ALFRED-WEGENER-INSTITUT
HELMHOLTZ-ZENTRUM FÜR POLAR- UND MEERESFORSCHUNG

esa

ReadMe-first Technical Note (RM-TN)

Document Version

Version	Date	Description	Author
1.4	01/11/2021	Refers to CryoSat-2 SMOS Merged Product version v204	Stefan Hendricks (AWI)
1.3	07/10/2020	Refers to CryoSat-2 SMOS Merged Product version v203	Robert Ricker (AWI)
1.2	27/09/2019	Refers to CryoSat-2 SMOS Merged Product version v202	Robert Ricker (AWI)
1.1	19/10/2018	Revision after comments from ESA	Robert Ricker (AWI)
1.0	15/06/2018	Draft of the ReadMe-first Technical Note	Robert Ricker (AWI)

Applicable Documents

Abbreviation	Name	Description
ATBD	AWI_ESA_CS2SMOS_ATBD_v2.1	Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document
PDD	AWI_ESA_CS2SMOS_PDD_v1.4	Product Description Document

Read-me-first note for the release of theCryoSat-2/SMOS Merged Sea Ice Thickness (CS2SMOS)	
Product version	v204
Release date by ESA	1st November 2021
Author(s)	Robert Ricker, Stefan Hendricks
Further information	A detailed description of the processing algorithm can be found in the Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD). Information about the data product ca be found in the Product description document (PDD). The documents are available here: https://earth.esa.int/eogateway/catalog/smos-cryosat-l4-sea-ice-thickness Information on how to access the CS2SMOS ice thickness data from AWI can be found here: https://spaces.awi.de/confluence/x/DwVmEQ Information on how to access the CS2SMOS ice thickness data from ESA can be found here: https://smos-diss.eo.esa.int/oads/access/
How to cite the data	1. Please cite: Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., King, J., and Haas, C.: A weekly Arctic sea-ice thickness data record from merged CryoSat-2 and SMOS satellite data, The Cryosphere, 11, 1607-1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1607-2017, 2017. 2. Include the following phrase into the acknowledgment: "The production of the merged CryoSat-SMOS sea ice thickness data was funded by the ESA project SMOS & CryoSat-2 Sea Ice Data Product Processing and Dissemination Service, and data from DATE to DATE were obtained from AWI."
Contact for helpline	For all issues related to data access, please contact ESA's HelpDesk at eohelp@esa.int
Comments to CryoSat-2/SMOS merged product	For questions and feedback, please contact: cs2smos-support@awi.de

1. Introduction

The read-me-first note provides information about improvements with regard to the previous releases, data caveats, and instruction about how to use auxiliary data and uncertainties contained in the product.

The current product version v204 is available from October 2021 to present. It will replace v203, after reprocessing is completed.
SMOS sea ice data users are recommended to use this new version data set, which supersedes the previous ones generated by the algorithm baseline version v203 and to read this note carefully to ensure optimal exploitation of the version v204 dataset.
v204 is continuously generated within the framework of the ESA project **SMOS & CryoSat-2 Sea Ice Data Product Processing and Dissemination Service**. The product is only available for the Northern Hemisphere from October to April.

2. Main Improvements and Changes in the current Data Set

The current version is v204. It is available since October 2021. The main changes in v204 compared to previous version v203 are algorithm updates of the SMOS and CryoSat-2 source sea ice thickness data sets:

CryoSat-2 version 2.4 update

- Latest CryoSat-2 L1B data version (ICE baseline-E from Oct. 2021 and later, ICE baseline-D until April 2021)
- latest version of OSI-SAF sea ice concentration and sea ice type auxiliary data,
- change of sea ice concentration mask from 70% to 15%)

SMOS version 3.3 update

- Latest SMOS L1C data version (v724)
- Grid: NSIDC Sea Ice Polar Stereographic North (EPSG:3411) has been replaced by WGS 84 / NSIDC Sea Ice Polar Stereographic North (EPSG:3413)

In addition, a minor change in the global attributes of the v204 netCDF files has been applied.

3. Product Performance

CS2SMOS, CryoSat-2 and SMOS Ice Thickness Uncertainties

The uncertainties of the CryoSat-2 and SMOS sea ice thickness observations are crucial for the data merging and the interpolation. Figure 1 shows the relative uncertainties of CryoSat-2 and SMOS for November 2013 and April 2014. While the SMOS relative uncertainties are lowest for very thin ice, CS2 relative thickness uncertainties are smaller over thick ice and rise asymptotic towards thickness values < 1 m, which is due to the different methodical approach. The merged product (CS2SMOS) takes advantage of the complementary uncertainties. CS2SMOS merged ice thickness shows a significant reduction in the relative uncertainty with regard to the thickness uncertainties of the thin ice in the CryoSat-2 product, and the thick ice in the SMOS product.



Figure 1: Binned Relative uncertainties for November 2013 and April 2014. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of relative uncertainties within the 10 cm bin width.

Product validation

For Validation, we use ULS ice draft data from moorings that have been deployed at four different sites in the Beaufort Sea within the BGEP project (Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), Krishfield and Proshutinsky, 2006). Data are sampled at 2s intervals. Ice draft is converted to ice thickness by multiplying the draft by 1.1 (Rothrock et al., 2008). Table 2 provides the position of the moorings in the Beaufort Sea and information about the ULS record periods. They cover the SMOS and CryoSat-2 periods (A, B, D). For the comparison of the merged CS2SMOS ice thickness and the ULS ice thickness measurements, open water sections within the ULS data set have been removed. Afterwards, the filtered data were averaged over 24 h to obtain daily mean effective ice thickness for each ULS (A, B, D). In the last step, daily retrievals are averaged weekly on a 25 km EASE2 grid to cover the same period as the weekly CS2SMOS products. Since the positions of the moorings are steady, one pixel for each ULS (A, B, D) is retrieved per week and is then compared with the gridded weekly mean of the CS2SMOS ice thickness.
Figure 4 shows the positions of the moorings and the corresponding differences to the Sea Ice Thickness (SIT) dataset. Mean differences (MD) are calculated by subtracting ULS ice thickness from satellite ice thickness. Considering the entire ULS data set as the reference, the MD is -0.02 m, while the root mean square deviation (RMSD) is 0.34 m. This shows an improvement compared to the previous product version v200/v201 (MD = -0.1 m, RMSD = 0.36 m).

Table 2: Mooring sites with ULS measurements used in this document.

Mooring Site	ULS record periods	Location
A	08/2003 – 10/2016	150.0°W 75.0°N
B	08/2003 – 09/2005 09/2006 – 09/2009 10/2010 – 10/2016	150.0°W 80.0°N
D	09/2006 – 10/2016	140.0°W 74.0°N



Figure 4: Overview of the ULS locations (left panel), and scatter plot between ULS and CS2SMOS ice thickness over the period Nov 2010 – Oct 2016. The RMSD represents the root mean square deviation. MD represents the mean difference between CS2SMOS and ULS ice thickness.

4. Caveats

Major caveats are listed below:

Issue	Product Version	Status
Underestimation of SMOS ice thickness when ice concentration is lower than 100%	204	open
Fundamental calibration of CryoSat- 2 range re-tracking algorithm required	204	open

5. Future algorithm evolution

We want to investigate the capability of expanding the processing to the Southern hemisphere. Moreover, we will aim to improve the retrieval algorithm. In particular, we will investigate the possibility to apply a multiyear ice concentration product to better distinguish between first-year and multiyear sea ice.

References

Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., Maaß, N., Beitsch, A., Wernecke, A., Miernecki, M., Müller, G., Fock, B. H., Gierisch, A. M., Schlünzen, K. H., Pohlmann, T., Dobrynin, M., Hen- dricks, S., Asseng, J., Gerdes, R., Jochmann, P., Reimer, N., Holfort, J., Melsheimer, C., Heygster, G., Spreen, G., Gerland, S., King, J., Skou, N., Søbjærg, S. S., Haas, C., Richter, F., and Casal, T.:SMOS sea ice product: Operational application and validation in the Barents Sea marginal ice zone, Remote Sensing of Environment, 180, 264-273, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.03.009, special Issue: ESA's Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity Mission - Achievements and Applications, 2016.

Krishfield, R., Proshutinsky, A., 2006. BGOS ULS Data Processing Procedure. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, March 2006, 14 PP.

Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Kaleschke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., King, J., and Haas, C.: A weekly Arctic sea-ice thickness data record from merged CryoSat-2 and SMOS satellite data, The Cryosphere, 11, 1607-1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1607-2017, 2017.

Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Girard-Ardhuin, F., Kaleschke, L., Lique, C., Tian-Kunze, X., Nicolaus, M., Krumpen, T. (2017). Satellite-observed drop of Arctic sea ice growth in winter 2015-2016. Geophysical Research Letters, 44(7), 3236-3245.

Ricker, R., Hendricks, S., Helm, V., Skourup, H., and Davidson, M.: Sensitivity of CryoSat-2 Arctic sea-ice freeboard and thickness on radar-waveform interpretation, The Cryosphere, 8, 1607–1622, doi:10.5194/tc-8-1607-2014, 2014.

Rothrock, D. A., Percival, D. B. and Wensnahan, M.: The decline in arctic sea-ice thickness: Separating the spatial, annual, and interannual variability in a quarter century of submarine data, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 113(C5), 2008.

Tian-Kunze, X., Kaleschke, L., Maaß, N., Mäkynen, M., Serra, N., Drusch, M., and Krumpen, T.: SMOS-derived thin sea ice thickness: algorithm baseline, product specifications and initial verification, The Cryosphere, 8, 997–1018, doi:10.5194/ tc-8-997-2014, URL http://www.the-cryosphere.net/8/997/2014/, 2014.

Wingham, D., Francis, C., Baker, S., Bouzinac, C., Brockley, D., Cullen, R., de Chateau-Thierry, P., Laxon, S., Mallow, U., Mavrocordatos, C., Phalippou, L., Ratier, G., Rey, L., Rostan, F., Viau, P., and Wallis, D.: CryoSat: A mission to determine the fluctuations in Earth's land and marine ice fields, Advances in Space Research, 37, 841 – 871, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.027, 2006.