Mapping macroalgae in fjords in SW Greenland using Sentinel 2 MSI

Daniel F. Carlson

Antoni Vivó-Pons - Technical University of Denmark Urs A. Treier - Aarhus University Eva Mätzler - Government of Greenland Lorenz Meire - NIOZ Mikael Sejr - Aarhus University Dorte Krause-Jensen - Aarhus University

Living Planet Symposium



Motivation

- Greenland is the world's largest island with a rocky coastline that provides substrate for macroalgae
- Macroalgae in Greenland may be expanding and may contribute to carbon sequestration (Blue Carbon)
- Large area with harsh weather conditions makes in situ monitoring difficult
- Map the distribution of macroalgae using Sentinel-2 MSI





Study Area

- Preliminary mapping in Nuup Kangerlua (Godthåbsfjord) and Ameralik Fjord
- Wealth of in situ data GINR
- Marine area of 2372 sq km
- Three marine terminating glaciers
- Turbid surface runoff
- Tidal range ~4 m
- Mountainous topography
- Optically complex environment





Study Area

- Sea ice breaks up in late May
- Icebergs in inner fjord
 June-August
- Turbid surface runoff increases and peaks in September
- Sea ice starts to form in late September









Study Area

- Icebergs, sea ice,
 and turbid surface
 waters in inner fjord
 make presence of
 macroalgae unlikely
- Expect to find macroalgae from mid to outer fjord



Macroalgae

- Tidal: *Ascophyllum nodosum* and *Fucus spp*.
- Subtidal: Saccharina latissima and Agarum clathratum
- Eelgrass beds
- Steep fjord boundaries limit macroalgae to narrow band of shallow water
- Macroalgal canopies do not reach the surface





Image selection, atmospheric correction & masking

- Cloud-free S2 images in May-September
- Hillshade used to mask terrain shadows
- Images from June-July selected to minimize terrain shadows
- Low tide high tide included to quantify impact of water level
- Six images total were analyzed
- 2017, 2018, and 2021
- ACOLITE atmospheric correction
- DEM and SWIR used to mask land





Classification

- Macroalgae identified using vegetation indices and spectral mixture analysis (SMA)
- Spectral unmixing in SNAP
- 5 spectral end members
- Floating macroalgae visually identified
- Spectral end member also used to identify macroalgae exposed at low tide
- Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
- Floating Algae Index (Hu, 2009)
- Enhanced Vegetation Index (Huete et al., 1999)



Validation

- High resolution (~cm) drone orthomosaics
- Imagery processed in Agisoft Metashape
- USGS workflow for coastal areas (Over et al., 2021)
- NDVI and FAI: 74% overall accuracy, 77% user accuracy, 76% producer accuracy
 EVI and SMA had higher errors of omission

and commission

 Thresholds adjusted to maximize accuracies for all classifiers





Results NDVI

NDVI = (NIR-R)/(NIR+R)



Results FAI

FAI = NIR-(R+(SWIR-R)*(λ NIR- λ Red)/(λ SWIR- λ Red))





Results EVI

EVI = 2.5*(NIR-R)/(NIR+6*R-7.5*B+1)





Results SMA

Submerged iceberg keels classified as floating macroalgae



Summary

- Tidal macroalgal cover ~10 sq km
- Spatial extent corresponds to light availability in the fjord and substrate
- Limited to macroalgae exposed at low tide
- Drops to ~1 sq km at high tide
- Macroalgae growing deeper than 1-2 m not detected
- Bathymetry data required to map submerged macroalgae
- Macroalgae growing in terrain shadows not detected
- Validation imagery lacks turbid water and ice
- Validation data lacking in Ameralik Fjord



Outlook

- Sentinel-2 MSI imagery can be used to map the spatial extent of shallow macrophytes
- S2 methods could be applied to other areas of Greenland
- Shallow macroalgae cover estimates are sensitive to land mask and water level
- Nuup Kangerlua is one of the most studied fjords in Greenland, with a wealth of published literature and in situ data





This research was supported by the Independent Research Fund Denmark (8021-00222B) CARMA

Dr. Daniel F. Carlson Optical Oceanography Institute of Carbon Cycles

daniel.carlson@hereon.de

www.hereon.de

