

Spectral Band Adjustment Factor (SBAF), Methods and Processing

IDEAS-QA4EO Cal/Val WS #3 March 31 - April 1st ,2022

Project Description

Scope of the study

• The scope of this QA4EO R&D study is the analysis of Spectral Band Difference Effects (SBDE).

Final objective

• To develop a tool dedicated to SBDE analysis and shared with community (https://earthconsole.eu/discover)

Interests

- Data calibration / validation domain: improved cross calibration analysis
- Data application domain: anticipate error when comparing NDVI from different sources
- Data processing domain: Validation of Spectral band adjustment approach

Schedule

• May 1st 2022 – April 30 2023

Projects deliverables

Technical Note / Code / Database

RD 1) Teillet, P.M.; Fedosejevs, G.; Thome, K.J.; Barker, J.L. Impacts of spectral band difference effects on radiometric crosscalibration between satellite sensors in the solar-reflective spectral domain. Remote Sensing of Environment 2007, 110, 393-409. RD 2) Kruse, F.A.; Lefkoff, A.B.; Boardman, J.W.; Heidebrecht, K.B.; Shapiro, A.T.; Barloon, P.J.; Goetz, A.F.H. The spectral imageprocessing system (sips) - interactive visualization and analysis of imaging spectrometer data. Remote Sensing of Environment 1993, 44, 145-163.

RSR and Spectrum Convolutions

• The simulated surface reflectance of a satellite sensor is obtained by weighting the **hyperspectral surface reflectance** with the relative spectral responses (RSR) and integrating over the satellite sensor bandpass.

+

1750 2000 2250



-0.5

-1.0

500

750 1000

1250 1500

wy (nm)

Example of green spectral band Relative Spectral Responses (RSRs) for 14 sensors, categorized in three groups: (a) wide bandwidth, (b) medium bandwidth, (c) narrow bandwidth.



 Considering two sensors: Band pass adjustment technic is used to estimate Spectral Band Adjustment Factors ...

Band Pass Adj technics (Linear): Chander, G.; Mishra, N.; Helder, D.L.; Aaron, D.B.; Angal, A.; Choi, T.; Xiong, X.; Doelling, D.R. Applications of spectral band adjustment factors (sbaf) for crosscalibration. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 2013, 51, 1267-1281.

SBAF Correction – Sen2Like

- For a given Sentinel-2 (S2B-MSI) / Landsat 8/9 satellites (OLI) Image, select slop and intercept parameter values, Apply rescaling as follows:
- $\rho_{MSI,\lambda}^{Adj} = c(\lambda) \times \rho_{MSI,\lambda}^{Brdf} + o(\lambda)$
- Where:
 - $\rho_{MSL\lambda}^{Adj}$ is the adjusted MSI reflectance;
 - $c(\lambda), o(\lambda)$ are the linear transformation parameter, slope, intercept (SBAF Coefficient);
 - $\rho_{MSL\lambda}^{Brdf}$ is the BRDF Adjusted reflectance;

| | | | Sentinel-2A | | Sentinel-2B | |
|----------|----------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| HLS Band | OLI band | MSI band | Slope (a) | Intercept (b) | Slope (a) | Intercept (b) |
| name | number | number | | | | |
| CA | 1 | 1 | 0.9959 | -0.0002 | 0.9959 | -0.0002 |
| BLUE | 2 | 2 | 0.9778 | -0.004 | 0.9778 | -0.004 |
| GREEN | 3 | 3 | 1.0053 | -0.0009 | 1.0075 | -0.0008 |
| RED | 4 | 4 | 0.9765 | 0.0009 | 0.9761 | 0.001 |
| NIR1 | 5 | 8A | 0.9983 | -0.0001 | 0.9966 | 0.000 |
| SWIR1 | 6 | 11 | 0.9987 | -0.0011 | 1.000 | -0.0003 |
| SWIR2 | 7 | 12 | 1.003 | -0.0012 | 0.9867 | 0.0004 |

S. Skakun, J. Ju, M. Claverie, J.C Roger, E. Vermote, B. Franch, J.L Dungan and J. Masek. Harmonized Landsat Sentinel-2 (HLS) Product User's Guide. Version 1.4, October 2018. <u>https://hls.gsfc.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/HLS.v1.4.UserGuide_draft_ver3.0_clean.pdf</u>

於

SBAF Correction & absolute calibration (LS8 / S2)

- PICSCAR CEOS initiative
- Considering the Libya 4 site, cross calibration has been done.
- The table below (Rho_OLI / Rho_MSI) shows a comparison between gain from HLS and gain from cross calibration. Results are consistent, main differences exist for the blue band (above 1 %).

| Band | | (L1 TOA) | Slope given in | Slope given in | Barsi SBAF |
|-------|----------|------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | (L1 TOA) | MODIS BRDF | NASA / HLS | , (Clavery | (2018) |
| | | | guide | 2018) | L4, [RD 4] |
| | | | v 1,4, [RD 3] | [RD 5] | |
| BLUE | 1,0310 | 0,96734 | 0,9778 | 0,9770 | 0,9640 |
| GREEN | 0,9943 | 1,003 | 1,0060 | 1,0050 | 1,0030 |
| RED | 1,0279 | 0,96879 | 0,9765 | 0,9820 | 0,9660 |
| NIR20 | 1,0030 | 0,99131 | 0,9983 | 1,0010 | 0,9960 |
| SWIR1 | 1,0003 | 0,9929 | 0,9987 | 1,0010 | 0,9990 |
| SWIR2 | 0,9925 | 1,0025 | 1,0030 | 0,9960 | 0,9980 |
| | | | | | |

With BRDF Correction - Threshold 1 degree (3 / 54 products)

- [RD 3] S. Skakun, J. Ju, M. Claverie, J.C Roger, E. Vermote, B. Franch, J.L Dungan and J. Masek. Harmonized Landsat Sentinel-2 (HLS) Product User's Guide. Version 1.4, October 2018.¹
- [RD 4] J. Barsi, B. Alhammoud, J. Czapla-Myers, Ferran-Gascon, Md. Obaidul Hague and al (2018). Sentinel-2A MSI and Landsat-8 OLI radiometric cross comparison over desert sites. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/22797254.2018.1507613</u>
- [RD 5] M. Claverie, Junchang Ju, Jeffrey G. Masek, Jennifer L. Dungan, Eric F. Vermote, Jean-Claude Roger, Sergii V. Skakun, Christopher Justice, The Harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2 surface reflectance data set, Remote Sensing of Environment, Volume 219, 2018, Pages 145-161, ISSN 0034-4257, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2018.09.002</u>.

Assets

Database

- Hyperion scenes were selected for each band of latitude (10° width, from -50° to +60°) by choosing one scene per latitude band with a "0 to 9% Cloud Cover" assigned in the metadata for each of the 17 biome types as defined in the IGBP (International Geosphere Biosphere Program) land cover map
- Atmospheric correction of Hyperion scenes (6s & MODIS CMG)
- For each scene, a Principal Components Analysis (PCA) performed on the SR data.
- An unsupervised k-means classifier run on each scene using the PCA coefficients accounting for 99% of the variance.
- The centroid spectra of each class identified
- The Hyperion spectra data set thus includes 10,000 spectra corresponding to 10,000 georeferenced pixels.





6

Application of the database (Modis / OLI)

• SBAF Computation with different methodologies (Linear, Adaptive, Machine Learning)



The distribution of the differences between simulated OLI reflectance and simulated **MODIS reflectance adjusted to OLI bandpass** using the Hyperion data set

*



WP Work

- Select 5 / 8 sensors (with customer), collect RSRs and related specifications,
- Set up processing code for adaptive SBAF (including three distance functions),
- For all mission twins, analyze variability of SBAF (ANOVA) depending on :
 - The input spectrum class (CCI Class)
 - RSR difference index
- <u>Compare with results from NASA SatCORPS SBAF Tool, NASA-LaRC CERES (GSICS):</u>
 <u>https://www-pm.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/site/showdoc?mnemonic=SBAF</u>
- Share database & code
- Prepare application oriented documentation & input for a user tool



禿

WP Work

- First analysis with CCI (Level 1 (or global) and regional legends of the CCI-LC maps)
- Add CCI info / metadata to hyperion spectra

| Hyperion image name | E01H0010742010153110K0 |
|---------------------|--|
| × | 1143 |
| X | 585 |
| latitude | -4.06525 |
| longitude | -42.2476 |
| band wavelength | [426.82, 436.99, 447.17, 457.34, 467.52, 477.6 |
| Spectrum | [0.011, 0.026, 0.0175, 0.0177, 0.0246, 0.0379 |
| CCI Class | 120 |
| CCI_Class_qaf_1 | 1 |
| CCI_Class_qaf_2 | 1 |
| CCI_Class_qaf_3 | 132 |
| CCI_Class_qaf_4 | 0 |



10





THANK **YOU** FOR YOUR ATTENTION

telespazio.co.uk