Accuracy of the MERIS 3rd reprocessed products

	Processing	Product name	Goal	Estimated quality	Validation	Niethod / Reference	contribution from
	Ocean Products	Water Leaving Reflectance	Case 1: 0.002 RMSE in blue band (ATBD 2.7, Iss 5.1, July 2011) or 5% RPD (Gordon, 1997)	Case 1 waters, for wavelengths up to 560 nm: • RPD < 5% • RMSE < 4.10-3 Case 2 waters • RPD < 11% except at 412 nm (27.5%) • RMSE < 6.10-3	Validated	Use of the MERMAID database Comparison to SeaWiFS and MODIS for monthly time series	C. Lerebourg (ACRI-ST) C. Mazeran (ACRI-ST) E. Kwiatkowska (ESA/ESTEC)
		Algal Pigment Index I	Theoretical goal is to detect 10 classes of chlorophyll concentration with each of the 3 orders of magnitude between 0.03 and 30 mg/m3 decade, i.e. ~13%. Actual performance of OC4Me algorithm (ATBD 2.9, v4; 3 Jul 2011) is however to detect chlorophyll concentration within a factor of 0.5 to 2 (i.e. signed relative error between -50% and +100%).	RPD = -12% RMSE = 0.279 MAD = 0.086	Validated	Use of the MERMAID database Comparison to SeaWiFS and MODIS for monthly time series	C. Lerebourg (ACRI-ST) C. Mazeran (ACRI-ST) D. Antoine (LOV) E. Kwiatkowska (ESA/ESTEC)
		Algal Pigment Index II Total Suspended Matter Yellow substance	Factor 2	Site dependent, over all sites within expected range	Provisionnally validated	Comparison with CoastColour and MERMAID	R. Doerffer C. Brockmann (BC) A. Ruescas (BC)
		Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR)	+/- 3%	+/- 3%	Provisionnally validated	Comparison to in-situ measurements and RT	Marc Bouvet
		Aerosol optical thickness	15% accuracy or 0.02 for moderate values (-0.1-0.2), (ATBD 2.7 lss 4.1 Feb 2000)	Retrieved for in situ values of AOT(870) > 0.03 RMSE = 0.08 MAD = 0.04	Provisionnally validated	Use of the MERMAID database (AERONET-OC) Comparison to SeaWiFS and MODIS for monthly time series	C. Lerebourg (ACRI-ST) C. Mazeran (ACRI-ST) D. Antoine (LOV) E.Kwiatkowska (ESA/ESTEC)
		Water Vapour	< 20% rel. To WV		Provisionnally validated		
		Aerosol Angström Coefficient	10% over glint Not specified in ATBD	Retrieved for in situ values of AOT(870)>0.03 and alpha > 0.0 RMSE = 0.66 MAD = 0.25	Provisionnally validated	Use of the MERMAID database (AERONET-OC) Comparison to SeaWiFS and MODIS for monthly time series	C. Lerebourg (ACRI-ST) C. Mazeran (ACRI-ST) D. Antoine (LOV) E.Kwiatkowska (ESA/ESTEC)
	Cloud products	Cloud optical thickness	0.1 - 5.0		Not validated		
		Cloud Top Pressure	20hPa	Precision of 30hPa for low clouds, potential overestimation of cloud top-pressure for high clouds.	Provisionnaly validated	Validation using airborne LIDAR measurements. The validation campaign was limited to low clouds over Germany.	Dr. Rasmus Lindstrot (FUB) Dr. Rene Preusker (FUB) Prof. Jürgen Fischer (FUB)
		Cloud Type Cloud reflectance			Not validated		
					Not validated		
il 2	Land products	Rayleigh corrected reflectance Aerosol optical thickness	Standard published accuracy of aerosol products over land (i.e. MODIS) : AOT(443, 550 nm)=0.05 + 0.15* AOT	Validation against AERONET matchups: - (March, June, Sept., Dec. 2008) MEGS 8.0: N=836, r2=0.609, RMSE=0.180, Gfrac=0.37 * (filtered, *(AOD) <0.1): N=770, r2=0.664, RMSE=0.17, Gfrac=0.39 * Variability: June 2003 Gfrac=0.52, June 2008 Gfrac=0.29, Dec. 2008 Gfrac=0.61 - (2004-2010) MODIS coll. 5: N=5448, r2=0.871, rmse=0.137, Gfrac=0.62 (Breon et al., 2011)	Not applicable Validated	Validation against AERONET matchups	NA D. Ramon (HYGEOS) Contribution of the ESA CCI aerosol project
) Leve		Aerosol Angström Coefficient		The Angström exponent over land is not validated and poorly correlated to AERONET.		The Angström exponent over land is not validated and poorly correlated to AERONET	
MERIS		MGVI/FAPAR Rectified Channels	MGVI: The accuracy goal of MGVI is set to - /+ 0.05 against FAPAR estimated by radiative transfer model. When comparing to interception ground-based estimates, the goal is -/+ 0.1 Rectified Channels: As these numbers are not 'measurable' parameters, the stability over long times is set to 5%.	MGVI: The estimated quality is -/+0.1 in average when comparing with ground-based estimates. However, this value depends on the radiative transfer regime over various land cover sites. The algorithm is designed with the 'green leaf' concept and delivers instantaneous FAPAR values at time of overpass. Rectified Channels: The quality for the stability of rectified channel over	Not applicable	Performance assessment with FAPAR products derived from MODIS and SeaWIFS, using the same JRC algorithm. Comparisons of MGVI values against few ground-based estimates of interception (BIGfoot project and a site in Senegal). Stability of rectified channels checked over CEOS desert calibration sites and compared with MODIS express ellegter	Nadine Gobron (EC-JRC)
		MTCI - Meris Terrestrial Chlorophyll Index - BOA vegetation index		Good		Bottom-up approach based on 3 steps: field data collection, HR remote sensing data processing, MTCI validation. Validation performed on more than 5 sites. Strong relationships obtained between chlorophyll content and MTCI	Dr. Jadunandan Dash (Univ. Southampton) Dr. Francesco Vuolo (Univ. Southampton) Dr. Gary Watmough (Univ. Southampton) William Frampton (Univ. Southampton)
		Surface pressure	5 hPa	Precision of 15hPa, no bias in mid latitudes, positive bias (≤25hPa) in high latitudes, negative bias (≤25hPa) in tropics.	Validated	Comparison to surface pressure maps derived from digital elevation models, corrected for the variable sea level pressure (extracted from contarty)	Dr. Rasmus Lindstrot (FUB) Dr. Rene Preusker (FUB) Prof. Jürgen Fischer (FUB)
		Total Column Water Vapour (TCWV)	10% rel. To WV amount	Precision of 2 mm for cloud-free pixels for different reference data sets.	Validated	Val dation using in-situ data such as GPS and microwave radiometer measurements [Fischer et al., 2010]	Prof. Jürgen Fischer (FUB) Ronny Leinweber Hannes Diedrich
		LAND	95%	73.5% – 99.5%	Validated	Validation using the PixBox dataset	K. Stelzer (BC)
	Surface classification	CLOUD					
		WATER PCD_1_13 - Confidence flag for water	er leaving/surface reflectance				
	Product confidence	PCD_14 - Confidence flag for wate	er vapour				
		PCD_15 - Confidence flag for alga PCD_16 - Confidence flag for YS or	r TSM / rectified reflectance				
		PCD_17 - Confidence flag for algal	pigment index 2 / MTCI				
		PCD_18 - Confidence flag for PAR/	Land surface pressure/cloud albedo				

	FCD_19	- confidence hay for aerosor type and optical trickness / con		
	COASTLINE	- From Level 1b	Validation using the PixBox dataset	K. Stelzer (BC) C. Brockmann (BC) A. Ruescas (BC) M. Paperin (BC)
	COSMETIC	- From Level 1b		
	SUSPECT	- From Level 1b		
	OADB	- Out Of Aerosol model DataBase:no braketing aerosol found		
	ABSOA_DUST	- Desert dust absorbing aerosol/Continental absorbing aerosol		
	CASE2_S	- Case 2 sediment dominated waters / Turbid water		
	CASE2_ANOM	- Anomalous scattering water		
Scionco flags	CASE2_Y	- Yellow substance loaded water		
Science nays	ICE_HAZE	- ice or high aerosol load	Idem COASTLINE flag	Idem COASTLINE flag
	SNOW	- SNOW	Idem COASTLINE flag	Idem COASTLINE flag
	MEDIUM_GLINT	- Medium Glint reflectance correction applied	Idem COASTLINE flag	Idem COASTLINE flag
	BPAC_ON	- Bright Pixel Atmospheric Correction		
	HIGH_GLINT	- No glint correction applied	Idem COASTLINE flag	Idem COASTLINE flag
	LOW_SUN	- Low sun angle		
	WHITE_SCATTERER	White scatterers within water		
	TOAVI_BRIGHT	- Bright flag from TOAVI spectral tests		
	TOAVI_BAD	- Bad data from TOAVI spectral tests		
	TOAVI_CSI	- Cloud, snow or ice from TOAVI spectral tests		
	TOAVI_WS	- Water or deep shadow from TOAVI spectral tests		