfires is important to assess ecological and economic consequences and to coordinate mitigation strategies. Vegetation indices such as the differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR) have become a standard tool to assess burn or fire severity across larger areas and are being used operationally. Despite the frequent application of dNBR-like vegetation indices, it is not yet fully understood which variables exactly drive the variability in dNBR observed by multispectral satellites. One reason for this is the lack of high quality prefire information about vegetation structure and composition. Consequently, the influence of prefire vegetation composition and other potentially influential variables such as cast shadows has hardly been examined. Here, we use very high resolution Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) orthoimages collected briefly before and after the large wildfires in Central Chile in the fire season 2016/2017 to derive variables related to the pre- and postfire landscape composition and structure. The variables are used as predictors in Generalized Additive Models (GAM) to explain the spatial variability in dNBR and RdNBR pixel values as observed by Sentinel-2. Our models explain more than 80% and 75% of the variability in dNBR and RdNBR values, respectively, using a sparse set of five predictors. The results suggest that in our study area the largest fraction of variability in Sentinel-2 based dNBR and RdNBR values can be explained by variables related to the fraction of consumed canopy cover while the vegetation composition before the fire does not have a large influence on dNBR and RdNBR.

Our results further suggest that cast-inadows of mags and standing dead trees with remaining crown structure have a notable influence on the dNBR signal which may have been underestimated so far. We conclude that spatially continuous, very high spatial resolution data from UAS can be a valuable data source for an improved understanding of the exact meaning of common vegetation index products, operationally used for monitoring the environment.

#### fabian.fassnacht@fu-berlin.de



Aug. 29 - Sept. 03, 2022, Berlin, Germany Freie Universität Berlin