


A new look at the closure of the water balance for large watersheds using satellite gravimetry, reanalysis, and river discharge

R. Rietbroek, M. Penning de Vries, Y. Zeng, Z. Su



Deceased giraffes in dried up mud pool, Northern Kenya, March 2022, nos.nl


**UNIVERSITY
OF TWENTE.**




Roelof Rietbroek
Water Resources Department
Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation

Example: the birthplace of Ethiopian rains


- Water enters a basin through several pathways
- Drought => too little water entering & 'sticking' in a basin
- Currently: transport from Indian Ocean is weak (2a, 2b)



Idea: Check mass balance consistency of watersheds




Idea: Check mass balance consistency of watersheds



Satellite
Gravimetry
(GRACE/GRACE-FO)

Idea: Check mass balance consistency of watersheds


Glofas



Satellite
Gravimetry
(GRACE/GRACE-FO)

Idea: Check mass balance consistency of watersheds

Glofas



Satellite

Gravimetry

(GRACE/GRACE-FO)

ERA5

Starting point: Water balance equation


$$\frac{dS}{dt} = Q - R - F_{sub}$$

Moisture flux

Subsurface flows

Total water storage
change rate

River discharge




Starting point: Water balance equation

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = Q - R - F_{sub}$$

Moisture flux Subsurface flows

Total water storage change rate River discharge

- Comparison with GRACE:
 - Differentiate or integrate:



Starting point: Water balance equation

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = Q - R - F_{sub}$$

Moisture flux Subsurface flows

Total water storage change rate River discharge


- Comparison with GRACE:
 - Differentiate or integrate:

$$S(t) = \int_0^t (Q - R) dt'$$

GRACE

ERA5

Glofas




Integrate ERA5 moisture flux

- Get ERA5 monthly averages up to 300hPa (humidity, wind vectors, temperature, cloud water content)
- Compute basin normal vectors (123 basins)
- Compute total density (Using Magnus relation)
- Integrate over boundary and height to get flux (kg/s):


$$Q_W^{vapour} = \int_W \int_0^{300hPa} h_{spec}(z, s) \rho_{tot}(z, s) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v} dz ds$$

- Similar for liquid, ice (but that contribution is much smaller than vapour)





GLOFAS: Obtaining a consistent basin discharge estimate

- Glofas (Global Flood Awareness System) historical daily discharge
- Forced with ERA5 surface fluxes
- Query glofas pixels with largest upstream areas per basin
- Extract daily discharge, R (m³/s)



Sanity check



- Expected: Water vapour flux shows largest variations
- Expected: Discharge lags atmospheric influx
- But: no consistency in mean..
- Will accumulate when integrated over time
 - “solution”: Adapt mean to match glofas




Basin averaged total water storage from GRACE /GRACE-FO

- JPL RL06, Stokes coefficients (max degree=96)
- Restore atmosphere component + degree 1 & 2 corrections
- Anisotropic filter (DDK5)
- Average per basin
- No rescaling for possible attenuation

Anisotropic filter kernel for DANUBE



Anisotropic filter kernel for MISSISSIPPI




Can Era5-glofas produce GRACE-like time series?

- Integrate to obtain total water storage (normalize by basin area)
- Nash Suthcliffe efficiency:

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_t (h_{grace} - h_{era5})^2}{\sum_t (h_{era5} - \bar{h}_{era5})^2}$$

- Not performing well except for Amazon, why?


Nash suthcliffe efficiency of EWH



Amazon basin averages




- Intensification → partly invisible to GRACE
- Residual still exhibits large signals (short & long term)
- Currently not able to assess the residual trend (because Era5 trend is set to match GLOFAS)



Amazon basin averages




- Intensification → partly invisible to GRACE
- Residual still exhibits large signals (short & long term)
- Currently not able to assess the residual trend (because Era5 trend is set to match GLOFAS)





Ganges basin average


- Seasonal in phase but large residuals remain
- Era5 contribution (vapour) has strong interannual departures





Yukon Basin average


- No agreement in seasonal or internannual signals
- Accumulation in Equivalent water height is not obvious from fluxes





Yukon Basin average

- No agreement in seasonal or internannual signals
- Accumulation in Equivalent water height is not obvious from fluxes




Conclusions

- Performed a water balance budget check at watershed scales
- Basin averages from Era5 (vapour) flux show multi-year departures → artefacts from accumulating anomalies in velocity/vapour at interpolated boundaries? Or signal from era5?
- GRACE/GRACE-FO is partly “blind” for water cycle intensification (can only see the ‘sticking’ part)
 - River discharge/flux estimates necessary to separate/understand
 - One size fits all filter may not work for all basins (signal attenuation and leaking)
- Outlook:
 - Check water balance with observed river discharge, other reanalysis products
 - Split up flux contributions at boundaries (e.g. ocean versus land boundary)

Epilogue:

P-E from ERA5 mvimd*

- P-E from integrating mvimd over basin
- But still shows spurious multi-year departures in ewh ..



* Mean vertically integrated moisture divergence kg/s/m²