

### LEO observations of conjugated magnetosphericionospheric phenomena for improved plasmapause monitoring

Balázs Heilig, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University / Institute of Earth Physics and Space Science, Sopron, Hungary Bendegúz Bendicsek, Eindhoven University of Technology Prof. János Lichtenberger , Dávid Koronczay, Péter Steinbach, Lilla Juhász, Szilárd Pásztor, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary Péter Kovács, Wigner Institute, Budapest, Hungary Claudia Stolle, LeibnizInstitute of Atmospheric Physics, Kühlungsborn, Germany Guram Kervalishvili, Jan Rauberg, GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

# Sub-auroral ionospheric phenomena linked to plasmapause dynamics (conjugated to plasmapause)



### SSB

Small-scale FAC boundary (Heilig and Lühr, 2013; 2018)

MIT

Mid-latitude lonospheric Trough (Heilig et al., 2022)

SETE

Sub-auroral Electron Temperature Enhancement (Heilig et al., 2022)

SAID (SAPS) Sub-auroral Ion Drift Sub-auroral Polarisation Stream



**ESA's Swarm constellation** Swarm provides collocated and simultaneous observations of all of these boundaries

#### **Product development**



**EPHEMERIS** (New Space Weather Information Exploited from Swarm Observations )

**PRISM** (Plasmapause Related boundaries in the topside lonosphere as derived from Swarm Measurements (Swarm DISC activity led by GFZ)

### Swarm products (DISC ITT 4.4 PRISM)



Swarm PRISM product package consists of two independent groups of L2 products available at <u>https://earth.esa.int/eogateway/missions/swarm/data</u> (as MIT and PPI) MITx\_LP\_2F and MITxTEC\_2F: location, size and shape of the Mid-latitude lonospheric Trough

- based on Langmuir probe observations EFIx\_LP\_1B
- based on GNSS TEC observations TECxTMS\_2F

Product identifier MITx		MITxTEC_2F
Definition Midla		Midlatitude Ionospheric Trough Boundaries and Minima from TEC
Inpu	Product identifier	MITx_LP_2F
Inpu	Definition	Midlatitude Ionospheric Trough Boundaries and Minima
Spat	Input Data	EFIx_LP_1B <sup>1</sup> , AUXxORBCNT
	Input Time Span	24 h <sup>2</sup>
<u> </u>	Spatial representat	ion One geographic and QD-latitude/longitude pair, the McIlwain L-value as well as radius for each output position at the nearest LP measurement for each trough crossing, i.e. four per orbit.

### **PPIxFAC\_2F: equatorward boundary of SSFACs** derived from FAC (FACxTMS\_2F)

#### and the associated midnight Plasmapause index

Product identifier	PPIxFAC_2F
Definition	Equatorward boundary of SSFACs and the associated midnight PP index
Input Data	FACxTMS_2F <sup>1</sup> , AUXxORBCNT
Input Time Span	24 h <sup>2</sup>
Spatial representation	One geographic and QD-latitude/longitude pair, the McIlwain L-value as well as radius for each output position at the nearest FAC measurement for each trough crossing, i.e. four per orbit.







### May 25, 2022

## Comparison of in-situ RBSP post-midnight plasmapause and SSB: Swarm equatorward boundary of small-scale FACs



#### ESA Living Planet Symposium, Bonn

3) higher cadance at LEO



### May 25, 2022



### May 25, 2022

### MIT/SBB and plasmapause evolution



These results (MLT dependent response time) are in full agreement with the scenario that

- new PP is primarily formed on the night side (post-midnight MLT sector) (~ 0 response time) and
- propagates to the dayside by co-rotation with the Earth (and plasmasphere) (MLT -dependent response time)



MIT-PP correlation as a function of MLT (vertical) and response time (horizontal axis)

#### Consequently

- both MIT and SSB can be used as a proxy for the nightside PP position
- dayside PP positions can be recovered from the time history of nightside MIT and SSB (Heilig et al., 2022)

### Midnight Plasmapause location proxy

We derive MPP (midnight PP location proxy)

- MIT observations made between MLT 22-06 are reduced to MLT midnight (linear MLT dependence)
- SSB observations made between MLT 22-06 are reduces to MLT midnight (SSB boundary model, Heilig et al., 2018)
- all reduced MIT and SSB values from the 3 Swarm satellites are smoothed (weighted\* average)

Results: MPP: an improved PP proxy based on LEO data only

**Current latency**: 7 days due to limitations of the current downlink schedule and processing chain

\* weights are defined based on the source of information (MIT or SSB, and the related product quality flags)



### MPP-based empirical plasmapause-model Reference data: in situ PP-crossings



# 8217 Van Allen Probes Arase



Derivation of PP-crossings (Heilig et al., 2022)

Distribution of the plasmapause observations (reference data)

### Model architecture



Multilayer feedforward network

#### Inputs:

- Time: DoY / UT
- Location: mag. latitude / MLT
- 3-day time history of MPP

Training (83%), validation (12%) and test (5%) subsets

#### Target

• PP location (from in-situ PP-crossings): # 8217



### **Empirical NN-based nowcast model**



- Location: mag. latitude / MLT
- 3-day time history of MPP

Performs better than the one with magnetic indices, solar flux and solar wind parameters as input





10



### ESA Space Safety Program: P3-SWE-LII PLASMA (2020-2022) plasmaspheric products for space weather services

A family of products characterising the plasmasphere and its outer boundary, the plasmapause:

### Empirical (NN-based) plasmasphere models (density maps)

• for historical reconstruction, nowcast and forecast

### Data - assimilative plasmasphere model (density maps)

- physics: modified DGCPM (Jorgensen et al., 2017)
- observations: real-time ground-based densities (Lichtenberger et al., 2014)
- empirical model (from this activity)

### Empirical (NN-based) plasmapause models

for historical reconstruction, nowcast and forecast

### LEO-based midnight plasmapause location proxy (MPP)

- to provide near real time observations of the PP location,

+ other products (e.g. RT density observations)



(top) IMAGE EUV images (Baker et al., 2003) (middle) extreacted plasma boundaries (Baker et al., 2003) (bottom): PLASMA plasmapause model



May 25, 2022

### Adding NanoMagSat (ESA Scout mission candidate)

#### NanoMagSat: planned ESA Scout mission

- 1. 3x16U LEO (575 km altitude) nanosatellites; 1 at polar orbit, + 2 at 60° inclination
- Magnetic and plasma observations at 2 kHz 2

### Single-day example: April 1, 2024 (around equinox)

Deminov-Shubin 2018 empirical model (of the MIT minimum position) MIT: light blue: Kp = 2; orange: Kp = 6

Swarm A/B/C only: 90/88 possible detections/day (both at low/high geomagnetic activity) (top) (bottom) Swarm A/B/C plus NanoMagSat: 134/175 possible detections/day (at low/high geomagnetic activity)

Average annual gain by adding NanoMagSat: +58% (at Kp = 2) and +98% (at Kp = 6) (estimated from 2024 orbit predictions)

MIT detection with a higher cadence (typically there is a new observation within 20 minutes) and wider daily MLT coverage

Note: The orbit characteristics (60° inclination and 575 km altitude) are not very favourable for MIT detection but...

- MIT has been observed at >700 km altitude with a factor of three density drop (e.g., Prölls, 2006)
- NanoMagSat contributes especially during stormtime (when MIT is at lower latitude)



### Summary/Conclusions

- 1) LEO observations could potentially provide real-time information on the plasmapause location
- 2) An improved **proxy for the midnight PP location** (MPP) was developed.
- 3) An **MPP-based plasmapause model** was developed potentially suitable for PP location nowcast
- PP monitoring could definitely benefit from an extended network of LEO satellites (e.g. NanoMagSat) and real-time data access

(optimum: high-inclination, post-midnight observation)

### Next step in model improvement

- combining MPP and other real-time available inputs (Dst, Hp30, vsw, Bz) to improve plasmapause nowcast
- Applying networks with memory, recurrent networks (e.g., LSTM: long short-term memory)





### Further model performance comparisons

