

# Cross-Sensor Calibration of Sentinel-1 Noise Level for RFI Monitoring and Classification

**AUTHORS** Niccolò Franceschi, Riccardo Piantanida, Andrea Recchia, Davide Giudici, ARESYS, Italy  
Nuno Miranda, Clément Albinet, ESA/ESRIN



# Outline

- **Impact of RFI on Sentinel-1 Data**
  - Examples of RFI
  - Detection strategies
- **New approach to measure noise level**
  - Using rank echoes as noise measures: advantages and known issues
  - Cross-sensor calibration with AMSR-2
- **Sentinel-1 as a passive radiometer**
- **Global RFI monitoring**



## **Acknowledgements**

*The current work has been funded within the framework of the Sentinel-1 Mission Performance Centre project (S-1 MPC).*




# Impact of RFI on Sentinel-1 Data

- Since the start of the S-1 mission, users have reported local image degradations related to radio frequency interferences (RFI).
- Known sources of RFI include: the Canadian RadarSAT system, ground radars and weather radars operating at the same wavelength.
- The level of interference could worsen in the near future, as the 5350-5470 MHz band may be shared with wireless LAN systems.



# RFI Detection from SAR Data


- RFI **detection** can be performed **either in time or in frequency domain** on the raw data. However, it is a computationally expensive task.
- RFI detection would be much easier if no radar backscatter is present.







# Taking Advantage of Rank Echoes

- Idea: Sentinel-1 noise measurements can be used for RFI detection and monitoring.
- **Noise measurements** are acquired at the beginning and at the end of each data-take. Unfortunately, these measurements are collected at a very low rate: approx. 2 estimates every 10 minutes.
- **Rank echoes** are the first measures of each burst, they are virtually equivalent to noise measures, because the radar pulses have not yet bounced back to the receiver. This way, a noise measure every 0.8s is collected.



**Sentinel-1  
Timeline.**  
In red the noise  
measurements.  
In blue the rank  
echoes.

# Information Extracted from Rank Echoes



## PROS

- ✓ Much higher number of available noise measurements
- ✓ Continuous monitoring all along the data-take

## CONS



- ✓ Influence of undesired return of adjacent calibration sequences

# S-1 Noise Calibration

- **Relative calibration:** Different antenna beams have slightly different noise power levels. This is due to: BW and gain of the on-board decimation filter, attenuation of the SES, gain of the RX antenna pattern.
- **Absolute calibration:**


$$\hat{P} = P_n + P_e$$

$P_n$  is the effective noise power and  $P_e$  is the power radiated from the Earth surface.  $P_e$  can then be converted to brightness temperature.



# S-1 Noise calibration with AMSR-2 Data


- For eliminating the residual bias among the antenna beams and giving physical significance to the measures, **S-1 noise data was cross-calibrated using AMSR-2 radiometer** aboard JAXA's GCOM-W1. Despite AMSR-2 operates at 6.93GHz and S-1 at 5.4GHz, high correlation coefficients ( $r=0.92-0.97$ ) are found.






# S-1 noise calibration with AMSR-2 Data

- For eliminating the residual bias among the antenna beams and giving physical significance to the measures, **S-1 noise data was cross-calibrated using AMSR-2 radiometer** aboard JAXA's GCOM-W1. Despite AMSR-2 operates at 6.93GHz and S-1 at 5.4GHz, high correlation coefficients ( $r=0.92-0.97$ ) are found.




# Monitoring Earth Emissivity Using Rank Echoes

- The availability of rank echoes inside the S-1 Level-0 noise products (starting from March 2018) enables the **continuous monitoring** of the noise measurements and the analysis of its variations
- The first objective is to produce **global emissivity maps** and study if and how noise power is influenced by land/sea/ice separation
- Some processing is needed:
  1. Noise and rank echoes extraction.
  2. On-board RX-filter compensation (spectral whitening) (an estimate of this filter is performed using Feb.2018 LON data for both S1A and S1B).
  3. Noise power computation.
  4. Calibration:
    1. instrument gains compensations (FIR gain, noise BW, SES att., EBT gain pol. imbalance).
    2. Conversion to brightness temperature.



# Sentinel-1 as a Passive Radiometer



**sentinel-1**

Cross-Sensor Calibr.

S-1(A+B) Topsar data July 1-12, 2019.


Resolution: 1deg lat., 1.5deg lon.

SAR 2019

© Aresys SAR Area

# Comparison with AMSR-2

Brightness Temperature [K]




AMSR-2 Ascending July 1, 2019.  
Resolution: 0.1deg lat., 0.1 deg lon.



# RFI Detection Using S-1 Rank Echoes

- Another important application of rank echoes is the study and the detection of **Radio Frequency Interferences**.
- The RFI detection algorithm [1] is based on three main steps:
  1. Offline calibration using noise data:
    - Estimation of the power transfer function of the RX filter.
  2. Processing of rank echoes:
    - PSD estimation
    - RX filter effect removal
    - Along-track time ripples removal
    - Multi-looking
  3. Detection:
    - Fisher Z Test (narrowband high-power)
    - KL divergence (wide-band low-power)


*Example of RFI in the spectrum-along track domain.*



[1] Monti-Guarnieri, A., Giudici, D., & Recchia, A., "Identification of C-Band Radio Frequency Interferences from Sentinel-1 Data", *Remote Sensing*, 9(11), 1183, 2017





# C-Band RFI Routine Monitoring



- An automatic C-band RFI monitoring system was put in place by Aresys.
- The L0 Noise data are downloaded from ESA's servers.
- The relevant noise statistics are extracted and processed using a-priori information: spurious freq. and RX filter shape.
- **Every 12 days** (one orbit cycle) **the noise statistics are used to produce the RFI database** and eventually the noise maps.
- The RFI DB stores all the relevant information about the events: time, latitude/longitude., center frequency, bandwidth, power.


# Mapping the C-band RFI

- All the RFI events can be pinpointed on the map as colored circles. The **radius** and the **intensity** of the color is **proportional to the RFI power**.



- The **RFI probability density function** over the geographical coordinates can also be estimated.

# RFI Probability Density Function




**S1A + S1B 04-22 August 2019**  
(interactive map)



# RFI Brightness Temperature




RFI events with brightness temperature over 1000K.



1.4E+5  
K: S1A  
IW2 VV  
13-  
AUG-  
2019  
14:24:56

S1A + S1B 04-22 August 2019

# RFI Brightness Temperature






# RFI Brightness Temperature



# RFI Brightness Temperature




S1B cycle 107 (10-22 August 2019)




# Sentinel-1 Vs SMOS RFI Probability Maps

S1A+S1B: RFI probability density function 04-22 Aug 2019



Probability of sustained hard RFI occurrences (no outliers detection) for 20190817 ± 07 days period from BB post-processing of OPER/REPR SML2 UDP & DAP - ASCENDING only passes - Dual & Full polarizations products



Cross-Sensor Calibration of Sentinel-1 Noise Level for RFI

# Conclusions

---

- Sentinel-1 rank echoes have proved to be a **valid instrument for RFI monitoring**. Using Fisher's Z and KL divergence, RFI can be detected using the statistical properties of the noise pulses, even with very few echoes (8-10) available.
- The S-1 noise power has been **calibrated** using data from the **AMSR-2** passive radiometer operating at 6.9GHz. This enables to give physical significance to the noise measures and express them in terms of brightness temperature.
- A qualitative comparison with L-band RFI maps by SMOS was given.
- **Way forward:** The measures of brightness temperature could be used to characterize the thermal noise level of the instrument. This could improve the quality of the denoising step in the Sentinel-1 processor.