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- NOAA visible snow product
- How reliable are NOAA snow map trends?
NOAA Visible Weekly SCE Climate Data Record

Specifications

- Binary (snow / no snow) over NH land surface
- 88 × 88 Cartesian grid on polar stereographic projection
- 190.6 km resolution at 60°N
- Weekly temporal resolution
- October 4, 1966–present

Inputs to CDR

- October 1966–May 1999: primarily visible satellite imagery from multiple instruments
- After May 1999: Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System (IMS)
- SCE derived from multiple sources by trained analysts
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Extent of snow cover across the U.S. and southern Canada on March 25, 2012 and March 25, 2013, showing exceedingly more snow cover on this date in 2012. Areas in white were snow covered on this date in both years. Those in yellow were snow covered in 2012 but not in 2013. Grey areas were snow covered in 2013 but not in 2013. Also shown (red line) is the average extent of snow cover on this date for the period 1999-2013. Data are gleaned from NOAA Interactive Multisensor Snow and Ice Mapping System maps.
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How reliable are the NOAA snow map CDR trends?
There is evidence of a tendency in the NOAA snow chart data record to map relatively more snow over Eurasia in the snow onset period than other datasets since ~1997, which results in an artificial trend (~+0.5 million km² per decade) October snow cover.

From: Derksen & Brown: AGU 2012
Spring

Similar anomaly trend results obtained with three independent datasets.

- Tendency for NOAA to consistently map less spring snow (~0.5 to 1 million km$^2$) than the multi-dataset average since 2007.
- Accounting for this difference reduces the June SCE trend to -15.0% per decade.

Derksen & Brown: AGU 2012
So…… do these findings remove any upward trend in fall and perhaps even winter? And what about a spring downward trend?
There are no definitive answers in the following slides, but some food for thought.

The study only covers the winter over North America.

We will look to expand this, given the availability of sufficient Eurasian in situ observations (winter) and again, with adequate in situ data attempt this over both continents in fall and spring.
Logistic regression modeled the probability of snow cover detection in any NOAA cell based on average depth and fraction of stations reporting measurable depth.

**Dependent variable**: presence or absence of snow in the satellite product.

**Independent variable**: average snow depth in the grid cell.

**Three time periods:**
1. 1967-1980
3. 1999-2009
Median number of stations reporting snow cover

Average depth of 1 cm or greater and at least 5 stations recording snow depth: D-F
Average snow depth (cm)

Average depth of 1 cm or greater and at least 5 stations recording snow depth: D-F
Median percentage of stations with a measurable snow depth

Average depth of 1 cm or greater and at least 5 stations recording snow depth: D-F
Only cells with in situ snow in one or more stations analyzed

Model predicted 80% correct in period 1, 84% in period 2 and 87% in period 3.

More likely to predict cover based on in situ data when absent in satellite than predict no snow based on in situ when present in satellite.
Unadjusted and adjusted average fraction of snow cover by year within the study area

Adjustment (using period 3 model) resulted in 5% higher extent in period 1 and 3% higher in period 2
Remember:

1. Limited region
2. Cells with 5 or more stations
3. Only December to February evaluated
Summing up...

- NOAA satellite-era weekly SCE maps have been the work horse in understanding where snow lies over NH continents and how SCE has trended with time.
- Questions have long surrounded the accuracy of the weekly SCE product. Not to a first order, rather more subtle variations that may be the result of mapping methodologies over time.
- An analysis of NOAA SCE data with that from in situ station observations of snow on ground has been performed over North America during winter (D-F)
- Results show an improvement in snow recognition in the SCE product relative to in situ observations over time.
- This suggests that a NA trend toward more extensive winter SCE may be a partial or complete result of improved mapping.
- Further study is required over the NA swing seasons and over Eurasia in all seasons.
Rutgers Global Snow Lab: snowcover.org
17 years of operational IMS output

- Operational since November 1998
- 24 km resolution at 60°N
- Daily temporal resolution
- Bring IMS SCE output up to CDR standards
Thanks

Dave Robinson
- david.robinson@rutgers.edu
- snowcover.org