# **UNIVERSITY OF TROMSØ UIT**

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY



# A simple and extendable segmentation method for multi-polarisation SAR images

• Unsupervised segmentation of multi-channel SAR imagery

Main concepts:

- Feature extraction method for textured PolSAR data under the product model
  - Polarimetric features of ratios, magnitudes and phases from the covariance matrix
  - Extended to include radar texture (non-Gaussianity)
- Extendable with other features data fusion
- Simple image segmentation method suffices
- Fast results

#### **Basic Approach**



4-D complex data, SLC

local neighbourhoods

6-D real data

[optional speed-up]

choose number of classes

[optional]

[optional]

label image



#### **PoISAR Image Data**

SLC vector data: 
$$\mathbf{s} = [S_{hh}, S_{hv}, S_{vh}, S_{vv}]^T$$

MLC matrix data:  $\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{s}_i^H$ 

### **Texture and the Product Model**

texture 
$$\times$$
 speckle :  $\mathbf{s} = \sqrt{\tau} \mathbf{g}$  ,  $\mathbf{C} = \tau \mathbf{W}$ 

where g multivariate complex Gaussian distributed W matrix-variate complex Wishart distributed  $\tau$  texture random variable with its own distribution



## **Extended Polarimetric Feature Space (EPFS)**

Basic Six Real Features:

1. A non-Gaussianity measure: relative kurtosis RK

$$\mathsf{RK} = \frac{1}{Nd(d+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\mathbf{s}_i^H \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_i]^2$$

2. An absolute backscatter value: MRCS =  $\sqrt[d]{\det(\mathbf{C})}$ 

3. A cross-polarisation fraction or ratio:  $R_{\rm cr} = {\bf C}_{hvhv}/{\rm MRCS}$ 

4. A co-polarisation ratio:  $R_{\rm co}={\bf C}_{vvvv}/{\bf C}_{hhhh}$ 

5. The co-polarisation correlation magnitude: |
ho|

$$\rho = \mathbf{C}_{hhvv} / \sqrt{(|\mathbf{C}_{hhhh}| \ |\mathbf{C}_{vvvv}|)}$$

6. The co-polarisation correlation angle:  $\angle \rho = <\phi_{hh}-\phi_{vv}>$ 

Note: All features are texture model independent.

#### Feature transforms and histograms

- Transform to reduce non-linearity in data space
  - often with logarithm
- Easier to visualise groupings/peaks
- Permits use of simple segmentation methods



#### **Example Feature Images - San Francisco**





## **Example Pair-wise Scatter Plots**

San Francisco City, Radarsat-2, window 8  $\times$  4





7 / 22

#### **Simplified Feature Space**





Real Feature Histograms MRCS vs. co-pol ratio. (Radarsat-2, San Fancisco, 2008) (Radarsat-2, San Fancisco, 2008)

UNIVERSITY OF TROMSØ UIT

## **Simple Mixture of Gaussian Segmentation**

- Simple globular clusters influenced choice of segmentation method
  - $\longrightarrow$  Mixture of Gaussian clustering
- Fast full scene results in only minutes or seconds.
- Could use k-means or any other unsupervised clustering method, or fully supervised with ground truth data
- Not precisely Gaussian nor symmetric, but at a coarse level (with sub-sampling) it works well
- Will try non-Gaussian or kernel methods in future

#### **Feature Space Clusters**

#### ALOS PALSAR, 2010, Sea Ice around Svalbard.



Remember that the segmentation worked on all six features, but only two are shown.



## **Image Space Clusters**

#### ALOS PALSAR, 2010, Sea Ice around Svalbard.





#### Unsupervised label image



**UNIVERSITY OF TROMSØ UI** 

## **Contextual Smoothing - MRFs**



#### **Contextual Smoothing Example**

Radarsat-2, 2008, San Francisco, window 8  $\times$  4, 10 classes.







#### **Further Examples**

Radarsat-2, 2008, San Francisco, window 24  $\times$  12.



Pauli RGB

10 class Segmentation



#### **Further Examples**

#### Radarsat-2, 2008, Vancouver, window 16 $\times$ 8.



8 class Segmentation



#### **Further Examples**

ALOS PALSAR, 2009, Barrow, Alaska, window 16  $\times$  2, 9 classes.





#### **Generic Approach**

- Independent of the specific texture distribution or model
- 5 generic features from polarisation matrix given basic symmetries
- Applies to quad, dual or mono-pol data (reduced features)

	Quad	dual-co/cross	dual-co/co	mono
1. RK	+	+	+	+
2. MRCS	+	+	+	+
3. $R_{\rm cr}$	+	+	-	-
4. $R_{co}$	+	-	+	-
5. $ \rho $	+	-	+	-
6. $\angle \rho$	+	-	+	-

Consistent approach no matter which features are used
 the basic 6 or specialised to application task



## **Dual-pol HH-HV Sigma-nought Example**

#### Radarsat-2, wide-swath, 2012, window 5 $\times$ 5, 7 classes.



#### Pauli RGB

#### Unsupervised label image



#### **Extendable to Data Fusion**

Can use any suitably transformed real valued features

- Log-cumulants  $\kappa_2, \kappa_3$  for texture
- Optical data (e.g., Intensity, NDVI)
- Directional / image texture
- Multi-scale / wavelet measures
- Polarimetric decomposition parameters
- Model based decompositions (e.g., RVOG)



# Example: Entropy-Alpha-Anisotropy

San Francisco City, Radarsat-2, window 8  $\times$  4





20 / 22

#### **Entropy-Alpha-Anisotropy Examples**

Radarsat-2, 2008, Vancouver, window 16  $\times$  8, H-A- $\alpha$  only.



 $\text{H-A-}\alpha \; \text{RGB}$ 

6 class Segmentation

### Conclusions

Good segmentation results on real images:

- Fast local window method, "near real-time"
- Simple segmentation with MoG
- Solid regions due to MRF contextual smoothing
- Texture aware with non-Gaussianity
- Extendable with new features
- Interpretable Polarimetric features
- Choice of window size, sub-sampling, and number of classes



## **Conclusions and future plans**

Good segmentation results on real images:

- Fast local window method, "near real-time"
- Simple segmentation with MoG improve clustering
- Solid regions due to MRF contextual smoothing
- Texture aware with non-Gaussianity
- Extendable with new features evaluate other features
- Interpretable Polarimetric features
- Choice of window size, sub-sampling, and number of classes

