

Title:Report on ERS-2 Radar Altimeter wave height and wind speed data.

By: Jean Bidlot

Date: 19 June 2000

The wind speed from the altimeter is still quite biased as can be seen in figures 13 and 26.

Overview:

This month around 13869 observations arrived at ECMWF every 6 hours of which 78.92% passed the new quality control. Data coverage was substantially reduced in six 4 hour time windows (see figure 1). Note that we are talking about data which have arrived at ECMWF before they were needed for the operational data assimilation.

Backscatter:

ERS-2 $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle = 11.0\text{dB}$ (one peak: at 11.1dB)

Wind Speed Comparison with ECMWF wind speeds (bias):

ERS-2 global: 0.569 m/s

ERS-2 northern hemisphere: 0.184 m/s

ERS-2 tropics: 0.484 m/s

ERS-2 southern hemisphere: 0.838 m/s

Wind Speed Comparison with buoy wind speeds (bias):

ERS-2 global: -0.28 m/s
ERS-2 northern hemisphere: -0.28 m/s
ERS-2 tropics: -0.31 m/s

Wave Height Comparison with ECMWF wave heights (bias):

ERS-2 global: -0.011 m (lowest waves measured: 0.6m)
ERS-2 northern hemisphere: 0.056 m
ERS-2 tropics: -0.050 m
ERS-2 southern hemisphere: -0.020 m

Wave Height Comparison with buoy wave heights (bias):

ERS-2 global: -0.02 m
ERS-2 northern hemisphere: -0.02 m
ERS-2 tropics: -0.11 m

Remarks:

The wind speed from the altimeter is still quite biased as can be seen in figure 13 and 26.

Comparison Method:

The Altimeter wave height and wind speed data, as received by ECMWF from ESA through GTS, are the so-called fast delivery products. At ECMWF these data are subject to a quality control method, the details of which are described by Janssen et al. (1989) and Bauer et al. (1992). Consequently, superobservations are formed by averaging 30 consecutive

data in order to match the spatial scales of the operational WAM model. Therefore, the collocation statistics are based on the comparison between these superobservations and operational wavemodel products.

In addition, since also wave observations from buoys are received through the GTS, the Altimeter products are also compared against buoy observations. Again, in order to have matching scales, the buoy observations are averaged over a six hour time window. Apart from this, also a height correction is applied to the wind speed observations, since not all buoys observe the winds at the standard height of 10 m. A default observation height of 5 m is assumed, and when available the actual observation height is used. In order to interpolate from the observation height to the standard height a logarithmic wind profile with a roughness length as given by the Charnock relation is assumed, where the Charnock parameter is given the constant value of 0.018.

Figure captions:

Figure 1: Time series of data reception for ERS-2 Altimeter data for May 2000.

Figure 2: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter Backscatter after QC for May 2000.

Figure 3: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds after QC for May 2000.

Figure 4: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds after along track averaging for May 2000.

Figure 5: Global distribution of ECMWF ocean surface wind speeds for May 2000.

Figure 6: Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (global).

Figure 7: Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (northern hemisphere)

Figure 8: Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (tropics)

Figure 9: Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (southern hemisphere)

Figure 10: Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (global).

Figure 11: Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (northern hemisphere).

■ ECMWF Report on ERS-2 RA for May 2000 ■

Figure 12: Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (tropics).

Figure 13: ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI).

Figure 14: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights after QC for May 2000.

Figure 15: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights after along track averaging for May 2000.

Figure 16: Global distribution of ECMWF wave heights for May 2000.

Figure 17: Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (global).

Figure 18: Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (northern hemisphere)

Figure 19: Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (tropics)

Figure 20: Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (southern hemisphere)

Figure 21: Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (global).

Figure 22: Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (northern hemisphere).

Figure 23: Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS-2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (tropics).

Figure 24: ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI) for May 2000.


Figure 25: ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI) from December 1996 to May 2000

Figure 26: ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI) from December 1996 to May 2000

5

Jean Bidlot
European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts
Shinfield Park, Reading, Berkshire RG2 9AX, England
Telephone: U.K. (0118) 949 9708, International (+44 118) 949 9708
Telex 984 7908 ECMWF G, Telefax (0118) 986 9450, e-mail Jean.Bidlot@ecmwf.int

ERS 2/ALTIMETER: NUMBER OF OBS, GLOBAL.



ERS 2/ALTIMETER: NUMBER OF MEAN DATA, GLOBAL.




Figure 1: Time series of data reception for ERS-2 Altimeter data for May 2000

ECMWF Report on ERS-2 RA for May 2000




Figure 2: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter backscatter after QC for May 2000




Figure 3: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds after QC for May 2000




Figure 4: Distribution of ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds after along track averaging for May 2000




Figure 5: Global distribution of ECMWF ocean surface wind speeds for May 2000




Figure 6. Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (global)




Figure 7. Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (n.hem.)




Figure 8. Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (tropics)




Figure 9. Comparison of ECMWF wind speed results with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (s.hem.)




Figure 10. Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (global)




Figure 11. Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (n.hem.)




Figure 12. Comparison of buoy wind speed observations with ERS2 Altimeter wind speed data for May 2000 (hawaii)




Figure 13: ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI)




Figure 14: Distribution of the ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights after QC for May 2000




Figure 15: Distribution of ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights after along track averaging for May 2000




Figure 16: Global distribution of ECMWF wave heights for May 2000




Figure 17. Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (global)




Figure 18. Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (n.hem.)




Figure 19. Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (tropics)




Figure 20. Comparison of ECMWF wave height results with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (s.hem.)




Figure 21. Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (global)




Figure 22. Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (n.hem.)




Figure 23. Comparison of buoy wave height observations with ERS2 Altimeter wave height data for May 2000 (hawaii)




Figure 24: ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI)




Figure 25: ERS-2 Altimeter wave heights: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI)




Figure 26: ERS-2 Altimeter wind speeds: Timeseries of bias (ERS-2 - model) and scatter index (SI)