

CEOS WGCV SAR 2019 workshop

SAR cross-calibration using natural targets

Mingkuan Yi¹, Yongsheng Zhou², Xinhong Wang¹, Lingling Ma¹, Chuanrong Li¹



1). Key Lab of Quantitative Remote Sensing Information Technology, Academy of Opto-Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

2). College of Information Science & Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology

E-mail: yimingkuan@aoe.ac.cn

November 21, 2019

Outline



- **1. SAR Cross-calibration overview**
- 2. Cross-calibration procedure
- 3. Cross-calibration results
- 4. Future plan

SAR cross-calibration overview

E-SAR/DC-8 SAR calibration campaign

- The first trial for the crosscalibration/validation between two SAR sensors.
- The calibration parameters derived from different tracks were used to describe this stability. Criteria for crosscalibration performance are the agreement of σ_0 values and the consistency of radar cross-sections of equally sized corner reflectors.







Cross-calibration procedure



Cross calibration procedure



Cross calibration procedure

Image Registration

- Degrading the reference image spacial resolution to the same extent to the uncalibrated image 2
- Extract control point (reflectors)
- Coordinate transformation and Resample



Cross calibration procedure

Energy Simulation

• Oh model

$$p = \frac{\sigma_{hh}^{0}}{\sigma_{vv}^{0}} = \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{2\theta}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{3R(0)}} \exp(-ks) \right\}^{2} \qquad q = \frac{\sigma_{hv}^{0}}{\sigma_{vv}^{0}} = 0.23\sqrt{R(0)} \left[-\exp(-ks)\right]$$

• Dubois model

$$\sigma_{\nu\nu}^{0} = 10^{-2.35} \left(\frac{\cos^{3} \theta}{\sin^{3} \theta} \right) 10^{0.046\varepsilon \tan \theta} \left(ks \sin \theta \right)^{1.1} \lambda^{0.7} \qquad \sigma_{hh}^{0} = 10^{-2.75} \left(\frac{\cos^{1.5} \theta}{\sin^{1.5} \theta} \right) 10^{0.028\varepsilon \tan \theta} \left(ks \sin \theta \right)^{1.4} \lambda^{0.7}$$

• Shi model

$$10\log_{10}\left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{pp}\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{pp}^{0}}\right) = \alpha_{pp}\left(\theta\right) + b_{pp}\left(\theta\right) 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{1}{s_{r}}\right) \qquad 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{vv}\right|^{2} + \left|\alpha_{hh}\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{vv}^{0} + \sigma_{hh}^{0}}\right) = \alpha_{vh}\left(\theta\right) + b_{vh}\left(\theta\right) 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{\left|\alpha_{vv}\right|\left|\alpha_{hh}\right|}{\sqrt{\sigma_{vv}^{0}\sigma_{hh}^{0}}}\right)$$

GaoFen-3 & Sentinel-1A

Image information

GaoFen-3 Calibrated Image Incidence angle:47° ~ 48° Resolution: 8m waveband: C band

Sentinel-1A Calibrated Image Incidence angle: 30 ° ~36 ° Resolution: 20ml waveband : C band



GaoFen-3 data



Sentinel-1A data

GaoFen-3 & Sentinel-1A



Sentinel-1A data

Energy Simulation

Using Dubois model to simulate the convolution power



Mean square root height

VV simulate energy

Contrast between calibration data and simulation data



GaoFen-3 & Sentinel-1A



GaoFen-3 image after Image Registration to Sentinel SAR sensors

GaoFen-3 data



Sentinel-1A data

Using reference image to calibrate

GaoFen-3 calibrated image after Image Registration & Energy simulation. Comparing with Sentinel-1A SAR sensors





Using reference image to calibrate







- Image registration method
- Energy simulation accuracy (land surface parameters retrieval method)

Thank you!