

# Elements Towards a Cal/Val Strategy for ESA's BIOMASS Mission (part II)

Björn Rommen, Francisco Ceba Vega, Philip Conroy, Nelson Fonseca, Sophie-Abigael Gomanne, Kees van 't Klooster, Matteo Sedehi

CEOS SAR Cal/Val Workshop 19-21 November 2019

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

### Overview

### (1) Introduction to BIOMASS

(2) Payload-related elements considered in cal/val

- Antenna model
- In-flight characterisation

(3) Polarimetric calibration approaches

(4) Calibration targets

- Transponder
- Targets of opportunity





CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 2

### ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

## **Biomass Mission Concept**





- Single satellite, operated in a polar sunsynchronous orbit
- Full polarimetric P-band (435 MHz)
   Synthetic Aperture Radar with 6 MHz bandwidth
- ✓ 3 subswaths operated in stripmap (~50km)
- ✓ Two mission phases: Tomography (year 1), Interferometry (year 2-5)
- Multi-repeat pass interferometry (3 passes in nominal operations) with a 3 days repeat cycle
- Global coverage in ~7 months (228 days)
   on both asc. and des. passes
- 5 years lifetime

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 3

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

### **Biomass Mission Requirements**



Key Parameters	
Sensitivity (NESZ)	≤ -27 dB
Total Ambiguity Ratio	≤ -18 dB
SLC resolution	≤ 60m x 8m
Dynamic Range	35 dB
Radiometric Stability	≤ 0.5 dB
Radiometric Bias	≤ 0.3 dB
Crosstalk	≤ -30 dB



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 4

\*

= II 🕨 :: 🖛 + II 💻 🔚 = II II = = = :: 🖬 🖬 🚺 II = :: II 💥 🕯

### **BIOMASS Mission Phases**

- Biomass mission phases: specific orbit for each phase
- 4 months commissioning phase planned (+2 spare months)
- Tomographic phase (TOM): 14 months
- Interferometric phase (INT): 7.5 months

Operation	onal Phase	Duration
Launch and Early Orbit Phase (LEOP)		<7 days
Commissioning Phase (COM)	- Platform	30 days (incl. margin, section 3.2.4.2)
	- Payload and Ground Segment	120 days (TBC, section 3.2.4.2)
Tomographic Phase (TOM)		425 days
Interferometric Phase (INT)		1400 days
End-of-Life Phase (EOL)		30 days
Total Mission Lifetime		2012 days (5.5 years)

esa



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

## Antenna Model Purpose

- An antenna model is required for:
  - Antenna performance validation
  - Instrument calibration





ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

### 



### **BIOMASS** antenna validation challenges



### Challenges specific to BIOMASS:

- Size: deployment of a 12 meters diameter LDR requires a large test facility
- **P-band**: accuracy of test facility is a challenge (e.g. quality of absorbers at P-band)
- Mask specifications: accurate knowledge on gain and SLL needed
- $\rightarrow$  Thus full antenna measurement is not possible and a precise characterization by model is required:
  - → Errors quantification/uncertainties are needed
  - → Multi models approach has been selected: Airbus, TAS and ESA are developing their own model on different software working with different numerical tools and modelling approach



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 7

### = II 🛏 :: 🖛 + II 🗯 🔚 = 1 II II = = 2 :: 🖬 🖬 🚺 II = 2 :: II 🗰 💥 🛀

## Antenna model definition

- Pattern multiplication module is implemented in Matlab at secondary level providing realized gain performance
- This approach enables to account for electronics imbalance (amplitude and phase)

 $\rightarrow$  internal cal accuracy

### Further CI contributions

- Antenna secondary pattern knowledge
- Antenna pointing knowledge contribution (amplitude and phase gradients)

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use







### Feed array compliance status



Feed array compliance status is evaluated at secondary level for a direct link with instrument performance

- Requirement on the maximum gain envelope
- Requirements on the relative side lobe levels



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 9

### Polarimetric calibration



• The measured backscatter can be represented as the combination of the nonideal transponder RCS, Rx/Tx crosstalk, Rx/Tx channel imbalance and Faraday rotation:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta_{2} \\ \delta_{1} & f_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega & \sin \Omega \\ -\sin \Omega & \cos \Omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{hh} & S_{vh} \\ S_{hv} & S_{vv} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega & \sin \Omega \\ -\sin \Omega & \cos \Omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta_{3} \\ \delta_{4} & f_{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} N_{hh} & N_{vh} \\ N_{hv} & N_{vv} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Crosstalks} & \text{Faraday} \\ \text{Channel} & \text{Rotation} & \text{Backscatter} & \text{Rotation} & \text{Crosstalks} \\ \text{Imbalances} & \text{Imbalances} \end{array}$$

- One equation of this form is obtained for each BIOMASS polarimetric mode
- One overpass provides a single measurement of the system
- One chance to accurately determine the system errors
- A system of complex equations difficult to solve accurately!
- 99% confidence level used for PARC analyses

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 10

### \_ II ⊾ II = + II = ≝ \_ II II \_ = II = M II \_ II \_ II . . .

# How to solve the calibration problem



**European Space Agency** 

2 Methods:

### Analytical

- Assume that the transponder is ideal
- We can algebraically solve the system of equations
- Non-idealities in the transponder will bias the solution



### ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

### Monte-Carlo

- Define a cost function which represents the level of error in the solution
- Use a numerical solver to minimize the cost function
- Seed the solver with many random initial conditions and keep the best solution
- Minimization of:  $C(M, \hat{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{4,4} |M_{i,j} \hat{M}_{i,j}|$
- Evolved from [Quegan & Lomas, 2015]

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 11

### Defining the performance metric





# Results (5000 runs) 1400

Crosstalk estimation error

- Analytical method does not accurately estimate the crosstalks, and is unaffected by noise and clutter. At high SCNR the bias due to the transponder dominates the solution
- Monte Carlo method is able to accurately estimate the crosstalk, and the solution continues to improve as noise+clutter decrease



68.26
73.08
77.55
81.22

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use





# Results (5000 runs)

Channel imbalance estimation error

- Analytical method accurately estimates the CIs and is unaffected by noise and clutter. Bias due to the transponder has less of an effect on the channel imbalance solution
- Monte Carlo method has more difficulty and cannot give the required accuracy for the CIs. The solution improves with SCNR but it needs to be unattainably high in order to be accurate enough



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 14



### **BIOMASS Transponder Development**

Activity led by C-CORE *Currently in design phase* Single antenna transponder (as with ESA S-1 Transponders)

→ High sidelobe suppression required to control Signal-to-Multipath Ratio (SMR)

 → Tracking required: to achieve the required cross-polar isolation (considered highest at the peak of the beam)



CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 15

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use



## Targets emulation of the PARC



### Signals to be transmitted by the PARC:

Target

2

3

4

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use



Slow

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 16

Imbalance pulses Beacon pulses



Transponder RCS pulses

ТΧ

ТΧ

RX V+H



# Recalibration/ monitoring using opportunistic targets Cesa

Depending on the achieved cross-talk & local clutter levels, targets with RCS in the range 50 – 60 dBm<sup>2</sup> may still proof useful

 $\rightarrow$  corresponding to trihedral between 10 and 18.5 m side edges

 $\rightarrow$  or ...



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 18

\*

## Use of Radiotelescopes for BIOMASS





ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 19

\*

### Investigated targets using Sentinel-1



- Radiotelescopes and downlink stations (Spring 2011 with ERS-2 and Envisat)
- Matera and Kiruna response clipped in Sentinel-1 SLC data
  - PDGS ensures DLR transponders (~61 dBm<sup>2</sup>) within dynamical range
  - Solution: reprocessing of datasets
- Orgov ROT54 is not saturated in Sentinel-1



### Matera ground stations investigated with S-1



RCS modelling assumptions

- X-band feed only; disc approximation
- Cassegrain with no shaping
- Peak RCS @ C-band 71.7 dBm<sup>2</sup>
- RCS @ P-band < 50 dBm<sup>2</sup>





### 10 meter antenna "TLR-3" 11.3 m antenna "TLR-6"

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 21

### Orgov @ C-band





<sup>• 7</sup> products equi-spaced 12 days and with the same observing geometry

- Orgov RCS stability 0.6 dB for VV and 2.3 dB for VH (peak-to-peak values)
- For peak method → in 0.4 dB for VV and 2.1 dB for VH
- Orgov is a complex target to precisely model (feed structure), but very stable in VV and consistent target during Sentinel-1 life time
- Due to low RCS and polarimetric properties not recommended for BIOMASS monitoring

S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20190902T030820\_20190902T030847 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20190914T030821\_20190914T030848 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20190926T030821\_20190926T030848 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20191008T030822\_20191008T030848 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20191020T030822\_20191020T030849 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20191101T030821\_20191101T030848 S1B\_IW\_SLC\_\_1SDV\_20191113T030822\_20191113T030849

CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 22

### Other targets RCS @P-band

50,0

40,0

30,0

20,0

10,0

0,0

-90,0





ALMA, Chile 54 @12m Ø 12 @ 7m Ø

→ 51.5 dBm<sup>2</sup>



ESA UNCLASSIFIED - For Official Use



60,0

50,0

40,0

30,0

20,0







CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 23

45,0

90,0

θ [deg]

### Next steps



BEEPS / Biomass end-to-end performance simulator

ightarrow implementation and performance tests of polarimetric calibration approaches

Opportunistic targets investigation using Sentinel-1

- ightarrow use of ground stations & radio-telescopes
- ightarrow including accurate modelling of these targets

What about distributed targets for calibration purposes?

- $\rightarrow$  suitability of ocean surfaces, plateau regions (deserts, ice sheets)
- $\rightarrow$  use of distributed targets in nominal mission phases



CEOS WGCV SAR Workshop | 19-21 November 2019 | Slide 24