

23rd May

living planet BONN symposium 2022

TAKING THE PULSE OF OUR PLANET FROM SPACE

EUMETSAT CECMWF

eesa

(รบรรค



SMOS Sea Surface Salinity maps in the framework of the regional initiative An Earth Observation Data for Science and Innovation in the Black Sea

E. Olmedo^(1,2), V. González-Gambau^(1,2), A. Turiel^(1,2), C. González-Haro^(1,2), A. García-Espriu^(1,2), A. Alvera-Azcarate⁽³⁾, M. Grégoire⁽³⁾, L. Buga⁽⁴⁾ and M. H. Rio⁽⁵⁾



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For ESA Official Use Only

BEC ⁽²⁾

IEGE



An Earth Observation Data for Science and Innovation in the Black Sea project



Novel EO-based products and enhanced data sets Public Databases / External Users dedicated to the Black Sea as concerns: WP2 Data collection and archiving - NIMRD Ocean colour data L1/L2 EO In Situ leference Coastal altimetry Data EO Calibration WP3 products Validation High resolution salinity Ocean Colour River plume extent SU WP6 Science Eddy tracking Value-Addeo nhanced gridded EO Products Cases EO Products WP4 Earth Observation Black Sea + Validation & User Manual & Altimetry Scientific Water mass classification Scientific < Valorisat Community Community CLS ULièae Stratification Index Science Cases Impact Assesment Requirements & Roadmap WP5 Gap Analysis Surface To generate new knowledge and methodology in Salinity order to better assess: BEC User Request Physical oceanography and biochemical eed-Back WP1 ecosystems Coordination, Integration, Reporting Dissemination - ULiège Black Sea level dynamics and trends Deoxygenation Local Stakeholders / Advisory Board

An Earth Observation Data for Science and Innovation in the Black Sea project: Sea Surface Salinity





L-band SSS retrieval in the Black Sea



The quality of the Brightness Temperatures in the Black Sea is strongly degraded by the proximity of in land RFI sources



Novelties in the SMOS SSS data processing

BEC Barcelona Expert Center

Enhanced algorithms have been introduced to retrieve SMOS SSS under these strong RFI conditions:

- Nodal Sampling v3
- Multifractal fusion of Brightness Temperatures
- Characterization and correction of systematic biases
- Temporal correction preserving surface dynamics
- Quality Flag of the final product



Mitigation of RFI contamination: Nodal Sampling



Nodal Sampling is based on oversampling the image to choose sampling points that minimize fast varying distortions.

The application of Nodal Sampling in this basin is required because of the strong RFI contamination.



Mitigation of RFI contamination: Nodal Sampling



Nodal Sampling V2 increased the contamination of the coastal pixels

A new version of Nodal Sampling (V3) that integrates a Land-Sea mask in order to separate ocean from land pixels has been used



Even after applying Nodal Sampling the quality of the SMOS TB is still poor in comparison with those in open ocean... additional techniques are required to reduce TB errors!

We have applied for the first time multifractal fusion over the SMOS TBs

This technique has been applied before to enhance the quality and the coverage of the Level 3 SMOS SSS maps

Multifractal fusion of Level 3 SMOS SSS and SST in the Alboran Sea (Mediterranean Sea)









BEC



BEC



BEC



We observe a reduction of the standard deviation of the difference between SMOS SSS and Argo larger than 60%

BFC

2014					
Nominal		Fusion			
MD	SD	MD	SD		
0.68	1.48	0.60	0.92		
2017					
Nominal		Fusion			
MD	SD	MD	SD		
0.54	1.06	-0.02	0.65		

Debiased non Bayesian (DNB) approach empirically characterizes and mitigates those systematic errors that depend on the geographical location and acquisition conditions.

Filtering criteria are defined as a function of the statistical properties of large temporal series of SSS retrievals under the same geographical location and acquisition conditions.

ASC DES SSS distributions SSS distributions Lat=43.12 Lon=34.12 ATD=25.00 IA=42.50 Lat=43.12 Lon=34.12 ATD=25.00 IA=42.50 Mean=-11.78. Filtered Mean=-1.84. Mean=-6.73. Filtered Mean=1.81. E 800 Moda=2.95. Median=-0.92 Moda=-0.94. Median=-7.03 Nmeas=1205, nStd=18.43, Skewness=-0.92, Kurtosi=3.36 Nmeas=1224, nStd=23.61, Skewness=-0.38, Kurtosi=2.35 70 60 600 60 50 50 40 8 400 equency 40 30 30 200 20 along-20 10 10 -800 -600 -400 -1000 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100 psu







Barcelona Expert Cente

The application of the original DNB approach leads to two different SSS signatures: before and after 2016





The reason is that the **RFI affectation before 2016 is different to the one after 2016**. Therefore, for the proper characterization and mitigation of systematic errors these two periods should be separated.

After correcting errors separately in the two RFI affected periods, we observe a too large seasonal error.



SMOS - Argo SSS

Barcelona Expert Center

We characterize and mitigate systematic errors as function of the geographical location, acquisition conditions and **Sea Surface Temperature**



Temporal corrections

Olmedo et al. 2022 shows that SSS dynamics is actually different from Near Surface Salinity dynamics. **Black Sea is prone** to stratification episodes.

Temporal correction should be done carefully if we want to preserve the surface dynamics

How to do it?

<u>Global product:</u> Assuming the global average of SSS does not change with time. <u>Regional products:</u> Apply the same correction as in the global. This implies computing global maps..huge computation effort!



See presentation on Friday: Evidence of intensification of the water cycle from SMOS SSS maps

EO4SIBS SMOS SSS products



	Level 2 A	Level 2 D	Level 3	Level 4
Type of orbit	Ascending	Descending	Both	Both
Spatial resolution	0.25x0.25°	0.25x0.25°	0.25x0.25°	0.05x0.05°
Temporal resolution	Daily	Daily	9-day	Daily

Each product includes sss, estimated uncertainty and quality flag and it is freely distributed in netcdf files in our website: ftp://becftp.icm.csic.es



Comparison with Argo floats

1912

L4

-0.14

0.48

Barcelona Expert Center

	2011-2015			(a) Mean (L2A -Argo)	(b) SD (L2A -Argo)	50
	NM	MD	SD	46.5°N 45°N 45°N	46.5°N 45°N	25
L2A	733	-0.18	1.80	43.5°N 42°N	343.5°N 42°N).75 [nsa).50
L2D	424	-0,17	2,74	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E -1.	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E).25 4).00
L3	11393	-0.17	0.71	(d) Mean (L3 - Argo)	(e) SD (L3-Argo)	50 25 46.
L4	1556	-0.17	0.61	45°N 43.5°N 0.0	45°N	.00 45° 0.75 8 43.5°
	2016-2020			42°N 40.5°N	42°N 40.5°N	0.50 42°N 0.25 40.5°N
	NM	MD	SD	(g) Mean (L4 -Argo)	27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E (h) SD (L4-Argo)	50 (i)
L2A	1366	-0.18	1.49	46.5°N 45°N 45°N	46.5°N 45°N Eastron	25 46.5° 00 45°
L2D	1192	-0,32	1,94	43.5 °N 42°N 40.5°N	42°N 40.5°N	0.50 43.5° 0.25 40.5°
L3	19729	-0.16	0.56	27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E −1.	0 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	0.00
				1		

Comparison with SeaDataNet in situ measurements

	2011-2015		(a) Mean (L2A - SDN)		(b) SD (L2A - SDN)		(c) Number matchups L2A and SDN		
	NM	MD	SD	46.5°N 45°N	0.5	46.5°N 45°N	1.5	46.5°N 45°N	12.5 10.0
L2A	962	0.12	1.8	43.5°N 42°N	0.0 [ns]	43.5°N 42°N	1.0 [nsd]	43.5°N 42°N	7.5 <u>-</u> 5.0
L3	7115	0.14	0.71	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	-1.0	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°	0.0	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	2.5 0.0
L4	986	0.10	0.68	(d) Mean (L3 - SDN) 46.5°N	1.0	(e) SD (L3 - SDN)	1.0	(f) Number matchups L3 and SDN 46.5°N	100
				45°N 43.5°N	0.5 0.0	45°N 43.5°N	0.6 [nsd	45°N 43.5°N	60
	2016-2019			42°N 40.5°N	-0.5	42°N 40.5°N	0.4 -	42°N 40.5°N	40 20
	NM	MD	SD	27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E (g) Mean (L4 - SDN)	-1.0	27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5° (h) SD (L4 - SD)	0.0 1.0	27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E (i) Number matchups L4 and SDN	0
L2A	1820	-0.21	1.8	16.5°N 45°N	0.5	46.5°N	0.8	46.5°N 45°N	60
L3	11801	-0.04	0.59	13.5°N 42°N	0.0	43.5°N 42°N	0.0 [nsd]	43.5°N 42°N	⁴⁰ :
L4	7308	-0.00	0.50	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	-1.0	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	0.2	40.5°N 27.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E	o

Comparison with SeaDataNet climatology



Is the satellite really

capturing the

surface salinity

Seasonal behaviour consistent with the one presented by the SeaDataNet climatology:

- In summer the satellite presents fresher waters than climatology
- In autumn the satellite presents saltier waters than climatology

dynamics? (d) L4 OND (a) L4 JFM (b) L4 AMJ (c) L4 JAS 19.0 18.5 46.5°N 46.5°N 46.5°N 46.5°N 45°N 45°N 45°N 45°N 18.0 17.5 8 43.5°N 43.5°N 43.5°N 43.5°N 17.0 42°N 42°N 42°N 42°N 40.5°N 40.5°N 16.5 40.5°N 40.5°N 42.5°E 16.0 37.5°E 42.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 42.5°E 32.5°E 37.5°E 32.5°E (e) SeaDataNet JFM (f) SeaDataNet AMJ (g) SeaDataNet JAS (h) SeaDataNet OND 19.0 47°N 47°N 47°N 47°N 18.5 46°N 46°N 46°N 46°N 45°N 45°N 45°N 45°N 18.0 44°N 44°N 44°N 44°N 17.5 g 43°N 43°N 43°N 43°N 17.0 42°N 42°N 42°N 42°N 16.5 41°N 41°N 41°N 41°N 16.0 27.5°E

Alignment between SSS and ADT gradients

BEC Barcelona Expert Center

Angle between ADT and SSS gradients tends to be in counter phase independently on the analysed month.

This is consistent with the thermohaline alignment and density compensation that dominates the large scale and mesoscale.

This suggests that spatial structures of the product are consistent with the streamlines.



Conclusions



We have generated the first SMOS SSS regional products in the Black Sea.

For this, enhanced algorithms aiming at the mitigation of the RFI contamination have been developed and successfully applied:

- Nodal Sampling V3 that includes a Land-Sea mask to diminish the coastal contamination
- Multifractal fusion of the Brightness Temperatures to reduce TB errors
- Characterization of the systematic biases in two separate periods depending on the RFI affectation

These algorithms are applicable to other strong contaminated ocean regions such that the Chinese Sea and the East Mediterranean Sea.

Temporal corrections have been applied trying to preserve the surface salinity dynamics.



We have generated 10 years of level 2, level 3 and level 4 products:

- Level 2 descending overpasses have a very poor accuracy, which makes unlikely its use for scientific purposes.
- Level 2 ascending overpasses have an overall accuracy of 1.85 in 2011-2015 and 1.5 in 2016-2020. The quality of this product depends on the way that the satellite crosses the basin.
- Level 3 has an accuracy of 0.7 in 2011-2015 and 0.56 in 2016-2020.
- Level 4 has an accuracy of 0.6 in 2011-2015 and 0.4 in 2016-2020.

The seasonal climatology derived from the EO4SIBS SMOS SSS presents consistent behaviour with the one provided by SeaDataNet.

The comparison with altimetry observations shows that the EO4SIBS products are consistent in describing the dynamics of the basin, i.e SSS gradients are aligned with the streamlines.

The product is ready to address scientific studies in the basin!







living planet BONN symposium 2022



TAKING THE PULSE OF OUR PLANET FROM SPACE



EUMETSAT CECMWF



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION! olmedo@icm.csic.es

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For ESA Official Use Only

- 🚍 💶 📲 🛨 🥅 🚍 📰 💶 🖆 💶 📲 🔲 📕 🚍 😓 🔤 🔤 🔤 🚳 🚬 📲 💥 🕂 🔂 🚍 🚍 😭 → THE EURC

→ THE EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY