Community-scale aboveground carbon estimations using lightweight drones

Ben Newport | University of Bristol ben.newport@bristol.ac.uk

Dr. Tristram Hales | Cardiff University Dr. Jo House | University of Bristol Prof. Benoît Goossens | Cardiff University Amaziasizamoria Jumail | Danau Girang Field Centre







Economic and Social Research Council



Natural Environment Research Council

Community-scale forest restoration

 Tropical forest restoration can sequester large amounts of atmospheric carbon

Important role of community-scale projects

- Funders require measurements of aboveground carbon
- Current Earth Observation methods for monitoring carbon are not appropriate for community-scale use



Source: restor.eco

The need for community-based carbon monitoring methods

- Consumer-grade drones offer a potential accessible solution
- Drone imagery can be used with Structure from Motion (SfM) photogrammetry to calculate biomass volume
- However, previous studies utilise professional hardware and software, destructive sampling



Source: Paneque-Gálvez et al., 2017

The need for community-based carbon monitoring methods

- Consumer-grade drones offer a potential accessible solution
- Drone imagery can be used with Structure from Motion (SfM) photogrammetry to calculate biomass volume
- However, previous studies utilise professional hardware and software, destructive sampling
- Can simplified drone methodologies enable communities to monitor aboveground carbon at the community scale?



Source: Paneque-Gálvez et al., 2017











Field-based measurements



- o Height
- Diameter at breast height
- Wood density

Field-based measurements



- o Height
- Diameter at breast height
- Wood density

Allometric models

- o 27 different allometric models
- 1000 Monte Carlo simulations
- Measurement error estimates from R BIOMASS package (Réjou-Méchain et al., 2017)

Aboveground carbon estimates for botanical plot







Drone-based measurements



Drone-based measurements





ACD estimates from field and drone data

Field data:

- Mean: 6.05 ± 2.07 Mg C ha⁻¹
- Median: 5.66 Mg C ha⁻¹

Drone data:

- Mean value: 14.06 ± 10.64 Mg C ha⁻¹
- Median value: 10.87 Mg C ha⁻¹

Data and model types

ACD estimates from field and drone data



Implications for community-scale monitoring

Benefits

- Aboveground carbon estimates similar to established field-based methods
- More appropriate for the community scale than current EO options
- Fast and repeatable methodology
- Useful secondary outputs

Implications for community-scale monitoring

Benefits

- Aboveground carbon estimates similar to established field-based methods
- More appropriate for the community 0 scale than current EO options
- Fast and repeatable methodology Ο
- Useful secondary outputs 0



Drone canopy height model

Botanical plot

Restoration site

Implications for community-scale monitoring

Benefits

- Aboveground carbon estimates similar to established field-based methods
- More appropriate for the community scale than current EO options
- Fast and repeatable methodology
- Useful secondary outputs

Barriers

- Allometric models are a source of uncertainty
- Data processing may present technical and financial barriers
- Training needed to get best imagery from drone flights

Conclusions

- Consumer-grade drones and opensource software are appropriate for community scale forest monitoring
- This presents a promising pathway for integrating EO at the community level
- More focus needed on developing regionally-calibrated allometric models and increasing accessibility of data processing











Ben Newport ben.newport@bristol.ac.uk @BRNewport regrowborneo.org

