

Task 3 Coordination Meeting

17th March 2015

Finmeccanica, London





Meeting Outline



- Introduction and scope of the meeting Gareth
- Overview of Task 3 structure/activities Gareth;
 - As envisaged when CCN01 was included (Cal/val support, tools development...);
 - Overview of new Task 3 activities introduced in CCN02
 - Initial overview of major activity themes emerging in Task 3
- Round table of presentations on individual activities (10 mins each):
 - Support for instruments and cal/val contracts Stefano
 - Support for Proba-V Fabrizio
 - Cal/val portal etc. Alessandro
 - Support for workshops and working groups Françoise
 - Calibration and data quality toolbox Steve
 - Radiometric Uncertainty Tool Javier
- Discussion of how to group the new activities into themes (e.g. by instrument type, application, type of support etc.)
 - Atmospheric composition Stefano
 - Optical Gareth
 - L1 Working Group Gareth
 - Working group and strategic support Françoise
 - Arctic applications Françoise
 - Tools Gareth

Meeting Outline



- Reporting/management of projects (IDEAS+ MR, project deliverables, Progress Meetings)
- Summary of next steps:
 - Actions
 - Further meetings
 - Communications
 - Planning (including for CCN03)

Objectives



- Familiarization of the main team members with the activities and scope of Task 3 in IDEAS+ following introduction of CCN01 and 02
- Look for synergies between activities and identify/agree on any tasks needed for their coordination
- Agreement of monitoring/reporting of the newer more project-based activities included in the CCNs
- Notes:
 - As there have been such big changes in the scope of Task 3 activities, and not all the team is here, we are not expecting that everything can be sorted out today – this is a starting point to set us in the right direction
 - IDEAS+ and its predecessors originated largely to support the Routine Quality Control activities of SPPA – Sensor Performance, Products and Algorithms, but there is an evolving focus towards the science parts: Algorithm Development and Cal/Val – and we see this in the changes in IDEAS+ on Task 3

IDEAS+ structure





Task 3 – original scope



- Task 3-1: Specific Cal/Val support related to Task 1-4
- Task 3-2: Cal/Val portal and Database support
- Task 3-3: Cal/Val contracts support
- Task 3-4: International Working Group support
- Task 3-5: Support to Workshop series organisation and field campaigns

Task 3 structure/activities

•



After CCN01 WP 3000 Cal/Val Support WP 3010 Cal/Val Coordination Serco Cal/Val Support Development WP 3100 WP 3Y00 WP 3Z00 Cal/Val Support: Radiometric Calibration and ESA missions Uncertainty Tool Data Quality Toolbox Serco NPL EOSense WP 3200 Cal/Val Support WP 3Y10 Rhea **Toolbox Implementation** Brockmann WP 3300 Cal/Val Support FLC WP 3W00 Cal/Val Support: Proba-V Serco WP 3Z00 Support to atmospheric correction for Bright Pixel & uncertainty studies Solvo

New Task 3 activities in CCN02



- HRTP sensitivity study on GOMOS (ENEA)
- Validation expertise with Atmospheric Chemistry background (La Sapienza and Serco)
- BEAM evolution (Brockmann)
- OMI validation support (Luftblick)
- Aerosol remote sensing Sensitivity studies ISTINA (GRASP)
- Wet snow mapping retrieval using Sentinel-1 data (Zurich)
- Landsat S2 validation support (Serco)
- Integrated time series calibration for forest monitoring with Landsat Validation (Wageningen)
- Landsat 8 Sentinel 2 Fusion Techniques (Magellium)
- MERIS/ATSR Land/Sea mask in Sentinel 3 format (Brockmann)

New Task 3 activities in CCN02



- Validation MERIS, TPM with in-situ data (Brockmann)
- MERCI update for AATSR/MERIS in Sentinel-3 format etc (Brockmann)
- Radiometric characterisation of array spectroradiometers and development of correction functions for stray light and linearity in support of ground-based mini spectrometers (PMOD/WRC)
- Additional support for the organisation of Cal/Val workshops (FLC)
- BEAM support to Proba-V products (Brockmann)
- Not part of the activities to be coordinated at Task 3 level:
 - SMOS Level 2 expert support VEGA)
 - Optical Mission and Observation Scenario expert (VEGA)
 - Integration of Cryosat and SMOS expert support role to IDEAS+ (Serco and Rhea) from August 2015

Themes...



- The diversity and number of new activities are a great demonstration of the trust and responsibility that ESA is giving IDEAS+
 - But it brings quite a few challenges...
- Some things to think about during the presentations
 - Atmospheric composition research activities are growing (HRTP, ISTINA, La Sapienza), instrumentation being procured, h/w calibration
 - Breadth of optical activities: high- and medium-resolution, preparation for Sentinel-2, data fusion, field measurements, calibration, new TPM validation
 - L1 Working Group had been paused after first meeting in June 2013, to be restarted, particularly relevant now with the extra Task 3 activities
 - Working group support also growing and becoming more strategic, e.g. DRAGON, C-CalVET, expert briefings to ESA
 - Arctic/cryosphere activities growing beyond Arctic Products Validation and Evolution workshops, with wet snow, C-CalVET project
 - Tools not Task 2: BEAM and Merci updates, RUT and cal/data quality toolbox are not just s/w dev, AIRWAVE processor



- Over to Stefano, Fabrizio, Alessandro, Françoise, Steve and Javier...
- Plus brief summaries of other activities...



- Bright Pixel Atmospheric Correction (Solvo)
 - Ocean colour data of MERIS 3rd reprocessing have shown degraded quality for moderately to high turbid waters, because of a too strong sensitivity of the BPAC to NIR vicarious calibration. The BPAC is to be updated to make it more robust to the NIR bands
- BEAM evolution (Brockmann) Scientific Support Programme to BEAM/SNAP
 - Activity 1: Vicarious Calibration of non-standard Ocean atmospheric correction schemes
 - Activity 2: BEAM Scientific Processor upgrade & integration into SNAP
 - Activity 3: SNAP Hackathon
- Wet snow mapping retrieval using Sentinel-1 data (Zurich)
 - Wet Snow Mapping using Sentinel-1 Data:
 - Verification of S-1 GRD (Ground Range) product slice continuity
 - Atmospheric path delay modelling for S-1 products: requirements, performance and recommendations



- Landsat S2 validation support (Serco)
 - Cal/val expert support for the Level 2A surface reflectance products derived from the Landsat and Sentinel-2 missions. It will provide expertise in atmospheric correction algorithms to compare and validate current methods and propose improvement and support the establishment of a common platform for the Landsat and Sentinel-2 atmospheric correction processors
- Integrated time series calibration for forest monitoring with Landsat Validation (Wageningen)
 - Fieldwork and data acquisition to generate calibration/validation datasets based on ground-based and near sensing techniques;
 - Validation activities for reflectance and vegetation index products;
 - Benchmarking of vegetation indices and radiative transfer modelling inversion techniques to retrieve biophysical forest parameters with S2 and L8;



- Landsat 8 Sentinel 2 Fusion Techniques (Magellium)
 - Mono source TS consolidation: The purpose of this task is to design and implement all process required to generate consolidated 'mono source' TS, specifically in case of Landsat 8 / Sentinel 2 data
 - S2/L8 TS consolidation: address the consolidation of TS by using data from two similar sensors on board Sentinel 2 and Landsat 8
 - TS Consolidation Evolution: evolution of TS consolidation baseline concept, addressing the use case for which user would need to increase the density of the time series
 - Outputs include Sentinel-2 Toolbox modules



- MERIS/ATSR Land/Sea mask in Sentinel 3 format (Brockmann)
 - The objective is to produce a new land-water mask with the ocean/inland and intertidal attributes that combines the best features of the available masks
- Validation MERIS, TPM with in-situ data (Brockmann)
 - Focus will be on a first assessment of the data quality from the Indian OCEANSAT satellite over European waters, including intercomparison with in-situ data and MERIS, MODIS and VIIRS
- MERCI update for AATSR/MERIS in Sentinel-3 format etc (Brockmann)
 - Update MERIS and AATSR version with appropriate quality checks and to handle AATSR and MERIS in Sentinel-like formats and for OLCI and SLSTR
- BEAM support to Proba-V products (Brockmann)
 - Provide full support of all the Proba-V synthesis products in the BEAM toolbox , above what will be covered by LandCover CCI project

Grouping/themes



- What do we want to achieve in treating the activities as a whole, not just a set of disparate projects or support roles? For example...
 - Maximising synergies between activities
 - Improving the quality of support to ESA and ultimately ESA's users
 - Simplify coordination
 - Identify new activities, filling gaps, extensions
 - Promoting, reporting, publicising, publishing results
 - Supporting ESA's long-term strategies
- Some suggestions on next slides...

Grouping/themes - Optical



- Diverse set of activities, with very wide expertise in the Task 3 team, plenty of knowledge outside their formally defined activities
- Data quality activities
 - RUT
 - Cal and data quality toolbox
- Data fusion
- Atmospheric correction
- Calibration
- Validation
- Research PhD student at Wageningen
- Feeds into L1 Working Group

Grouping/themes – L1WG



- Original objectives defined in June 2013 were to:
 - Integrate the latest findings on level-1 into the upcoming reprocessing campaigns of ERS1/ERS-2/Envisat.
 - Support:
 - Scientific Research
 - The EO Applications and Exploitation community
 - ESA programmes, in particular CCI, SEOM and LTDP
 - Prepare for the Sentinels operations phase (and lessons learned for the future missions)
- These objectives are to be facilitated through:
 - The exchange of ideas between the different ESA EO instrument teams including an introduction to the approaches used by different communities
 - Provision of L1 recommendations for the upcoming ERS/Envisat instrument reprocessing campaigns
 - Formulation of lessons learned for calibration and in-flight characterisation including recommendations for future activities (for example QA constellation, S5p/S5 calibration, Sentinel B units)
 - Consider the way forward for L1 activities

Grouping/themes – L1WG



- First meeting in June 2013, when it was agreed that ESA should set up the L1WG, based on a 9-12 months cycle for meetings.
- Next meeting now Q3/Q4 2015 urgent to start preparations soon
- Other Task 3 activities feed into this...

Grouping/themes – Working Groups

- Workshops covered (at varying levels of support):
 - CEOS WGCV, GSCB/CVI, WMO/GISC
 - Land Products Validation Evolution (LPVE)
 - Arctic Products Validation Evolution (APVE)
 - DRAGON
 - Proba-V
 - L1 Working Group
 - Local workshops in Canada
- Strategic support:
 - Research, provision of briefing material e.g. on the status and key players in Polish EO
- Summary of activities:
 - Research, organisation and reporting to ESA
- Links to optical cal/val, L1 WG in particular...



Grouping/themes – Arctic applications



- Focus is through Arctic Products Validation and Evolution workshops series, and on optical sensors
- C-CalVET
 - Facilitation of activities, building links between Canadian national and ESA/European activities
 - Monitoring the progress of Canadian C-CalVET activities funded by ESA
- Other aspects to consider:
 - Monitoring of wet snow project (SAR)
 - Extension of support already provided to SnowPEX

Grouping/themes – Tools



- BEAM/SNAP
- MERCI (focus on QC tool aspects...)
- Sentinel toolbox modules
- Standalone cal and data quality toolbox
- AIRWAVE development
- Intercomparison tools
- Access to data and thoughts on shared data storage, other infrastructure...

Reporting/management



- IDEAS+ Monthly Report
 - All contractors agreed to provide short inputs
 - Can be unwieldy, hard to follow the more project-based activities
 - Could evolve the Task 3 Monthly Report, summarising information, reporting progress against schedules of deliverables
- Progress meetings needed for many of the new projects (with ESA present generally?)
- Communications
 - How to make new contractors/people feel part of the team (do we need to in some cases, e.g. Optical Mission and Observation Scenario expert is not part of the overall coordinated Task 3 team)?

Next steps

- Actions
- Further meetings, e.g.
 - Fabrizio, Sébastien, Steve, Javier, Georgia
 - L1WG preparation
- Communications to the rest of the Task 3 team and ESA
- Planning over the next few months

