

living planet symposium BONN 23-27 May 2022

TAKING THE PULSE OF OUR PLANET FROM SPACE

EUMETSAT CECMWF

Improving atmospheric correction for OLCI water reflectance products: the SACSO project

<u>François Steinmetz (1)</u>, Constant Mazeran (2), Didier Ramon (1), Robert Frouin (3), Julien Chimot (4), Ewa Kwiatkowska (4), David Dessailly (4) (1) HYGEOS, (2) Solvo, (3) SIO/UCSD, (4) EUMETSAT

2022-05-24, A8.11.2 Colour and Light in the Ocean from Earth Observation - 2

ESA UNCLASSIFIED – For ESA Official Use Only

Overview of SACSO



EUMETSAT SACSO study: 2019-2021



https://www.eumetsat.int/SACSO

<u>Objective</u>: Develop a **spectral matching atmospheric correction** for Sentinel 3 Ocean Colour (=SACSO), alternative to EUMETSAT operational processor (standard AC in the NIR), applicable to other sensors

→ Build on **Polymer** assets:

- Spectral matching approach allows for a **robust and generic atmospheric correction**: aerosols (in particular absorbing), sun glint, adjacency effects, thin clouds
- Analytical atmospheric reflectance model ; flexibility with respect to the spectral bands
- Account for per-pixel wavelength during the whole atmospheric correction (OLCI and MERIS "smile effect")
- Sensor-independent Rayleigh look-up table (tabulated in Rayleigh optical thickness)
- Spectral (band shifting) and directional normalization

💳 💶 📲 🚍 💳 🛶 💵 🔚 🔚 🔜 📲 💳 🛻 🚳 🍉 📲 🗮 💳 📾 🌬 👫

The MSA aerosol model used in SACSO



• Polymer atmospheric (+sun glint) reflectance model:

 $\rho_{ag}(\lambda) = T_0(\lambda)c_0 + c_1\lambda^{-1} + c_2\rho_{mol}(\lambda)$

 The MSA model (« Multiple scattering approximation ») is a physically based model extended from the single scattering approximation.

$$\rho_{ag}(\lambda) = \rho_{ag0} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{1 + k \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)^{-\alpha}}{1 + k}\right)$$

- 3 parameters:
 - *ρ_{ag0}*
 - α: Ångström coefficient
 - *k* ∈ [−1, 0], adjusting for the aerosol absorption and multiple scattering, thus decay towards blue bands



Fit of pure aerosol radiative transfer simulations with $\tau_{aer} = 1.0$ with Polymer and MSA models

Aerosol transmittance model



• Decomposition of the Rayleigh-corrected signal

 $\rho_{rc}(\lambda) = \rho_a(\lambda) + t(\lambda)\rho_w(\lambda)$

- In Polymer, assumption that $t(\lambda) = t_R(\lambda)$; thus $t_a(\lambda) = 1$
- In SACSO, based on radiative transfer simulations, the aerosol transmission is estimated as follows:

 $t_a(\lambda) = 1 - 1.9 \cdot \rho_a(\lambda)$



Valid for non-absorbing aerosols, outside of sun glint.

For absorbing aerosols, $t_a(\lambda)$ is still underestimated, but this is not seen as the dominant issue with absorbing aerosols.

MSA model: dimensionality reduction



- Parameters to the problem *x*:
 - 2 for the water model: chlorophyll concentration and backscattering ratio, both in log scale :

 $x_w = (\log_{10}(chl), \log_{10}(f_b))$

• 3 for the MSA model $x_a = (\rho_{ag0}, \alpha, k)$

The parameters k and ρ_{ag0} can be solved exactly in the minimization problems at each iteration:

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial k} = 0 \iff k = f(\rho_{Rc}, \rho_w^{mod}, \alpha)$$

$$\frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial \rho_{a0}} = 0 \iff \rho_{a0} = g(\rho_{RC}, \rho_W^{mod}, \alpha, k)$$

- → In practice, α is the only atmospheric degree of freedom ; ρ_{ag0} and k are intermediate variables.
- \rightarrow The iterative optimization scheme reduces from 5 to 3 parameters



Example for water reflectance spectra generated with the model (Park and Ruddick, 2005)

Cost function, weights & uncertainties

• e e sa

• Standard mathematical formalism for non-linear least square minimization (NLLSQ):

 $\chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{n_{obs} - n_{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Rc}^{mod}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Rc} \right)^{T} W \left(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{Rc}^{mod}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{Rc} \right), \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{x} \text{ is the vector of parameters (water+aerosols)}$

• Ideally, $W = (C_{\rho_{Rc}^{mod}} + C_{\rho_{Rc}})^{-1}$. If no covariance (W=diag):

$$\chi^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{obs}} \frac{\left(\rho_{Rc}^{mod}(\lambda_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}) - \rho_{Rc}(\lambda_{i})\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{mod}^{2}(\lambda_{i}) + \sigma_{obs}^{2}(\lambda_{i})}$$

- Variance-covariance of retrieved parameters (C_x) given by theory of uncertainty propagation:
 - $C_x = (J^T W J)^{-1}$, at convergence, with $J = \frac{\partial \rho_{Rc}^{mod}}{\partial x}$
 - Uncertainties can then be propagated from x to $\rho_w(\lambda)$

 $unc(logchl) \\ \begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.08 \\ -0.06 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.04 \\ -0.10 \\ -0.04 \\ -0$

Example of a SACSO uncertainty map

• Key point is the assessment of input uncertainties $C_{
ho_{Rc}^{mod}}$ and $C_{
ho_{Rc}}$

Optimization scheme



- Iterative minimization of χ^2 in 3 dimensions simultaneously
 - 2 water parameters $(\log_{10} chl, \log_{10} f_b)$
 - 1 aerosol parameters (α)
- Interface with the GSL library: access to many minimization methods (unlike Polymer)
- Final method selected: Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear least square fitting
- Criteria for selection:
 - Stability! Obtaining a stable numerical method was one of the main challenges in this project. Stability of the inversion was already one of the main issues with Polymer.
 - \rightarrow Weak sensitivity to the initialization point
 - \rightarrow Avoid sharp pixel-to-pixel transitions in the images
 - Speed of convergence (to reach an acceptable processing time)



Visualization of the optimization in the 3-dimensional cost function for a sample OLCI spectrum rho rc



minimization of a sample

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Modular implementation



- The SACSO processor originates from Polymer
- Implemented in Python, with modules written in cython language for efficiency (converted to C language and compiled)
- Updated for improved modularity
 - \rightarrow Rely on the xarray python module
 - →Allowed to directly process EUMETSAT matchup database
 - → Processing of EUMETSAT minifiles (NetCDF format)



💳 🔜 🖬 🚛 💳 🛶 📲 🔚 📰 🔜 📲 🔜 🐜 🔤 🔤 👘 🚱 📥 👘

Evaluation and validations



Which products are being compared:

- 1. SACSO
- 2. Operational OLCI Level2: IPF collection 3 OL_L2M.003.01 (PB v2.73)
- 3. Polymer v4.13

Methods:

- 1. Visual inspection of 37 selected scenes with a wide range of situations: different types of waters from oligotrophic to extremely turbid, desert dust, polluted dust, volcanic eruptions, ashes, etc (described in the PVP)
- 2. Validation using in-situ data
- 3. Global 4-days composites
- 4. Timeseries over selected points (in particular, South Pacific Gyre)

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🛶 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 🔚 🚍 🚼 🔤 🛶 🚳 🛌 📲 🚼 🖬 🖬 ன 🍁 > THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENC

Example 1: Mediterranean Sea



- S3A_OL_1_EFR___20170529T092331
- Quality flags are shown on/off for each processor
- Consistent results between Polymer and SACSO
- Glint correction works well with SACSO







Contraction of the second seco

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY

Example 2: California fires



- S3A_OL_1_EFR___20171210T183320
- SACSO (like Polymer) is much less sensitive to the absorbing aerosols than the Operational OLCI.
- SACSO flags are more effective than Polymer to mask the ash plume.









Example 3: Black Sea



- S3A_OL_1_EFR____20170913T080543
- RGB visualization, with R=665, G=560 and B=443
- Same colour scales for rho_w and rho_a (max=0.2; log scale to enhance dark areas)
- Allows to visualize the decoupling between the ocean and atmosphere components in "natural" colours

rho_toa

Operational OLCI: Adjacency effect nearby dense vegetation. Results in rho_w(665) < 0 (shown in black)





SACSO rho_w

Validation results





Algorithm behaviour over clear water



<u>Polymer</u>: issues of stability and bias in the very clear waters of the South Pacifig Gyre (SPG).

- Global 4-days composites and timeseries analysis have shown a better consistency between SACSO and Operational OLCI than Polymer and Operational OLCI in the SPG
- But: impact of system vicarious calibration (SVC) in the SPG to be further studied





Global 4-day composite of SACSO (top) and Operational OLCI (1-4 March 2019)

Summary : spectral matching vs. standard atmospheric correction



- The spectral matching approach allows to improve the robustness of the aerosol correction, compared with the standard atmospheric correction
 - Retrieved marine reflectances are less sensitive to the aerosols, in particular absorbing ones
 - > Robust to the sun glint (even the brightest part of the sun glint) and adjacency effects
 - Simple analytical aerosol reflectance modelling
 - > The analytic atmospheric model and stable inversion scheme anomalous pixel to pixels transitions
 - Significantly increased spatial coverage
- Weakness: more dependency on the water reflectance model
 - > SACSO: water reflectance model for the whole VIS+NIR range
 - > Operational OLCI AC: water reflectance model only in the NIR (Bright Pixel Correction)
- SACSO includes an uncertainty propagation scheme

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 🕂 📲 🔚 🔚 🔚 📲 🔚 📲 🔚 🛶 🕼 🌬 👫 📲 🖬 📾 🛶 🍁 🖬 🛨

Conclusion : improvements and outlook



Many improvements implemented in SACSO compared to the baseline Polymer:

- A more constraining aerosol reflectance model, allowing for more stable results over oligotrophic (clear) waters. However an over-correction is still observed over extremely turbid waters.
- A more rigorous and generic definition of the cost function
- A more stable numeric inversion scheme
- SACSO takes into account the aerosol transmittance
- An uncertainty propagation scheme and associated flags

<u>Drawback</u>: the computational efficiency is currently about 3 times lower than Polymer because of the more complex inversion scheme ; to be optimized

- Some points to be further consolidated (uncertainties definition, flagging, processing time, water reflectance model...)
- ➔ To be tested on other sensors

💳 🔜 📲 🚍 💳 📥 📲 🔚 🔚 🔜 📲 💳 🛻 🚳 🖿 📲 🖿 🗰 🖬 🖛 🖓

Thanks for your attention.

