

### → POLINSAR 2013

The 6th International Workshop on Science and Applications of SAR Polarimetry and Polarimetric Interferometry

### Soil moisture retrieval via a Polarimetric Two-Scale and Two-Component scattering model

### Antonio Iodice<sup>1</sup>, Antonio Natale<sup>2</sup>, and Daniele Riccio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II", *Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e delle Tecnologie dell'Informazione*, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), *Istituto per il Rilevamento Elettromagnetico dell'Ambiente (IREA)*, Via Diocleziano 328, 80124, Napoli, Italy

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

## Outline



- Introduction and motivations
- Scattering model
- Retrieval algorithm
- Preliminary results
- Conclusion

# Introduction and motivations @esa

- Soil moisture is related to soil dielectric constant
- Soil electromagnetic backscattering depends on soil dielectric constant

In principle, it is possible to retrieve soil moisture from radar data

BUT

Electromagnetic backscattering is also strongly dependent on soil roughness and vegetation cover

POLINSAR 2013 28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

# Introduction and motivations @esa

Problem:

#### separate dependencies on permittivity, roughness and vegetation



#### Need for accurate, general and simple scattering models

Approximate analytical methods for scattering evaluation

### Introduction and motivations Cesa

In a previous work\* we introduced the

Polarimetric Two-Scale Model (PTSM)

and, based on it, we devised a retrieval scheme for bare soils, making use of the SAR measured copol and crosspol ratios (or copol ratio and correlation coefficient)

PTSM allows accounting for cross-polarisation and de-polarisation effects actually present in measured data even when surface scattering is the only present mechanism.

# Here we show a method to include PTSM in a physical decomposition model, to deal with (moderately) vegetated soils

\*A. Iodice, A. Natale, D. Riccio, "Retrieval of Soil Surface Parameters via a Polarimetric Two-Scale Model", *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 2531-2547, July 2011.

POLINSAR 2013 28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy





Randomly tiltet facet  $\rightarrow$  random variation of the local incidence angle  $\mathcal{G}_l$  and random rotation  $\beta$  of the incidence plane



### Soil surface scattering - PTSM

SPM covariance matrix of a tilted rough facet:

$$R_{pqrs} = \left\langle S_{pq} S_{rs}^* \right\rangle_{|\zeta} = k^4 \cos^4 \vartheta_l \, \chi_{pq}(\vartheta_l, \beta) \, \chi_{rs}^*(\vartheta_l, \beta) W (2k \sin \vartheta_l; \mathbf{s})$$

$$\underline{\chi}(\theta_l,\beta) = \underline{R}_2(\beta) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} F_H(\theta_l) & 0\\ 0 & F_V(\theta_l) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \underline{R}_2^{-1}(\beta) \qquad \underline{R}_2(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & \sin\beta\\ -\sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

s is a set of parameters describing small-scale roughness

NRCS and other entries of the covariance matrix of the overall surface can be obtained by averaging over  $\vartheta_l$  and  $\beta$ .

**Problems**: what are the pdf's of  $\vartheta_l$  and  $\beta$ ? How can corresponding averages be analytically computed?

### Soil surface scattering - PTSM

1) Surface azimuth and ground range slopes, a and b, of the large scale roughness (i.e., of the facets) are modeled as i.i.d. zero-mean Gaussian variables with  $\sigma$  standard deviation

$$a, b \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

2) The following relations between surface slopes and  $\vartheta_l$  and  $\beta$  are employed

$$\tan \beta = \frac{a}{-b\cos \vartheta + \sin \vartheta} \qquad \cos \vartheta_l = \frac{\cos \vartheta + b\sin \vartheta}{\sqrt{1 + a^2 + b^2}}$$

3) NRCS and other entries of the covariance matrix of the overall surface are then obtained by averaging directly over *a* and *b* the corresponding tilted facets expressions, after a second order expansion around *a*=0, *b*=0.

In this way we include the randomness of both  $\vartheta_l$  and  $\beta$ , and their statistical behavior is derived from the surface statistical model.



$$f_s(\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) = k^4 \cos^4 \vartheta F_V(\vartheta; \varepsilon) W(2k \sin \vartheta; \mathbf{s}) \qquad \beta(\varepsilon) = \frac{F_H(\vartheta; \varepsilon)}{F_V(\vartheta; \varepsilon)}$$

 $\delta_{copol}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{H}(\varepsilon) + \delta_{V}(\varepsilon)$ 

$$\delta_{corr}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_H(\varepsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_V(\varepsilon) - \operatorname{Re} \{ \delta_{HV}(\varepsilon) \}$$

 $\Gamma$  (0...)

#### → POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

### PTSM based Copol - Corr chart for $9=45^{\circ}$



+ POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

### *Volume scattering from vegetation*

The vegetation layer which covers the scattering surface is modeled by a cloud of randomly oriented thin dipoles.

For a uniform distribution of the orientation angle:

$$\left\langle \left| S_{\nu\nu} \right|^{2} \right\rangle = f_{\nu}(\mathbf{u})$$
$$\left\langle \left| S_{hh} \right|^{2} \right\rangle = f_{\nu}(\mathbf{u})$$
$$\left\langle S_{hh} S_{\nu\nu}^{*} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} f_{\nu}(\mathbf{u})$$
$$\left\langle \left| S_{h\nu} \right|^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3} f_{\nu}(\mathbf{u})$$

 ${f u}\,$  is a set of parameters describing the dipole cloud



### Overall (surface + volume) scattering

$$\left\langle \left| S_{vv} \right|^{2} \right\rangle \cong f_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) \left( 1 - \delta_{V}(\varepsilon) \sigma^{2} \right) + f_{v}(\mathbf{u})$$

$$\left\langle \left| S_{hh} \right|^{2} \right\rangle \cong f_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) \left| \beta(\varepsilon) \right|^{2} \left( 1 + \delta_{H}(\varepsilon) \sigma^{2} \right) + f_{v}(\mathbf{u})$$

$$\left\langle S_{hh} S_{vv}^{*} \right\rangle \cong f_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) \beta(\varepsilon) \left( 1 + \delta_{HV}(\varepsilon) \sigma^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{3} f_{v}(\mathbf{u})$$

$$\left\langle \left| S_{hv} \right|^{2} \right\rangle \cong f_{s}(\varepsilon, \mathbf{s}) \left( \delta_{X}(\varepsilon) \sigma^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{3} f_{v}(\mathbf{u})$$

POLINSAR 2013 28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

# **Retrieval algorithm**

### Modified copol ratio and modified correlation coefficient

$$\frac{\left\langle \left|S_{hh}\right|^{2}\right\rangle - 3\left\langle \left|S_{hv}\right|^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle \left|S_{vv}\right|^{2}\right\rangle - 3\left\langle \left|S_{hv}\right|^{2}\right\rangle} \cong \left|\beta(\varepsilon)\right|^{2}\left(1 + \delta_{copol}(\varepsilon)\sigma^{2} - \delta_{copol}'(\varepsilon)\sigma^{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{\left|\left\langle S_{hh}S_{\nu\nu}^{*}\right\rangle - \left\langle \left|S_{h\nu}\right|^{2}\right\rangle\right|}{\sqrt{\left(\left\langle \left|S_{hh}\right|^{2}\right\rangle - 3\left\langle \left|S_{h\nu}\right|^{2}\right\rangle\right)\left\langle \left|S_{\nu\nu}\right|^{2}\right\rangle - 3\left\langle \left|S_{h\nu}\right|^{2}\right\rangle\right)}} \cong 1 - \delta_{corr}(\varepsilon)\sigma^{2} + \delta_{corr}'(\varepsilon)\sigma^{2}$$

$$\delta_{copol}'(\varepsilon) = 3\delta_{X}(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{\left|\beta(\varepsilon)\right|^{2}} - 1\right) \qquad \delta_{corr}'(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{X}(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{3}{\left|\beta(\varepsilon)\right|^{2}} + 3 - \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{2}{\beta(\varepsilon)}\right\}\right)$$

Note: the modified correlation coefficient is not a correlation coefficient and hence it is not restricted to be smaller than unity.

#### → POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

## **Retrieval algorithm**

#### Modified Copol - Modified Corr chart for $9=45^{\circ}$



→ POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy



### Employed dataset

#### AIRSAR L-band data, Little Washita\*: comparison with ground measurements



Optical image acquired on August 2003 relevant to the considered scene, with the indication of "in situ" measurement sites.

VV channel of the AIRSAR acquisition of July 5, 2003.

Permittivity map obtained using the copolcorr method, pertinent to the AIRSAR acquisition of July 5, 2003.

ε

\* T. J. Jackson, M. H. Cosh, *SMEX03 watershed ground soil moisture data: Oklahoma*, 2006, freely available online at <a href="http://nsidc.org/data/amsr\_validation/soil\_moisture/smex03">http://nsidc.org/data/amsr\_validation/soil\_moisture/smex03</a>.

→ POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

### Employed dataset



Photograph of site LW22 taken on July 3, 2003.

Photograph of site LW29 taken on July 8, 2003.

Sites LW21 and LW22 are bare soil fields.

Sites LW20, LW27, LW28 and LW29 are moderately vegetated soil fields.

POLINSAR 2013 28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy 052

#### Comparison with ground measurements

#### POLARSCAT and AIRSAR L-band data, vegetated soil fields



Retrieval results obtained through the copol-corr method for LW20 (plus signs), LW27 (triangles), LW28 (squares) and LW29 (crosses) measurement sites

(ME = +0.117, ESD = 0.075, R = 0.61).

#### 0.25+ Retrieved volumetric soil moisture 0.20 0.15 0.10 × Æ 0.05 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.25 In-situ measured volumetric soil moisture

Retrieval results obtained through the modified copolcorr method for LW20 (plus signs), LW27 (triangles), LW28 (squares) and LW29 (crosses) measurement sites (ME = +0.033, ESD = 0.068, R = 0.53).

#### → POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy

#### Comparison with ground measurements

#### POLARSCAT and AIRSAR L-band data, bare soil fields



Retrieval results obtained through the copol-corr method for LW21 (asterisks) and LW22 (diamonds) measurement sites

(ME = -0.023, ESD = 0.022, R = 0.85).

#### → POLINSAR 2013

28 January - 1 February 2013 | ESA-ESRIN | Frascati (Rome), Italy



Retrieval results obtained through the modified copolcorr method for LW21 (asterisks) and LW22 (diamonds) measurement sites (ME = -0.078, ESD = 0.025, R = 0.81).

## Conclusion



#### Summary

- A PTSM-based soil moisture retrieval scheme relying on co-pol/cross-pol charts or co-pol/corr charts was devised in previous work. It provides reliable results for bare soils.
- Inclusion of PTSM in a two-component physical decomposition model has been presented here, to extend the range of validity of the retrieval scheme to moderately vegetated soils.
- Modified co-pol and modified corr have been defined, which in principle are independent of the volumetric scattering component.
- Validation is being performed by using experimental data from measurement campaigns available in literature.

### Future work

- Continuing validation.
- Using coherency, rather than covariance, matrix elements.
- Refining models.