

Lidar Aerosol Retrieval based on Information from Surface Signal of Aeolus

L. Labzovskii, G. J. van Zadelhoff, D. Donovan, D. Josset



ESA Living Planet Symposium 2022





RESEARCH AIM AND MOTIVATION



AOD distribution [Wang et al., 2018]



LARISSA – Lidar Aerosol Retrieval Based on Information from Surface Signal of Aeolus

RESEARCH AIM

To introduce independent AOD retrieval using lidar surface returns (LSR) from ocean for Aeolus

IMPLICATIONS

- New **independent** estimates from lidar surface returns -> No **assumption about** aerosol microphysics
- Empirically untested AOD retrieval at these lidar settings -> 37.5° incidence, UV wavelength, LSR-based method
- Support future aerosol-oriented spaceborne instruments -> such as ATLID on EarthCARE



FUNDAMENTALS OF LSR-BASED AOD RETRIEVAL FOR AEOLUS



Why complicated? -> Highly non-nadir angle of incidence, subsurface contribution at 355 nm



SUMMARIZED SURFACE REFLECTANCE CALCULATION



- Good agreement with previous works [Li et al., 2010] > while Josset-2010 equation applied
- Despite good agreement in pattern/magnitude -> Potential overestimation of subsurface component at 37.5°



LARISSA aggregated | Horiz av = 30 | Flag = 100 | Peak = no cor=-0.0| wind =0-30 m/s |

LSR WITH RELATION TO LAND COVER: FIRST 10 DAYS OF IOP



Lidar Surface Returns / Surface Integrated Attenuated Backscatter (sr⁻¹)

- There are two populations -> Weak LSR (0.0001 0.002 sr⁻¹) and strong LSR (0.002 0.04 sr⁻¹)
- Many satisfactory returns over oceans (19-34%) according to SIAB vs SIAB_{error} estimate
- As expected -> Strongest UV returns over white surface (Albedo > 0.90 for fresh snow [Varotsos et al., 2014; Weiler, 2017]) •
- Beyond expectations -> A week of LSR reflects land cover patterns (dark forests and arid areas are discernible)



Weak population leads to erroneous estimates of AOD (< 0)



NEGATIVE AOD / POSITIVE AOD

$$AOD = \frac{1}{2} ln(\frac{Rs+Rw+Ru}{\gamma}) - OD_m$$

 $\gamma - LSR$ s, w, u – specular, whitecaps, subsurface OD_m – molecular optical depth



Useful LSR range = 0.001 – 0.004 (+/- 0.002)



ESTIMATE OF LSR BASED ON LAND COVER WITHOUT SEA ICEA



1 Broadleaf Evergreen Forest 2 Broadleaf Deciduous Forest 3 Needleleaf Evergreen Forest **4** Needleleaf Deciduous Forest 5 Mixed Forest 6 Tree Open 7 Shrub 8 Herbaceous 9 Herbaceous with Sparse Tree/Shrub 10 Sparse vegetation 11 Cropland 12 Paddy field 13 Cropland Other Vegetation 14 Mangrove 15 Wetland 16 Bare area, consolidated (gravel,rock) 17 Bare area, unconsolidated (sand) 18 Urban 19 Snow Ice 20 Water bodies



GET: https://github.com/globalmaps/gm_lc_v3 Land Cover (GLCNMO) - Global version - Version 3

Ice masking by using OSI-SAF ice mask -> Helps tackling the strong LSR problem over sea



LSR SENSITIVITY TO WIND IN NORTH PACIFIC

NORTH PACIFIC AGGREGATED





• In some cases positive, in some -> Inverse association between LSR and wind speed



VALIDATION OF AOD_LARISSA vs AOD_EXT



- The best AEL_PRO LARISSA agreement case
- This case has good agreement -> Only useful LSR signals (< 0.0005)
- LSR signal might require filtering based on magnitude as well
- Offset of ~0.50 between LARISSA and AEL_PRO -> Systematic or not?

GLOBAL SCALES: NO STRONG SENSITIVITY TO WIND SPEED



ANK .

AOD LARISSA

2

0

3

0 -

AOD LARISSA

20





- The correction of 0.50 (for AOD) seemingly corrects the lack of AEL_PRO-LARISSA agreement
- Indication of consistent offset because these cases are taken from various orbits, but gap -> Consistent







OVERALL RESULTS

 No statistical agreements for aggregated data, but some strong agreement cases (for OMI r > 0.70, for TROPOMI r ~0.60) can be found

LARISSA – TROPOMI agreement (r)

Date	LAR vs TROP (AOD > 0.3)	
14.09.2019	0.20	
15.09.2019	0.01	
17.09.2019	0.40	
18.09.2019	-0.10	
19.09.2019	-0.36	
20.09.2019	0.16	
21.09.2019	0.15	

LARISSA – OMI agreement (r)

Date	LAR vs TROP (AOD > 0.3)		
14.09.2019	0.17		
15.09.2019	0.01		
17.09.2019	0.01		
18.09.2019	0.01		
19.09.2019	0.12		
20.09.2019	0.17		
21.09.2019	0.07		



TROPOMI vs AEOLUS 2019 09 17



- One of the best agreement cases
- R = 0.60 between TROPOMI AOD and LARISSA
- Once again ~ +0.30 offset of LARISSA compared to validation source is noticed, LARISSA always overestimates validation sources



CONCLUSIONS

- Non-nadir LSR-based AOD retrieval from Josset et al., [2010] applied with unique lidar setup (37.5° incidence, UV wavelength) -> tested for Aeolus for the first time
- The signal strength of the Aeolus ocean LSR is weak and **dominated by sub-surface reflectance**
- Sea surface reflectance model -> Fair agreement with previous expectations -> Subsurface might be overestimated
- Agreement between $AOD_{LARISSA}$ and $AOD_{AEL-PRO}$ strongly varies (r = 0.01 0.89), worse for AOD_{LAR} vs AOD_{TROP} (< 0.60)
- Offset between AOD_{LARISSA} and reference AOD datasets -> Direct input for future similar retrievals, explaining why sub-surface reflectance is overestimated
- Additional result -> Unexpectedly clear gradient between not only land and sea, but between different land cover types when LSR is averaged on 1x1 degree grid





METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS





THE STATUS OF THE SOFTWARE BY LAST PM



• All modules had been created and finalized according to the commitments by previous PM, but some optimizations were still required (done now)



SPECULAR TERM

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= T_L^2 \left(\frac{(1-W)\rho_0}{4\pi \ \sigma^2 \cos^5(\theta')} \exp\left(\frac{-\tan^2(\theta')}{\sigma^2}\right) + W \cdot \frac{R_{f,eff}}{\pi} \cos(\theta') \\ &+ \frac{\left(1-W_{\downarrow} \cdot R_{f,eff \downarrow} - (1-W_{\downarrow})R_{s \downarrow}(\theta' \downarrow)\right) \left[(1-W)\right]}{(1-r_f R_u)} \cos(\theta') \ \frac{T_{s\uparrow}(\theta_{\uparrow}')}{m_{\uparrow}^2} \frac{R_u}{Q(\theta_u')} \\ &+ \frac{\left(1-W_{\downarrow} \cdot R_{f,eff \downarrow} - (1-W_{\downarrow})R_{s \downarrow}(\theta' \downarrow)\right)}{(1-R_{f,eff} R_u)} W(\frac{1-R_{f,eff}}{\pi}) \cos(\theta') R_u) \end{split}$$

WHITECAPS TERM

spec_term = ((1-w_area) * fresnel) / (4 * np.pi * slope * np.cos(np.deg2rad(angle))**5) * np.exp ((-np.tan(np.deg2rad(angle))**2)/slope)

wc_term = w_area * (w_ref/np.pi) * np.cos(np.deg2rad(angle)) #calculating whitecap terms of summarized reflectance

• I assume this effect might be related to wind/slope or angle?



ICE MASK USE



n.



Global Sea Ice Edge

Operational

version OSI-403-b since 15/09/2015, version OSI-403-c since 30/05/2017

V S-OSINORMULT-GL_NH_EDGEn201909151200Z.nc	Daily Sea Ice Edge Analysis from OSI SAF EUMETSAT	Local File
confidence_level	confidence level	Geo2D
👙 ice_edge	sea ice edge	Geo2D
🤤 lat	latitude coordinate	Geo2D
🤤 lon	longitude coordinate	Geo2D
Polar_Stereographic_Grid	Polar Stereographic Grid	-
🤤 status_flag	status flag for sea ice edge retrieval	Geo2D
🤤 time	reference time of product	-
time_bnds	time bnds	1D
🗢 xc	x coordinate of projection (eastings)	1D
🗢 ус	y coordinate of projection (northings)	1D

- Based on ASCAT backscatter
- We can choose 2 and 3 (stringent threshold) to filter out all possible ice cases
- The box within specific grid cell is chosen and ice flag is assigned



CHECKING THE CONSISTENCY OF ICE MASK



ICE MASK | FLAG = 4

NOT ICE | FLAG = 1,2,3



LARISSA vs TROPOMI TIMING

_20190917





 There is no perfect timing collocation, but we can aggregate TROPOMI and Aeolus observations daily by assuming homogeneity of aerosol layers and collocate TROPOMI points based on defined time/location difference