



SAR POLARIMETRY And APPLICATIONS

Eric Pottier IETR – UMR CNRS 6164 - University of Rennes 1 Image and Remote Sensing Dept. – SAPHIR Team (SAR Polarimetry Holography Interferometry Radargrammetry)



ESA – ESRIN Frascati, 17 – 21 January 2005



© IETR K E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



© IETR CAPIE E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

POLARIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING







QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS











See.

INSA













RADAR POLARIMETRY



POLARIMETRIC AIRBORNE SAR SENSORS



AES1 InterMap Technologies (D) GulfStream Commander X-Band (HH), P-Band (Quad)



AIRSAR NASA / JPL (USA) DC8 P, L, C-Band (Quad)



AuSAR - INGARA D.S.T.O (Aus) DC3 (97) KingAir 350 (00) Beach 1900C X-Band (Quad)



DOSAR EADS / Dornier GmbH (D) DO 228 (89), C160 (98), G222 (00) S, C, X-Band (Quad), Ka-Band (VV)



ESAR DLR (D) DO 228 P, L, S-Band (Quad) C, X-Band (Sngl)



PHARUS TNO - FEL (NL) CESSNA – Citation II C-Band (Quad)



EMISAR DCRS (DK) G3 Aircraft L, C-Band (Quad)

PISAR

NASDA / CRL (J)

GulfStream

L, X-Band (Quad)



MEMPHIS / AER II-PAMIR FGAN (D) Transal C160 Ka, W-Band (Quad) / X-Band (Quad)

RAMSES

ONERA (F)

Transal C160 P, L, S, C, X, Ku, Ka, W-Band (Quad)



STORM UVSQ / CETP (F) Merlin IV C-Band (Quad)



SAR580 Environnement Canada (OA) Convair CV-580 C, X-Band (Quad)











POLARIMETRIC SPACEBORNE SAR SENSORS



SIR-C NASA / JPL (USA) April 1994 (10 days) October 1994 (10 days) L, C-Band (Quad)



ENVISAT / ASAR ESA (EU) 2002 C-Band (Sngl / Twin) HH, VV, (HH,VV), (HH,HV), (HV,VV)

ALOS / PALSAR JAXA (J)

L-Band HH,VV, (HH,HV), (VV,VH)



TERRASAR

X-Band (Twin) (HH,VV), (HH,HV), (HV,VV) L-Band (Quad)









RADARSAT 2 CSA / MDA (CA) C-Band (Quad)





POLARIMETRIC DESCRIPTORS









© IETR C. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

TARGET VECTORS



PAULI SCATTERING VECTOR
$$\underline{k} = V([S]) = \frac{1}{2}Trace([S][\psi_P])$$

SET OF 2x2 COMPLEX MATRICES FROM THE PAULI MATRICES GROUP

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_P \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -j \\ j & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
$$\boxed{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} S_{XX} + S_{YY} & S_{XX} - S_{YY} & S_{XY} + S_{YX} & j(S_{XY} - S_{YX}) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Advantage: Closer related to physical properties of the scatterer



Note: Also known as <u>k</u>_{4P}





BISTATIC CASE









MONOSTATIC CASE



A0, B0+B, B0-B : HUYNEN TARGET GENERATORS

[T] is closer related to Physical and Geometrical Properties of the Scattering Process, and thus allows a better and direct physical interpretation







TARGET GENERATORS



PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION



$$T_{11} = 2A_{\theta} = \left|S_{XX} + S_{YY}\right|^2$$

$$T_{33} = B_0 - B = 2 \left| S_{XY} \right|^2$$

$$T_{22} = B_0 + B = \left| S_{XX} - S_{YY} \right|^2$$





SAN FRANCISCO BAY



L-band 1988





DC8 P, L, C-Band (Quad)









TARGET GENERATORS













TARGET GENERATORS



Pauli Color Coding





ELLIPTICAL BASIS TRANSFORMATION



Pauli Color Coding (H,V)



Ernst LÜNEBURG (PIERS95 - Pasadena)









© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

COHERENCY MATRIX

CON-SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATION

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{(B,B_{\perp})} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{3(A,A_{\perp}) \mapsto (B,B_{\perp})} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{(A,A_{\perp})} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_{3(A,A_{\perp}) \mapsto (B,B_{\perp})} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATION

$$\left[U_{\mathfrak{Z}(A,A_{\perp})\mapsto(B,B_{\perp})}
ight]$$

U(3) SPECIAL UNITARY ELLIPTICAL BASIS TRANSFORMATION MATRIX



© IIIIR KAR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



$$\begin{bmatrix} U \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\phi) & -\sin(\phi) \\ \sin(\phi) & \cos(\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\tau) & j\sin(\tau) \\ j\sin(\tau) & \cos(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-j\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{j\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} U_2(\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_2(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_2(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$

SPECIAL UNITARY SU(3) GROUP

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(2\phi) & \sin(2\phi) \\ 0 & -\sin(2\phi) & \cos(2\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\tau) & 0 & j\sin(2\tau) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ j\sin(2\tau) & 0 & \cos(2\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\alpha) & -j\sin(2\alpha) & 0 \\ -j\sin(2\alpha) & \cos(2\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} U_3(2\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_3(2\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_3(2\phi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_3(2\alpha) \end{bmatrix}$$







POLARIMETRIC GOLDEN NUMBER



© ITTR SAME E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)









ଫ୍ଟ୍ର

INSA

INVERSITE DE RENNE

PURE TARGET – MONOSTATIC CASE

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \end{bmatrix} = \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k}^{*T} = \begin{bmatrix} 2A_0 & C - jD & H + jG \\ C + jD & B_0 + B & E + jF \\ H - jG & E - jF & B_0 - B \end{bmatrix}$$

3x3 HERMITIAN MATRIX - RANK 1

$$2A_{0}(B_{0} + B) - C^{2} - D^{2} = 0 \qquad 2A_{0}(B_{0} - B) - G^{2} - H^{2} = 0$$

$$-2A_{0}E + CH - DG = 0 \qquad B_{0}^{2} - B^{2} - E^{2} - F^{2} = 0$$

$$C(B_{0} - B) - EH - GF = 0 \qquad -D(B_{0} - B) + FH - GE = 0$$

$$2A_{0}F - CG - DH = 0 \qquad -G(B_{0} + B) + FC - ED = 0$$

$$H(B_{0} + B) - CE - DF = 0$$

POLARIMETRIC TARGET DIMENSION





SCATTERING POLARIMETRY

SAPHIR

UNVERSITE DE RENNES









QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS











SPECKLE PHENOMENON J ISTORTION OF THE INTERPRETATION



SPECKLE REDUCTION (RADIOMETRIC RESOLUTION) E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

DETAILS PRESERVATION (SPATIAL RESOLUTION)







SPECKLE REDUCTION

MULTI-LOOK SAR PROCESSING (BoxCar)

Averaging Amplitude / Intensity (Not complex images) of neighboring pixels

Good Noise Smoothing

Spatial Resolution Loss - blurring edges - erasing thin lines Loss of linear or point features ...











SPECKLE : MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE MODEL

« SPECKLE is a scattering phenomenon and not a noise. However, from the image SAR processing point of vue, the speckle can be modeled as multiplicative noise for extended target » (Lee, IGARSS-98)

$$\underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{HH} \\ y_{HV} \\ y_{VV} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_{HH} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n_{HV} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n_{VV} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{HH} \\ x_{HV} \\ x_{VV} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{HH} n_{HH} \\ x_{HV} n_{HV} \\ x_{VV} n_{VV} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underbrace{\uparrow}_{SCATTERING} \qquad NOISE \qquad REFLECTIVITY \\ DENSITY \qquad DENSITY$$

$$Y_{pqpq} = y_{pq} y_{pq}^{*} = X_{pqpq} v_{pqpq} \quad INTENSITY = MULTIPLICATIVE MODEL$$

$$Y_{pqrs} = y_{pq} y_{rs}^{*} = X_{pqrs} v_{pqrs} \quad NOISE \quad MODEL ????$$













POLARIMETRIC VECTORIAL SPECKLE FILTER



REFINED FILTER







POLARIMETRIC SPECKLE FILTERING IS NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE SUBJECTIVE, IMAGE DEPENDENT

Quantitative Criteria (J.S. Lee - IGARSS 98)

- >Speckle Reduction (E.N.L)
- Edge Sharpness Preservation
- Line and Point Target Contrast Preservation
- Retention of Mean Values in Homogeneous Regions
- ➢ Retention of Texture Information
- Retention of Polarimetric Information (co, cross-correlations)
- Computational Efficiency
- Implementation Complexity

$$\left[\hat{T}\right] = E(\left[T\right]) - k\left[E(\left[T\right]) - \left[T\right]\right]$$

THE POLARIMETRIC SPECKLE LEE FILTER IS TODAY A GOOD COMPROMISE









INSA



SAN FRANCISCO BAY JPL - AIRSAR L-band 1988

J.S. Lee, M.R. Grunes and G. De Grandi, "Polarimetric SAR Speckle Filtering and Its Impact on Terrain Classification" *IEEE TGRS*, September 1999









SAN FRANCISCO BAY JPL - AIRSAR L-band 1988

J.S. Lee, D.L. Schuler, T.L. Ainsworth, M.R. Grunes, « Scattering Model Based Speckle Filetring of Polarimetric SAR Data" *EUSAR 2004, Ulm, Germany, May 2004*



Pierre Karler, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





INSA

MULTIPLICATIVE-ADDITIVE NOISE MODEL





PIETR SAPHR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





MULTIPLICATIVE-ADDITIVE NOISE MODEL



L-band (1.3 GHz) fully PoISAR data. E-SAR system. Oberpfaffenhofen test area (D)

SAPH











© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)


QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS













INSA





TARGET DECOMPOSITIONS





🙀 📢 E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



TARGET DECOMPOSITIONS







TARGET DECOMPOSITIONS







©

 $H/A/\underline{\alpha}$ DECOMPOSITION



TARGET VECTOR
$$\underline{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} S_{XX} + S_{YY} & S_{XX} - S_{YY} & 2S_{XY} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

LOCAL ESTIMATE OF
THE COHERENCY MATRIX
$$\langle [T] \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{k}_{i} \cdot \underline{k}_{i}^{*T} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [T_{i}]$$

EIGENVECTORS / EIGENVALUES ANALYSIS

$$\langle [T] \rangle = [U_{3}] [\Sigma] [U_{3}]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{*T}$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{ORTHOGONAL} \underset{k_{i} > \lambda_{2} > \lambda_{3}}{ORTHOGONAL} \underset{k_{i} > \lambda_{2} > \lambda_{3}}{P_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k}}} \xrightarrow{P_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k}}} P_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k}} \xrightarrow{P_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{3} \lambda_{k}}} P_{i} = \frac{\lambda_{i$$



H/A/ $\underline{\alpha}$ DECOMPOSITION



3 ROLL INVARIANT PARAMETERS

ENTROPY

ANISOTROPY

$\underline{\alpha}$ parameter















$H/A/\underline{\alpha}$ DECOMPOSITION

TYPE OF SCATTERING PROCESS





0.25

0.5

3 MECHANISMS

H(1-A)

HA





QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS









WISHART CLASSIFIER



°ks

UNIVERSITE DE RENIVES

Target Vector

$$\underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{HH} & \sqrt{2}S_{HV} & S_{VV} \end{bmatrix}^T \qquad P(\underline{X}) = \frac{1}{\pi^3 \| [C] \|} e^{-\underline{X}^{*T} [C]^{-1} \underline{X}}$$
$$\underline{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} S_{HH} + S_{VV} & S_{HH} - S_{VV} & 2S_{HV} \end{bmatrix}^T \qquad P(\underline{k}) = \frac{1}{\pi^3 \| [T] \|} e^{-\underline{k}^{*T} [T]^{-1} \underline{k}}$$

$$[T] \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underline{k}_{i} \cdot \underline{k}_{i}^{*T} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [T_{i}]$$

$$P(\langle [T] \rangle / [T_{m}]) = \frac{L^{Lp} |\langle [T] \rangle|^{L-p} e^{-LTr([T_{m}]^{-1} \langle [T] \rangle)}}{\pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \Gamma(L) ... \Gamma(L-p+1) [T_{m}]^{L}}$$

$$COMPLEX WISHART DISTRIBUTION$$

$$L: Number of Look p: Polarimetric Dimension$$





WISHART CLASSIFIER



$$P(\langle [T] \rangle / [T_m]) = \frac{L^{Lp} |\langle [T] \rangle|^{L-p} e^{-LTr([T_m]^{-1} \langle [T] \rangle)}}{\pi^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \Gamma(L) \dots \Gamma(L-p+1) [T_m]^L}$$

SUPERVISED WISHART CLASSIFIER (Lee 1994)

BAYES MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURE

$$\langle [T] \rangle \in [T_m]$$
 if $d_m(\langle [T] \rangle) < d_j(\langle [T] \rangle)$ $\forall j \neq m$

with

🐝 E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

$$d_m(\langle [T] \rangle) = LTr([T_m]^{-1} \langle [T] \rangle) + L\ln([T_m]) - \ln(P([T_m])) + K$$

cyks insa O





POLARIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING













Alpha

> Cluster Center of the class mass (Lee 1998) UNVERSITE DE RENNES







SAN FRANCISCO BAY JPL - AIRSAR L-band 1988

4th ITERATION



 $B_0 + B$ $B_0 - B$



©

 $2A_{\theta}$





2 Successive k - mean Classification procedures





C9

C10

C11

C12

C13

C14

C15

C16



SAN FRANCISCO BAY JPL - AIRSAR L-band 1988

4th ITERATION





© IIIIR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ - WISHART CLASSIFIER







SAN FRANCISCO BAY JPL - AIRSAR L-band 1988







 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B_0 - B$



© IIIIR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





ICE AREA JPL - AIRSAR L-band



 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B_0 - B$

© IIIIR KARF E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ - WISHART CLASSIFIER



DEATH VALLEY JPL - AIRSAR L-band



 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B_0 - B$



© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





OBERPFAFFENHOFEN - ESAR L-band



 $2A_{\theta}$



H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ and WISHART CLASSIFIER







© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





OBERPFAFFENHOFEN - ESAR L-band



H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ and WISHART CLASSIFIER











H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ - WISHART CLASSIFIER



Cesa POLinSAR Project

TRAUNSTEIN - ESAR L-band



H / A / $\underline{\alpha}$ and WISHART CLASSIFIER



 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B$

 $B_0 - B$









POLARIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING





Unsupervised Classification Preserving Scattering Mechanisms

J.S. Lee, M. R. Grunes, E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil, "Unsupervised Terrain Classification Preserving Polarimetric Scattering Characteristics," IEEE TGRS, April 2004



No.

ETR 📢 E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



FREEMAN DECOMPOSITION



Courtesy of Dr J.S Lee



|HH-VV|, |HV|, |HH+VV|

Freeman and Durden



A. Freeman and S.L. Durden, "A Three-Component Scattering Model for Polarimetric SAR Data" IEEE TGRS, vol. 36, no. 3, May 1998



PROCEDURE – FLOW CHART



°¢¢/

INSA

UNIVERSITE DE RENINES



© IIIIR KAR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





UNIVERSITE DE RENIVES

Courtesy of Dr J.S Lee







FREEMAN - WISHART CLASSIFIER



Courtesy of Dr J.S Lee



 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B_0 - B$



4th Iteration (15 classes)





POLARIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING









DLR E-SAR L Band In-Pol SAR (1.5m x 3m) – Baseline 5m

POL-SAR INFORMATION

IN-SAR INFORMATION

INSA

COMPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



HETEROGENEOUS AREA

DIFFERENT POLARIMETRIC SCATTERING MECHANISMS



HOMOGENEOUS AREA

CONSTANT INTERFEROMETRIC COHERENCE









HOMOGENEOUS AREA

SAME POLARIMETRIC SCATTERING MECHANISMS

© IETR KIEF E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





HETEROGENEOUS AREA







$$\underbrace{\underline{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{k}_{1} \\ \underline{k}_{2} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{POLARIMETRIC}}_{\substack{\text{INTERFEROMETRIC} \\ \text{INTERFEROMETRIC} \\ \text{TARGET VECTOR}} \\
\underbrace{\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} T_{6} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle \underline{k}_{1} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \right\rangle & \left\langle \underline{k}_{1} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \underline{k}_{2} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \right\rangle & \left\langle \underline{k}_{2} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} T_{1} \end{bmatrix} & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{12} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{12} \end{bmatrix}^{T*} \right\rangle & \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} T_{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{POLARIMETRIC INTERFEROMETRIC COHERENCY MATRIX (6x6)}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \left< \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \end{bmatrix} \right> & \text{HERMITIAN POLARIMETRIC COHERENCY MATRIX (3x3)} \\ \left< \begin{bmatrix} T_2 \end{bmatrix} \right> & \text{HERMITIAN POLARIMETRIC COHERENCY MATRIX (3x3)} \\ \left< \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{12} \end{bmatrix} \right> & \text{NON HERMITIAN POLARIMETRIC INTER-COHERENCY MATRIX (3x3)} \end{array}$



COMPLEX WISHART DISTRIBUTION

DUAL CHANNELS POLINSAR UNSUPERVISED SEGMENTATION

$$\langle [T_6] \rangle = \langle \underline{k} \cdot \underline{k}^{T*} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}_1^{T*} \rangle & \langle \underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2^{T*} \rangle \\ \langle \underline{k}_2 \cdot \underline{k}_1^{T*} \rangle & \langle \underline{k}_2 \cdot \underline{k}_2^{T*} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle [T_1] \rangle & \langle [\Omega_{12}] \rangle \\ \langle [\Omega_{12}]^{T*} \rangle & \langle [T_2] \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

POLARIMETRIC INTERFEROMETRIC COHERENCY MATRIX (6x6)

 $\langle [T_6] \rangle$ **FOLLOWS A WISHART DISTRIBUTION** $P(\langle [T_6] \rangle / [\Sigma_m]) = \frac{|\langle [T_6] \rangle|^{L-p} exp(-tr([\Sigma_m]^{-1} \langle [T_6] \rangle))}{K(L,p) [\Sigma_m]^L} = W_C(L, [\Sigma_m])$ $\stackrel{\text{L: Number of Look}}{\underset{p: \text{ Polarimetric Dimension}}{\overset{p(p-1)}{L^{Lp}}} \Gamma(L)...\Gamma(L-p+1)$ She was $[\Sigma m]$: Cluster Center of the class m E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





POLINSAR CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURE





CLASSIFICATION DETAILS: BUILDINGS AREAS

Optical Image



INSAR Image



POLSAR Image



VOL POLINSAR Segmentation



POLSAR Segmentation



POLINSAR Segmentation










Cesa POLinSAR Project

TRAUNSTEIN – ESAR – L-BAND



TRAUNSTEIN – GROUND TRUTH



 $2A_0 \qquad B_0 + B \qquad B_0 - B$



Mature broadleaves

Youth

Mature conifer

Growth broadleaves Growth

Growth conifer

Plenter (heterogeneous)



MS.



POLINSAR CLASSIFICATION PROCEDURE



INSA

Ground Truth



INSAR Image



POLSAR Image



VOL POLINSAR Segmentation



POLSAR Segmentation



POLINSAR Segmentation





Laurent Ferro-Famil – POLinSAR 05 / Tuesday - AM



DATA INVERSION - APPROACH



50



QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS



PARAMETERS











Ð

DATA INVERSION







SNOW MONITORING – DRY SNOW MAPPING

SIR-C DATA - FRENCH ALPS - RISOUL -1994



LANDSAT OPTICAL IMAGE



© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



PAULI – RGB IMAGE







SNOW MONITORING – DRY SNOW MAPPING

SIR-C DATA - FRENCH ALPS - RISOUL -1994



LANDSAT OPTICAL IMAGE



© IETR CANCE E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



DRY SNOW MAPPING

Polarimetric Contrast Variation Enhancement (PVCE) over surfaces $\Delta \underline{\alpha}$ from summer to winter over forests



Audrey Martini – POLinSAR 05 / Wednesday - AM







LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS

Soil Moisture & Biomass Estimation using Polarimetric Scattering Theory

- Oh
- Shi
- Dubois
- Francesco Mattia
- X-Bragg (I. Hajnsek 2000)
- E.R.D (S. Allain 2003)









LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS



WEIHERBACHTAL DLR - ESAR L-band





Estimated Volumetric Moisture (mv/vol%)









LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS E.R.D : Eigenvalue Relative Difference





ALLING Site DLR – ESAR L-band









0.5

QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS



LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS

ANISOTROPY



homogenous value less information *E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)* ERD



better discrimination



0

-1











0.5

0

-0.5

LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS ANISOTROPY ERD







better field / surface separation







INSP

UNIVERSITE DE RENINES

LAND – AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS



Retrieved dielectric constant



Good agreement between ground truth and estimated moisture



Sophie Allain – POLinSAR 05 / Wednesday - PM





ALLING - ESAR L-band





1 : Seedbed winter cereals

- 2 : Seedbed winter cereals (80%,15 cm)
- 3 : Harrowed
- 4 : Harrowed
- 5 : Pasture, grassland (95%,10 cm)
- 6 : Seedbed winter cereals (30%,4 cm)
- 7 : Seedbed
- 8 : Seedbed winter cereals (30%)
- 9 : Harrowed
- 10 : Pasture, grassland

May I invert ? Where can I invert ?



© IETR C. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)

POLARIMETRIC REMOTE SENSING



Net i

INSA



L. Ferro-Famil, A. Reigber, E. Pottier, W.M. Boerner"Scene Characterization Using Subaperture Polarimetric SAR Data" IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol 41, n° 10, October 2003.













RANGE - AZIMUTH ANALYSIS



UNIVERS



Gabor Transform



Range-Azimuth frequency domain

Azimuth

$$\omega_{az_0} = 2\omega_c \frac{V_{SAR}}{c} \sin \phi_0$$
 $d_{SAR}(\mathbf{I}, \omega_{az})$ \longrightarrow Anisotropic Scattering Behavior

Range













Ĩ

Pauli basis

$$\left|S_{HH}+S_{VV}\right|^2$$

$$\left|S_{HH}-S_{VV}\right|^2$$

$$2|S_{HV}|^2$$



ALLING Site DLR – ESAR L-band







Visualization of polarimetric variations









ALLING Site DLR – ESAR L-band







Visualization of polarimetric variations

© IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)









IETR E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)



SUB-APERTURE ANALYSIS







RANGE - AZIMUTH ANALYSIS









Non-stationary media discrimination







UNVERSITE DE RENNES



POLINSAR - AZIMUTH ANALYSIS



Full resolution



Azimuth analysis



Interferences & residual motion compensation errors
Stronger perturbating effects in sub-spectra
E. Pottier, L. Ferro-Famil (01/2005)





DATA INVERSION - APPROACH



50



QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS



PARAMETERS









