Airborne And Satellite-based Insar Observations Of Icelandic Ice Caps (A Progress Report)







Mark Simons¹, Brent Minchew¹, Scott Hensley², Piyush Agram¹, Eric Larour², Helgi Björnsson³, Finnur Pálsson³



¹Seismological Laboratory, California Institute of Technology ²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology ³Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland





UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND







Motivation

- 1. Icelandic ice caps are an ideal natural laboratory for studying mechanics of glaciers and testing glacier modeling tools
 - Limited size (computational tractability)
 - Long history of study with extensive supporting observations
 - Relatively easy access
- 2. Ice rheology and basal tractions of an entire temperate ice cap, including seasonal and yearly variations
- 3. Evaluate interferometric decorrelation mechanisms for temperate ice (estimation of accumulation rates, radar skin depth, and planning future InSAR missions)
- 4. Develop PolSAR and PolInSAR techniques for glacial monitoring (surface moisture content, accumulation rates, etc.)

Natural Laboratory Potential

- Ice cap geometry
- Estimates of annual mass balance and ground-based estimates of surface velocities at selected points



Ice thickness



- Accessible temperate glacier
- Extreme weather events are rare
- Gentle surface topography allows imaging from any angle

Glacier surface and basal topography courtesy of Björnsson and Pálsson

Temperate Environment

- Temperatures typically vary between +/- 10°C
- Total annual precipitation < 5 m in most areas
- Average temperature approaches 0°C over all of Hofsjökull during the summer
- Average temperature in winter remains well below freezing
- Variability of temperature causes ice characteristics to vary substantially between summer and winter and on a daily basis in summer.



Previous work: ERS Velocity Field (1994 Δ T = 3 days)

- Use of ASC and DSC InSAR + MAI
- Conversion to E, N, U





Revisit: ERS Velocity Field (1994 $\Delta T = 3$ days) A basis for estimating temporal variations 3D decomposition was formed using 2 LOS and 1 along track MAI image (*Gourmelen et al.*, 2011)



Descending orbit •Track 38, Frame 2295 •February 22 and 25, 1994 •Bp =138 m

•Bp =138 m
 •Bp =138 m
 •Ascending orbit
 •Track 30, Frame 1305
 •February 24 and 27, 1994
 •Bp = 306m

Velocity components on surrounding stable rock

- std_{east} = 0.9 (m/yr)
- std_{north} = 10.4 (m/yr) → driven by MAI noise
 - $std_{up} = 1.4 (m/yr)$

Velocity Magnitude (1994 $\Delta T = 3$ days)



Velocity Magnitude (1994 $\Delta T = 3$ days)



Vertical Component (1994 Δ T = 3 days)



Consistent equilibrium line

ERS Summary

- February 24/27 1994 + ERS tandem ERS
- 2011 ERS-2 Phase (Jan-June)
 - Of 35 interferometric pairs in ascending and descending geometries only 2 interferograms are viable, remaining are heavily decorrelated
 - <u>6% success rate for ~75% coverage</u>



UAVSAR: Mission Objectives

- Investigate yearly and seasonal characteristics of temperate Icelandic glaciers
 - Use L-band InSAR to generate 3D velocity fields of two major Icelandic ice caps, Hofsjökull and Langjökull
 - Compare UAVSAR-derived velocity fields with GPS measurements and with satellite-based InSAR measurements
 - Study deformation (flow) characteristics coupling velocity fields with mechanical ice sheet models (using the JPL ISSM suite)
- Constrain requirements on temporal baselines for acceptable L-band decorrelation over temperate ice
 - Analyze the mechanisms of decorrelation using small-temporal-baseline UAVSAR data and PolSAR techniques
 - Estimate the temporal decorrelation rate at L-band





Table 1: UAVSAR radar operational parameters

Frequency	$1.2575 \mathrm{GHz}$
Wavelength	$0.2379 { m m}$
Bandwidth	$80 \mathrm{~MHz}$
Pulse Duration	$40 \ \mu s$
Polarization	Quad Polarization
Operating Altitude	12.5 km
Ground Speed	220 m/s
Range Swath	$22 \mathrm{km}$
Look Angle	$22^{\circ}-65^{\circ}$
Slant Range Resolution	1.7 m
Azimuth Resolution	1.0 m
Transmit Power	3.1 kW
Cross Pol Isolation	-25 dB

June 2009 UAVSAR mission

- 5 consecutive data collection days (June 10-14,2009)
- ~6 flight hours per day (exhausted flight crew)
- 11 unique flight tracks in total which provide 3D coverage of Hofsjökull and 2D coverage of Langjökull
 - All tracks were repeated daily (June 10-13)
 - 3 tracks were flown twice each day ~4 hours apart
 - Additional data was collected on departure from Iceland



Daily flight path for June 2009 mission

Example wrapped interferograms showing data coverage

June 2009 UAVSAR mission

- All data was collected in quad-pol mode (HH, HV, VH, VV polarizations)
- Every line was flown in repeat-pass mode ($B_{perp} < 10$ m) at least 4 times
- ~100 possible InSAR pairs at each polarization
- > 125 pairs have been processed





Mosaic images of Hofsjökull acquired by UAVSAR June 2009

PolSAR Results



IHVI

HH+VV

- Polarimetric products were used to identify glacial zones and infer scattering properties of glaciers:
 - Ablation zone shows strong depolarizing properties – scattering from a rough, wet surface
 - Equilibrium line shows reduced backscatter on gentle slopes – scattering from relatively smooth, wet surface
 - High elevations show higher backscatter and even distribution of scattering properties – volumetric scatter (deeper radar penetration)

Colored contours indicate estimated air temperature

HH-VVI

Temporal Decorrelation Studies



- Mosaics of multiple tracks at different LOS
- Decorrelation caused by:
 - changes in near-surface temperature and near-surface moisture content
 - accumulation or ablation
 - fast moving ice

Temporal Decorrelation Studies

- Decorrelation
 - increases from $\Delta t = 1$ to 2 days
 - relatively stable for $\Delta t = 2$ or 3 day
- Higher elevations decorrelate quickest due primarily to volumetric scattering
- Ablation zone shows relatively high decorrelation rate due to scattering from wet, rough surface
- Mid-elevation areas show relatively stable correlation over $\Delta t \ge 3$ day
- Decorrelation at ∆t ≥3 days consistent with paucity of usable satellite data
- Need to evaluate relationship between local weather and decorrelation (precipitation & temperature)



Flight Heading: 200 Dates: June 12/13,2009 $\Delta t = 26.5 \text{ hr}$





Flight Heading: 075 Dates: June 10/10,2009 $\Delta t = 3.9 \text{ hr}$





InSAR Results

- 24 hour repeat pairs resolve Hofsjökull well (lower right)
- Examples of rapid flow are visible at A, B, C, and D (right image)
- Ice can be seen pouring over the caldera lip (shown with dotted circle) at B and E
- 2 day and 3 day Δt show similar features
- 4 hr repeat pair (lower left) resolves fast-moving ice and has excellent correlation everywhere
- 3D decomposition still to come...





Unwrapped interferograms of Hofsjökull generated from 4 hour (left) and 24 hour (right) UAVSAR repeat pairs. Dashed circle (right) indicates the approximate location of the caldera rim, and the dashed line in each image shows a common transect.

FRINGE 2011: Simons

Processing Challenges

- Several of the East/West trending tracks were inadvertently but consistently acquired with a 5° forward squint in each acquisition
- Currently: azimuth-varying banding even after motion compensation



No motion compensation

One iteration of motion comp.

Two iterations of motion comp.

•

- Left: Wrapped interferograms with persistent error in the E/W flight tracks
- Characteristics of residual motion
- Applied a low-pass filter to the GPS data associated with each of the affected flights, but errors remain
- Error is present everyday and not present in other interferograms collected on the same day => not related to GPS dilution of precision

InSAR Processing Challenges

- Lines that affected by the squint issue constitute ~1/3 of the total data collected in June 2009 and 2/3 of the 4-hour repeat-pass data
- The problem is likely within the processor and not related to aircraft motion or erroneous inputs from the GPS or INU
- Eventual solution to this problem should aid in the development of a multi-squint processor that will increase UAVSAR's capabilities

Proposed 2012 UAVSAR Flight Plan

- Image both Langjökull and Hofsjökull from 3 different LOS directions (providing data for 3D velocity field)
- Campaign in summer and winter
- *In situ* GPS and snow characterization campaigns will begin a few days before UAVSAR arrives in Iceland and will continue for a few days after







http://issm.jpl.nasa.gov/

Hofsjökull: Anisotropic mesh in ISSM

JPL ISSM development team Eric Larour Eric Rignot Helene Seroussi Mathieu Morlighem

Conclusions

- ∆T ≥ 3 days: Difficult to preserve phase coherence time series nearly impossible (~10% success rate)
- L-band UAVSAR success at 4 hrs, 1, 2 & 3 days
- Fantastic potential for 3D velocity fields
- High resolution airborne InSAR excels at studying "predictable" deformation sources allowing for short dT and multiple LOS coverage
- Needs to be flown at different seasons
 - Flow mechanics
 - Backscatter / decorrelation studies
 - Inform requirements for future L-band airborne and spaceborne missions
- A lot of analysis still to come (time variability, 3D decomposition, modeling)

Thank you **esa** for 20 years of ERS-1/2