Volcano monitoring using ALOS-2 and Sentinel-1 satellites

Masato Ohki, Christian Koyama, Takeo Tadono, Shin-ichi Sobue

Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency



Outline

- Background: ALOS-2 for volcano monitoring
- 2018 Kilauea eruption
- 2021 Nyiragongo eruption
- Comparisons with Sentinel-1
- Conclusions





Background: ALOS-2 for volcano monitoring

- Advantages of SAR observation for volcano monitoring
 - observing land surface around volcanoes regardless of day/night, weather, or ash plumes
 - observing volcanoes without ground instruments
 - measuring displacement of land surface by interferometry (InSAR)
- Roles of ALOS-2
 - responding to emergency observation requests from disaster management agencies, international cooperation frameworks, and scientists
 - providing the observation data and/or analysis results
- ALOS-2 capabilities
 - L-band SAR observation with various observation modes:
 - (1) Spotlight
 - Highest resolution (1x3 m)
 - Small-scale changes



(2) Stripmap

- Dual/Quad-polarimetry
- Local changes or displacement



(3) ScanSAR

- Wide swath (350-490 km)
- Whole picture of displacement





2018 Kilauea eruption

- Kilauea Volcano in Big Island, Hawaii began erupting on May 3, 2018
- Lava flows caused severe damages to the residential area (Leilani Estates)
- JAXA conducted a series of ALOS-2 emergency observations based on the requests from the International Disaster Charter
- Analysis performed:
 - InSAR
 - MAI (multi-aperture interferometry)
 - Offset tracking
 - Multi-temporal multi-polarimetric image interpretation





ALOS-2 Observations

	Date	Mode	Area		
	May 8	Strip (10m)	Leilani		
	May 12	ScanSAR	Whole		
	May 17	ScanSAR	Whole		
	May 22	Strip (10m)	Leilani		
_	May 26	ScanSAR	Whole		
	May 30	Strip (3m)	Caldera		
	May 31	ScanSAR	Whole		
-1	June 5	Strip (3m)	Whole		
	June 9	ScanSAR	Whole		
	June 13	Spotlight	Caldera		
_	June 14	Strip (10m)	Leilani		
	June 19	Strip (10m)	Leilani		
	June 23	ScanSAR	Whole		

Interferometry of Stripmap data





(1) 2018-02-27→2018-05-08

 Dyke intrusion (3.9 m thickness and 4.9 × 10⁷ m³ volume*) was estimated under the residential area



*Estimated by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan (GSI)

Illustration: National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience

(2) 2018-05-08→2018-05-22

- The deformation area moved to the east
- Suggested that underground magma moved eastward as it erupted from fissures in the residential area



https://www.theatlantic.com/photo/201 8/05/photos-of-kilaueas-newest-lavafissures-on-hawaiis-big-island/559751/

Interferometry of ScanSAR data

• ScanSAR data showed the whole picture of the volcanic activity



- (1) Kilauea Caldera: >50 cm subsidence
 (2) East Rift Zone (ERZ): >30 cm subsidence
 (3) Leilani Estates: >1 m expanding
 →Magma moved from (1) to (3) via (2)
- (1) Kilauea Caldera continued to subside
- (2) Deformation in ERZ ceased

(1) Kilauea Caldera continued to subside(3) Deformation in the residential area ceased

Lava flows by dual-polarization data

- Multi-polarimetric multi-temporal color composite (R:G:B = HV before: HV after: HH after)
- Fresh lava flows are clearly visible (red area)



2018-05-22→2018-06-19



2018-06-19→2018-07-17

Collapse of Kīlauea Caldera by Spotlight mode



(Animation)

Three-dimensional deformation of Kilauea Caldera

• Offset tracking using Spotlight data provided small-scale 3-D displacements



 Good agreement with airborne LiDAR data (~100 m subsidence)

LiDAR measurements (USGS)



Comparison: ALOS-2 and Sentinel-1

- ALOS-2 (L-band)
 - Higher coherence even in densely vegetated and largely displaced area despite of the long temporal baseline
 - The large temporal baseline (non-frequent observation) leads to contaminations in the results
- Sentinel-1 (C-band)
 - The small temporal baseline (frequent observation) is adequate for monitoring rapid volcanic activities



Wrapped interferogram of 2018 Kilauea eruption

2021 Nyiragongo eruption

- Mount Nyiragongo, the Democratic Republic of the Congo erupted on May 22, 2021
- Lava flow reached the residential areas and caused severe damage
- JAXA conducted a series of ALOS-2 emergency observation based on the requests of the International Disaster Charter
- Analysis performed:
 - InSAR
 - Multi-temporal multi-polarimetric image interpretation



Observations

(1) 2021-05-28 Strip(10m), descending, right-looking(2) 2021-06-03 Strip(10m), ascending, right-looking



Displacement obtained from multi-angle interferometry

- Multi-angle interferometry (2.5-dimensional analysis) using the ascending/descending data provided <u>quasi-</u> <u>vertical</u> and <u>quasi-horizontal (east-west)</u> components of displacements around the volcano
 - Horizontally, ground was expanded in the direction of the east-west splitting
 - In vertical direction, only the center of the deformation area subsided, while the surrounding areas uplifted
- These results implied the occurrence of dyke intrusion



Illustraion: National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience

Lava flows by dual-polarization data

- Multi-polarimetric multi-temporal color composite (R:G:B = HV before: HV after: HH after)
- Fresh lava flows are clearly visible (red area)





13

Comparison: ALOS-2 and Sentinel-1

- ALOS-2 (L-band)
 - Higher coherence even in densely vegetated and largely displaced area despite of the long temporal baseline
 - Fewer fringes, i.e., higher sensitivity to larger displacements
- Sentinel-1 (C-band)
 - More fringes, i.e., higher sensitivity to small displacements



Wrapped interferogram of 2021 Nyiragongo eruption

Conclusion

- We presented ALOS-2 results for 2018 Kilauea and 2021 Nyiragongo eruptions
 - Interferometry of Stripmap mode data revealed surface displacements associated with the volcanic activities
 - ScanSAR data provided the whole pictures of the large-scale deformations
 - Spotlight data provided highly detailed pictures of the crater collapse in Kilauea Caldera
 - Multi-polarimetric multi-temporal images clearly showed the lava flows
- Compared to Sentinel-1, ALOS-2 has
 - Higher coherence even in densely vegetated and largely displaced area in a long temporal baseline
 - Higher sensitivity to larger displacement (0.1-100 m)
 --> Sentinel-1 is needed for small displacement
 - Larger temporal baseline causing contaminations in results
 --> More frequent observation by Sentinel-1 (and possibly ALOS-4) is needed
 - ALOS-2/4 and Sentinel-1 are effective when used complementarily





「マンガでわかる!だいち2号 ~宇宙から地球を見守る人工衛星~」© JAXA

Thank you for your attention!



ALOS-2: Groundbreaking Achievements of the First L-band SAR Long-term Pantropical Forest Monitoring Mission

A3.12 Forest Monitoring - 5 Friday, 03:15 pm



Living Planet Symposium 2022

WCC Bonn, 27 May 2022



Backup

ALOS-2 Specification and mission

- Primary objectives:
 - Disaster monitoring: earthquake, volcano, flood, landslide, forest fire
 - Environmental monitoring: forest, mangrove, ice
 - Land applications: agriculture, natural resources
 - Ocean monitoring: sea ice, ocean wind, maritime
 - Radar and satellite technology development



ALOS-2 specification						
Mission sensor		PALSAR-2 (L-band synthetic aperture radar)				
	Resolution, swath	Spotlight: 1x3m, 25x25km Stripmap: 3/6/10m, 50/70km ScanSAR: 100m, 350/490km				
Launch		May 24, 2014 / H-IIA rocket				
Mass		2.1 tons				
Mission lifetime		5 years (target: 7 years)				
Orbit		Sun-synchronous sub-recurrent: 628 km altitude, 14 days revisit, Orbit control within ±500 m. 12:00±15 min local Sun time				
Mission data transmission		800 Mbps (X-band 16 QAM), 200/400 Mbps (X-band QPSK)				

ALOS-2 observation modes

- Target applications:
 - Fine (dual-pol): Forest and land cover monitoring, InSAR
 - ScanSAR (dual-pol): Rapid deforestation, wetlands, InSAR
 - Spotlight (single-pol): Emergency observations
 - Ultra Fine (single-pol) : Mapping (Japan area), InSAR base-ma
 - High Sensitive (quad-pol): Mapping (Specific area)
 - ScanSAR Wide (single-pol) : Polar ice, ocean



			Stripmap			ScanSAR		
		Spotlight	Ultra Fine	High	Fine	ScanSAR		ScanSAR
			(3m)	Sensitive (6m) (10m)		Nominal		Wide
Bandw	vidth	84MHz	84MHz	42MHz	28MHz	14MHz	28MHz	14MHz
Resolution		Rg × Az :	3m	6m	10m	100m		60m
		3×1m				(multilook)		(multilook)
Swath		Rg × Az :	50km	50km	70km	350km		490km
		25 × 25km				(5-scan)		(7-scan)
Polarization*		SP	SP/DP	SP/DP/QP/CP		SP/DP		
NESZ		-24dB	-24dB	-28dB	-26dB	-26dB	-23dB	-23dB
S/A	Rg	25dB	25dB	23dB	25dB	25dB		20dB
	Az	20dB	25dB	20dB	23dB	20dB		20dB

*Polarization SP: HH or VV or HV, DP: HH+HV or VV+VH, QP: HH+HV+VH+VV, CP: Compact pol (Experimental)

