Fault Activity in Response to Rifting Episode in Ethiopian Afar using InSAR Fringe 2011

S. Dumont⁽¹⁾, A.Socquet^(1,2), R.Grandin^(1,3), C.Doubre⁽⁴⁾, Y.Klinger⁽¹⁾, E.Jacques⁽¹⁾ dumont@ipgp.fr

Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris

Tectonics Laboratory

⁽¹⁾IPGP, France, ⁽²⁾IsTerre Grenoble, France, ⁽³⁾ENS-Paris, France, ⁽⁴⁾EOST, Univ. Strasbourg, France.



Geodynamical Setting



- Magma circulation from mantle to the ground surface
- Extension tectonic : normal faults and open fissures

ANR



Ethiopian Rifting Episode : 2005-2010



Modified from Grandin et al. (2010)

ANR



From Ayele et al. (2007)

イロト イヨト イヨト イ



Э

Dabbahu-Manda Harraro Rifting Episode : Observation/Modelisation

Displacement field from ENVISAT InSAR data :

2 ascending 2 descending tracks



Role of Faults During the Rifting Episode?

- Are the faults activated only during diking processes?
- Where are the active faults located? Is there a relation with the location of the last dyke intrusion(s)? Is there a relation with the crustal magma chamber and its activity (inflation/deflation)?
- How is the evolution of the dynamics of these faults with time?



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



The Period of Interest : Dec - Mai 2006



ANR



Interferograms (ascending tracks)





Fault Mapping







500

Quickbird images (horiz. resol : 0.6 m)

ANR

SPOT images (horiz. resol : 2.5 m)

interferogram images (horiz. resol : 20 m)



Measurement of LOS Offsets



- end points of faults : reference for normal profiles
- 360 m long profiles

ANR

- bootstrap : robust estimate of fault location
- Step estimate using linear regressions



Э

Slip profiles



ANR



ł

ヘロト A摺ト A注ト A注ト

Location of Active Faults During the D0-D1 Period



Faulting Related to the Opening of the Previous Dyke



 $\overrightarrow{\text{ANR}} \xrightarrow{\text{$\sim>$ faulting in Northern area : role in the compensation of a lesser}}_{\text{opening at depth}}$



Faulting, Opening and Inflation of the deep magma chamber



 $\overrightarrow{\text{ANR}} \xrightarrow{\text{opening in Southern area}} : \textbf{role} \text{ in the compensation of a lesser} \\ \overrightarrow{\text{opening AND probably associated with } \textbf{re-filling of the crustal magma} \\ \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \xrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}} \overrightarrow{\text{chamber}}$



Fault Dynamics : Northern Region



Coherence of the Fault Deformation With Time



Summary

- First interdiking period : Activity in 2 distinct regions Northern and Southern areas
- Northern et southern regions : Faulting in regions of lesser opening due to mega-dike
- **Southern region** : Interaction with inflation of deep magma chamber
- Conservation of this fault segmentation during inter-diking period





Outlook

- Evolution of segmentation during rifting episode
- How do intrusions influence fault dynamics?
- Distribution of opening?





Appendix A : Feb-March 2008



ANR



200

Appendix B : March-Mai 2008



ANR



2 Q Q

Appendix C : Box-profiles



Appendix D : Simulated Annealing



- offset located by a sigmoide
 (= forward model)
- non linear inversion by simulated annealing
- inflection points of sigmoide to constrain the linear fits





Appendix 5 : Comparison between the two methods



ANR



- same range in throw magnitudes
- similar distribution of throw along the fault

 \rightsquigarrow results validated by 2 independent methods



Э