## PHASE QUALITY OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUES AND LIMITATIONS IN POLARIMETRIC DIFFERENTIAL SAR INTERFEROMETRY

Rubén Iglesias, **Dani Monells**, Xavier Fabregas, Jordi J.Mallorqui, Albert Aguasca, *and* Carlos López-Martínez

Remote Sensing Lab. (RSLab), Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) D3 - Campus Nord, UPC, 08034, Barcelona, Spain E-mail: ruben.iglesias@tsc.upc.edu, dmonells@tsc.upc.edu



#### Outline

- Introduction
- DInSAR Pixel Selection
- Polarimetric Scattering Matrix and Basis Transformation
- Vector Interferometry
- Coherence Optimization techniques
- Amplitude Dispersion Optimization techniques
- Test-Sites
- Optimization Techniques Comparison
  - → Coherence
  - → Amplitude Dispersion
- Fully-Polarimetric DInSAR processing
- DInSAR results



#### Introduction

- **DInSAR** techniques have been limited to the **single polarization** case
- Launch of several **satellites** with **polarimetric capabilities** 
  - → Envisat: Dual-Pol, C-Band, launched at 2002.
  - → ALOS: Quad-Pol, L-Band, launced at 2006.
  - → TerraSAR-X: Dual-Pol, X-Band, launched at 2007.
  - → Radarsat-2: Quad-Pol, C-Band, launched at 2007.
  - → Cosmo-Skymed: Dual-Pol, X-Band, launched at 2007.
  - → Tandem-X: Quad-Pol, X-Band, launched at 2010.
  - → Future missions
    - Sentinel: Dual-Pol, C-band, programed for 2013
    - ALOS-2: Quad-Pol, L-Band, programed for 2013
    - Radarsat Constellation: Quad-Pol, C-band, programed for 2014-2015

#### Objective

Enhance the phase quality of the interferograms to be processed by the DInSAR algorithms with the proper combination of the available polarimetric channels

• Fully polarimetric UPC's Ground-Based SAR (RISKSAR)



## **DInSAR Pixel Selection**

- Due to decorrelation  $\rightarrow$  Information cannot be extracted from all the pixels  $\rightarrow$  Pixel selection is mandatory
- Two different criteria are mainly employed for the estimation of the pixels quality:



Coherence pixel selection Coh>0.6 ML=5x5

TerraSAR-X SLC of Murcia (Spain)

Amplitude Dispersion pixel selection DA<0.25



#### **Polarimetric Scattering Matrix and Basis Transformation**

• Scattering matrix

$$\mathbf{S}_{hv} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{hh} & S_{hv} \\ S_{hv} & S_{vv} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Polarimetric Basis Transformation

$$\mathbf{S}_{xy} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{xx} & S_{xy} \\ S_{xy} & S_{yy} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{U}_2^T \mathbf{S}_{hv} \mathbf{U}_2$$
$$\mathbf{U}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\psi & -\sin\psi \\ \sin\psi & \cos\psi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\chi & j\sin\chi \\ j\sin\chi & \cos\chi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{+j\phi_0} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-j\phi_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Interferometric coherence

$$\gamma_{xy} = |\gamma_{xy}| e^{j\phi_{xy}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_L} S_{xy,1} S_{xy,2}^*}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N_L} |S_{xy,1}|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_L} |S_{xy,2}|^2}}$$



#### **Vector Interferometry**

• Pauli

$$\mathbf{k}_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ S_{hh,i} + S_{vv,i}, S_{hh,i} - S_{vv,i}, 2S_{hv,i} \right]^{T}$$

- PollnSAR vector
- T6

$$\mathbf{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{k}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{k}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}.$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{6} = E \left\{ \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}^{H} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{11} & \mathbf{\Omega}_{12} \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_{12}^{H} & \mathbf{T}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Projection vector

$$S_i = \mathbf{w}_i^H \mathbf{k}_i$$

• Generalized Coherence





#### **Coherence Optimization techniques**

• Best

→ Selecting the polarimetric channel providing the highest coherence for each interferogram  $|\gamma_{Best}| = \max \left\{ |\gamma_{hh}|, |\gamma_{h\nu}|, |\gamma_{\nu\nu}| \right\}$ 

Select the channel that is less affected by decorrelation factors for each pair of images

• Double Scattering Mechanism (DSM)  $w_1 \neq w_2$ : Consider different phase centers  $\rightarrow$  Not Suitable for DInSAR

 $\Rightarrow \text{ Finding the projection vector } \mathbf{w} \text{ that optimizes the generalized coherence } \gamma(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2) = \frac{\mathbf{w}_1^H \mathbf{\Omega}_{12} \mathbf{w}_2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}_1^H \mathbf{T}_{11} \mathbf{w}_1 \mathbf{w}_2^H \mathbf{T}_{22} \mathbf{w}_2}}$ 

Cloude, S.R.; Papathanassiou, K.P.; "Polarimetric SAR interferometry," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing,, Sep 1998

• Equal Scattering Mechanism (ESM)  $w_1 = w_2$ : Consider same phase centers  $\rightarrow$  Suitable for DInSAR

→ Finding the projection vector **w** that optimizes the generalized coherence  $\gamma(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{\Omega}_{12} \mathbf{w}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{T}_{11} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{T}_{22} \mathbf{w}}}$ 

- Parameterization of the projection vector w

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \cos \beta e^{j\delta} \\ \sin \alpha \sin \beta e^{j\gamma} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}_{opt} \implies \phi_{ESM} = angle \left\{ \left( \mathbf{w}_{opt,ESM}^{H} \mathbf{k}_{i} \right) \left( \mathbf{w}_{opt,ESM}^{H} \mathbf{k}_{j} \right)^{*} \right\}$$

**Disadvantages** High Computational Cost



#### **Coherence Optimization techniques**

#### Numerical Iterative solution

Colin, E.; Titin-Schnaider, C.; Tabbara, W.; , "An interferometric coherence optimization method in radar polarimetry for high-resolution imagery," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Jan.* 2006

→ Assumes T<sub>11</sub> ≈T<sub>22</sub>
Solution based on calculating the numerical radius of
T = 
$$\frac{T_{11} + T_{22}}{2}$$
  $\implies$   $\hat{\gamma}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^H \Omega_{12} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{T} \mathbf{w}}$   $|\hat{\gamma}| \le |\gamma|$   $\implies$   $T^{-1/2} \Omega_{12} T^{-1/2}$ 
→ Optimized interferogram  $\phi_{ESM} = angle \left\{ \left( \mathbf{w}_{opt,ESM}^H \mathbf{k}_i \right) \left( \mathbf{w}_{opt,ESM}^H \mathbf{k}_j \right)^* \right\}$ 
→ Advantages → Low computational cost

→ Disadvantages → Needs polarimetric stability to reach the optimum of coherence





## **Coherence Optimization techniques**

#### • Suboptimum mecahnism (SOM)

Explore all the  $(\psi, \chi)$  space in order to find the polarization basis transform providing the highest coherence value among all the co-polar  $\gamma_{aa}$  and crosspolar  $\gamma_{ab}$  coherence values

$$\left|\gamma_{SOM}\right| = \max_{(\psi,\chi)} \left\{ \left|\gamma_{aa}\left(\psi,\chi\right)\right|, \left|\gamma_{ab}\left(\psi,\chi\right)\right| \right\}$$

$$\gamma_{aa} = \frac{E\{S_{aa,1}S_{aa,2}\}}{\sqrt{E\{|S_{aa,1}|^2\}E\{|S_{aa,2}|^2\}}}$$
$$\gamma_{ab} = \frac{E\{S_{ab,1}S_{ab,2}\}}{\sqrt{E\{|S_{ab,1}|^2\}E\{|S_{ab,2}|^2\}}}$$

**Disadvantages** High Computational cost Co-polar coherence  $\gamma_{aa}$  values as a function of  $(\psi, \chi)$  for four representative pixels, with different values of  $|\gamma_{Best}|$ 





Remote Sensing Laboratory (RSLab) Signal Theory and Communications Dept.

## **Amplitude Dispersion Optimization techniques**

#### • Best

Selecting the polarimetric channel providing the highest coherence for each interferogram

$$\Box D_{A,Best} = \min\{D_{A,hh}, D_{A,hv}, D_{A,vv}\}$$

#### • ESM

Finding the projection vector  ${\boldsymbol w}$  that optimizes the generalized  ${\boldsymbol D}_{\boldsymbol A}$ 

#### • SOM

Explore all the  $(\psi, \chi)$  space in order to find the polarization basis transform providing the minimum  $D_A$  value among all the co-polar  $DA_{aa}$  and cross-polar  $DA_{ab}$  amplitude Dispersion values

$$\square D_{A}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{\langle |\mathbf{w}^{H} \cdot \mathbf{k}| \rangle} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (|\mathbf{w}^{H} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{i}| - \langle |\mathbf{w}^{H} \cdot \mathbf{k}| \rangle)^{2}} \\ \langle |\mathbf{w}^{H} \cdot \mathbf{k}| \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\mathbf{w}^{H} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{i}|$$

$$\square D_{A,SOM} = \min_{(\psi,\chi)} \left\{ D_{A,aa} \left( \psi, \chi \right), D_{A,ab} \left( \psi, \chi \right) \right\}$$



#### Fully-polarimetric Ground Based-SAR data-set (Canillo)





- $\rightarrow$  Dataset: 10 Full-Pol Acquisitions
- $\rightarrow$  Temporal span: From October 2010 to October 2011
- ightarrow Objective: Landslide monitoring

Mean Amplitude



- $\rightarrow$  Canillo Processing parameters
  - X-Band 9.65 GHz
  - Full Polarization Mode: VV VH HV HH
  - Maxim Range Distance: 1.6 km
  - Range resolution: 1.25 m
  - Cross-Range Resolution @ 800m (L=2m): 3 m



#### Fully-polarimetric Radarsat-2 data-set (Barcelona)



- Location: Barcelona
- Sensor: Radarsat-2
- Band: C
- Dataset: 37 Fine Quad-Pol Acquisitions
- Temporal span: From January 2010 to July 2012
- Diagnosis: Subsidence due to underground construction





• *ESM* and *SOM* techniques are producing the greatest coherence improvement.

• The coherence improvement is higher in areas with low vegetation and bare surfaces compared with the few urban areas, which already presented high coherencies with *hh* 

• The improvement in translated into a higher density of useful pixels











• *ESM* and *SOM* techniques are producing the greatest  $D_A$  improvement.

• The  $D_A$  improvement is higher in in areas with low vegetation than in the urban areas, which already presented low values of  $D_A$  with *hh* 

• The improvement in translated into a higher density of useful pixels











- **Differential Phase**: Information about terrain deformation between acquisitions.
- Pixel Selection: Along time coherence stability.
- **Triangulation**: Work with the relative phase between pixels to avoid unwrapping.
- **Phase Linear model**: Adjust phase increments to a linear model depending on  $\Delta v$  and  $\Delta \epsilon$ .

$$\Delta\phi(\Delta v,\Delta\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda}T\Delta v + \frac{4\pi}{\lambda}\frac{B}{R\sin\alpha}\Delta\varepsilon$$

• **Integration**: Obtain deformation velocity and DEM error absolute values from the relative values.





- **Differential Phase**: Information about terrain deformation between acquisitions.
- **Pixel Selection**: Along time coherence stability.
- **Triangulation**: Work with the relative phase between pixels to avoid unwrapping.
- **Phase Linear model**: Adjust phase increments to a linear model depending on  $\Delta v$  and  $\Delta \epsilon$ .

$$\Delta\phi(\Delta v, \Delta\varepsilon) = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda}T\Delta v + \frac{4\pi}{\lambda}\frac{B}{R\sin\alpha}\Delta\varepsilon + \Delta\phi_{POL}$$

• Integration: Obtain deformation velocity and DEM error absolute values from the relative values.



• SINGLE POL HH



- Residual movement of the order 1.5 of centimetres per year.
- Top-left extreme of the landslide a sector presents irregular activity (~2.5 cm/y)  $\rightarrow$  Cal Ponet



• BEST



- Residual movement of the order 1.5 of centimetres per year.
- Top-left extreme of the landslide a sector presents irregular activity (~2.5 cm/y)  $\rightarrow$  Cal Ponet



• SOM



- Residual movement of the order 1.5 of centimetres per year.
- Top-left extreme of the landslide a sector presents irregular activity (~2.5 cm/y)  $\rightarrow$  Cal Ponet



• ESM



- Residual movement of the order 1.5 of centimetres per year.
- Top-left extreme of the landslide a sector presents irregular activity (~2.5 cm/y)  $\rightarrow$  Cal Ponet



## **DInSAR results.** D<sub>A</sub> optimization

• Best







#### **DInSAR results.** D<sub>A</sub> optimization







#### **DInSAR results.** D<sub>A</sub> optimization

• ESM 
$$D_A > 0.25$$
  $(\sigma_{\phi} < 15^{\circ})$ 





#### Conclusions

- In this work, general polarimetric optimization methods for its application in DInSAR processing have been presented
- *ESM* is able to get the best optimization values as it explores the full space of possible solutions, but with a high computational cost.
- SOM requires the optimization of a lower number of variables, which makes the optimization less costly, but the space of solutions is a subspace of *ESM*. As a consequence, *SOM* performance is usually below *ESM* in terms of phase improvement
- All this techniques can be extended to the D<sub>A</sub> approach
- Once the optimized interferograms have been obtained, the DInSAR processing is straightforward as there are not practical differences with respect the single-polarization case
- DInSAR processing using polarimetric optimization techniques in the pixel selection process is compared with the classical single-polarimetric approach, achieving up to a threefold increase of the number of pixel candidates in the coherence case and up to a factor of seven in the amplitude dispersion case.



# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

## **QUESTIONS?**

#### Acknowledgments

This research work received partial support from the Safeland project funded by the Commission of the European Communities (grant agreement 226479), from the Big Risk project (contract number BIA2008-06614) and the projects TEC2008-06764-C02-01 and TEC2011-28201-C02-01 funded by the Spanish MICINN. TerraSAR-X images have been provided by DLR in the framework of the scientific project GEO0878.

